



Environmental Consultants

Application for Environmental
Authorisation and A Change of
Land Use for the Proposed
Musina-Makhado Special
Economic Zone (SEZ) in the
Limpopo Province
Health Impact Assessment
Report



ROOTED IN THE FUTURE



Niara Environmental Consultants, Registration no.: 2012/018290/07
59 Beaumont Road, Bluff, Durban, 4052
Cell: +27827672786; Fax: 0865314434





PROJECT:	THE MUSINA-MAKHDO SEZ PROJECT
Project Name	Application for Environmental Authorisation and A Change of Land Use for the Proposed Musina-Makhado Special Economic Zone (SEZ) in the Limpopo Province
Client:	The Limpopo Economic Development Agency (LEDA)
Project Number	LED01
Report Title	Health Impact Assessment Report for the Development of the Proposed Musina-Makhado Special Economic Zone (SEZ) in the Limpopo Province
Date Submitted	August 2020
Authors	Vumile Dlamini-Ribeiro

DISCLAIMER

This Report or document ("the Report") is given by Niara Environmental Consultants (Pty) Ltd (Niara) solely for the benefit of Limpopo Economic Development Agency (LEDA). This Document is provided for sole use by the Client and is confidential to it and its professional advisers. No responsibility whatsoever for the contents of this Document will be accepted to any person other than the Client. Any use which a third party makes of this Document, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, is the responsibility of such third parties. Niara accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this Document.

Niara does not accept any liability in negligence for any matters arising outside of the agreed scope of work.

Prepared For:	The Limpopo Economic Development Agency (LEDA) Limpopo Economic Development Agency 29 Market Street, Polokwane, 0699 Contact person: Lance Fenn Tel: (015) 633 4727 Email: LanceFenn@leda.co.za
Lead Environmental Assessment Practitioner:	Delta Built Environment Consultants (Pty) Ltd P.O. Box 35703 Menlo Park, 0102 Contact person: Ronaldo Retief Tel: (012) 368 1850 Email: sez@deltabec.com
Prepared By:	Niara Environmental Consultants (Pty) Ltd 5 Nottinghill Park, 2 Ferero Ave Randpark Ridge, Johannesburg, 2196 Contact person: Vumile Dlamini-Ribeiro Mobile: 082 7672 786 Email: vumile@niara.co.za





Specialist Declaration of Independence

Niara Environmental Consultants (Pty) Ltd

Environmental Health Consultant

Niara Environmental Consultants

5 Nottingham Park, 2 Ferero Ave

Randpark Ridge

Johannesburg

vumile@niara.co.za

I Vumile Dlamini-Ribeiro, as duly authorised representative of Niara Environmental Consultants (Pty) Ltd., hereby confirm my independence and declare that I:

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, regulations and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 71 and is punishable in terms of Section 24F of the Act.

Signature of the environmental assessment practitioner:	<i>V. Dlamini</i>
Designation:	Environmental Health Consultant
Qualifications:	Post Graduate Degree (Hons): BSoc Sci Environmental Analysis and Management
Name of company:	Niara Environmental Consultants (Pty) Ltd
Experience (years):	Thirteen (13)
Date:	August 2020





Executive Summary

Designated by the Department of Trade and Industry in July 2016, the Musina-Makhado Special Economic Zone (SEZ) comprises two sites. The southern site, situated approximately 34 km from the northern site, is a Greenfield site earmarked for the development of energy and a metallurgical cluster for the production of high-grade steel. The southern site, as the subject matter of this report, is located on eight farms overlapping the border between the Makhado and Musina local municipalities, within the Vhembe District Municipality.

The essence of the Musina-Makhado SEZ is to create a new heavy industrial hub that forms part of the Trans-Limpopo Spatial Development Initiative. The Musina-Makhado SEZ will attract foreign and domestic direct investment to promote industrial development. Other land uses envisaged to complement the energy and metallurgical complex will comprise bulk infrastructure, light industries, intermodal facilities, housing, retail centres, business uses, community facilities, and telecommunication services.

Human health, the environment and development are intricately linked in that a negative impact in any one sphere would impact negatively on the remaining two spheres. For example, insufficient development of proper sanitation facilities would lead to unhygienic and unhealthy living conditions for humans as well as impact on the environment by causing pollution to water resources. Sustainable development requires that a development meets the needs of the present generation without compromising the future generations to meet their own needs and that the environmental, social and economic implications of any development project are considered to achieve development that is sustainable.

The use of Health Impact Assessments (HIAs) is a relatively new process in South Africa that is designed to ensure that often-overlooked or unanticipated health impacts are considered in proposed policies, programs, projects or plans. HIAs offer practical recommendations to minimise negative health risks and maximize health benefits, while addressing differential health impacts on vulnerable groups of people.

Methodology

A HIA is a practical, multi-disciplinary process, combining a range of qualitative and quantitative evidence in a decision-making framework. A HIA seeks to identify and estimate the lasting or significant changes of different actions on the health status of a defined population. The methodology of this HIA was based on the Good Practice Note (GPN) for HIAs as supported by the International Finance Corporation (IFC). The IFC has published a set of Performance Standards (PS) for large Projects that will require international funding. PS4 which deals specifically with Community Health, Safety and Security, recognises that Project activities result in both positive and negative impacts to communities. The GPN has been developed specifically to provide guidance on community health for this Standard.



This approach was supported by a systematic and consistent approach to collecting and analysing baseline health data through the Environmental Health Areas (EHA) framework. Twelve different EHAs are described, which provide a linkage between mine-related activities and potential positive or negative community-level impacts. This incorporates a variety of biomedical and key social determinants of health. Through this integrated analysis, environmental and social conditions that contain significant health components are identified instead of focusing primarily on disease-specific conditions.

Specific Potentially Affected Communities (PACs) and health impacts related to different activities of the proposed SEZ Project have been described.

Activities

The specific activities of the HIA¹ included:

- A desktop literature review outlining the host country and its community health profile;
- Collecting primary data by participatory means with the use of semi-structured questionnaires and key informant interviews with relevant stakeholders;
- Collecting additional secondary information that was not available in the public domain that is available in published and grey data²;
- Understanding the SEZ layout/ design, present and planned work activities, and location of PACs;
- Considering the potential human health impacts that the proposed SEZ development will have on the health of the respective communities;
- Determining the existing health needs of the community based on health strategies, infrastructure, programs, service priorities, delivery plans and challenges; and
- Developing evidence-based recommendations to avoid/mitigate negative and enhance positive impacts resulting from the proposed SEZ development.

Health Impact Assessment Consultants from Niara consulted with medical personnel at a local health facility as well as local residents.

It is the specialist's opinion that due process has been followed. Where impacts have been assumed to be potentially significant, various mitigation measures to manage and monitor the impacts of the proposed SEZ development has been proposed. Adequate mitigation measures have been provided and are expected to reduce the significance of almost all negative impacts although not always to acceptable levels, while positive impacts will on average be significantly enhanced to maximise benefits to surrounding communities. The recommended

¹ It should be noted that the HIA is specific to the health impacts on communities and does not address any aspect of health and safety applicable to the workforce at the mine.

² Grey literature is a type of information or research output produced by organisations, outside of commercial or academic publishing and distribution channels. Common grey literature publication types include reports (annual, research, technical, project, etc.), working papers, government documents, and evaluations. Organisations that produce grey literature include government departments and agencies, civil society or non-governmental organisations, academic centres and departments, and private companies and consultants.

mitigation measures must be implemented to minimise the impacts and ensuring compliance with current legislative requirements.

Key Findings and Recommendations

The proposed SEZ is to be located outside of capital regions -Musina and Makhado. As such, infrastructure (e.g., roads and hospitals), services (testing and treatment) and knowledge, and attitudes and practices around health and determinants of health (e.g., waste management) are generally less developed than in urban settings. Also, as the SEZs is to be strategically placed to take advantage of economic corridors, cross border collaboration and, consequently, transient migrant populations are to be expected. The very nature of business within an SEZ varies, both by industry type and by nationality.

Access to healthcare facilities is not a challenge as the surveyed communities Clinic is a community-based clinic and is within a walking distance from the biggest and most significant PAC (the surveyed communities). Healthcare facilities have ambulances as well as mobile clinics that visit neighbouring settlements weekly. Healthcare services are free as substantiated by 100% of respondents claiming not to pay for medical services.

In the light of healthcare services and infrastructure, the proposed SEZ Project impacts need to be considered as opposing tangents. One, being a positive impact whereby there is the potential for the proposed SEZ Project to support the development of improved health services through direct and indirect interventions; and the second, being a negative impact whereby the proposed SEZ Project may stretch the already-burdened capacity of the Healthcare services in the Musina and Makhado Local Municipalities and communities in the vicinity of the proposed SEZ Project area.

Respiratory tract infections, from a viral and bacterial origin are important to consider. This can include seasonal influenza and other pandemic pathogenic strains to which the local communities may not have inherent immunity due to their lack of exposure to these diseases or strains. Vulnerable groups such as the elderly and children in these Project-affected communities, especially the elderly and those with underlying disease, are particularly susceptible as their immune systems are often weakened. Any plans by the proposed SEZ Project to manage respiratory diseases must thus consider community health as poor community health may affect business continuity and reputation, where the proposed SEZ Project runs the risk for being blamed for disease outbreaks especially as it may contribute to movements of people in and out of area.

An influx of people into the proposed SEZ Project area can be expected. The spontaneous migration and settlement of labourers and their families may introduce a wide range of concerns into the proposed SEZ Project area. These include:

- Increased use of and demand for already inadequate community housing, water, sanitation, food, and medical services can reduce the capacity to address health concerns adequately and contribute to new health challenges (with a likely increase in cost).



- Housing inflation and potential increase in communicable diseases like tuberculosis (TB) and Human Immunodeficiency Virus/Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (HIV/AIDS). This can, however, be mitigated by Health Systems Strengthening (HSS)³ to improve TB case detection and case management in local dispensaries; developing and maintaining site-based TB policies and programmes; as well as outbreak preparedness and response plans.
- An increased potential for accidents and injuries due to changes in road traffic in the area
- An increase in accidents and the associated trauma will place added burdens on the health infrastructure such as emergency services that are already limited in the area.

Poverty, high levels of illiteracy and unemployment play a key role in local social challenges. The youth are especially at risk of succumbing to social ills such as alcoholism and drug abuse. These behaviours, in turn play a major role in domestic violence and high-risk sexual behaviour.

A number of determinants/ interventions can influence (mitigate/reduce) the potential for an increase in HIV/AIDS in the proposed SEZ Project area. These are generally as an indirect influence of the proposed SEZ Project but some direct impacts from the workforce do exist. Develop a HIV/AIDS policy and programme that incorporates both the workplace and community considerations. Some mitigation measures to abate these include: developing a community-based HIV and Sexually Transmitted Illness (STI) strategy; HIV/AIDS education programmes; and implementing comprehensive HIV and STI management programmes in the workforce.

An influx of people during construction and the operational phases of the proposed SEZ Project may result in food inflation, increasing food deprivation and nutrition-related diseases. If long-term food inflation occurs, food deprivation may affect susceptible sub-populations such as children and marginalised groups. Poor food hygiene practices may also increase food-related illnesses. More consumption of fast food related to increased income may increase non-communicable (lifestyle) diseases such as obesity and diabetes (type 2). This can be mitigated through curbing food inflation and assisting local district environmental health officers with food and sanitation awareness materials. These materials can be used for educational sessions with food handlers and slaughterhouses, particularly vendors who sell food to construction workers and employees. Education on lifestyle behaviours including eating habits, exercise, etc. would also lessen the health impacts thereof. The Applicant, together with the communities, can improve food security by assisting with school feeding programmes, including education on food gardens, nutrition, and good nutritional habits.

The proposed SEZ Project may lead to increased traffic loads on primary and access roads and thus has the potential to increase the number of traffic accidents. This risk can be abated through improving road safety by collaborating with the district road-safety unit to establish and maintain pictorial road-safety signage near the site

³ HSS also contributes to the mitigation options.



in a local language (either isiZulu or Afrikaans) and English language (if needed); clearly demarcated pedestrian crossings in appropriate places etc. This could be achieved by establishing and implementing a Traffic Management Plan.

While vector⁴-borne diseases are not hugely common but do exist in the proposed SEZ Project Area, uncontrolled digging and the influx of people, coupled with poor environmental management may lead to establishment of vector breeding sites in the proposed SEZ Project Area. This situation may lead to the emergence and increase in prevalence of vector-borne diseases. Assist in the controlling of vector breeding sites. Efficient environmental management of surface water is essential, particularly during construction. Coordination with the relevant government departments (i.e. Health and Social development) in establishing vector awareness programs is also essential.

With regards to the social determinants of health, the expected influx of people and increased income may result in illegal substances being available more freely. It is difficult to speculate whether the prevalence of tobacco smoking and/or substance abuse will increase due to the presence of the proposed SEZ Project. However, it is likely that it will increase as there will be an increase in the number of young people with decent incomes, who will be in a position to afford these commodities. The Applicant may be in a position to conduct substance-abuse prevention education programs in the workplace and within the communities.

When discussing the exposure of people to potentially hazardous materials, noise and malodours, one needs to be cognisant of the in migration of people. An influx of people into the area may increase domestic activities, including the use of domestic fuels. This may result in an increase in air pollution exposure, followed by associated increases in the prevalence of related respiratory illnesses. The clearing of the site (construction phase) and vehicular movement are the main activities and may have potential impacts on the ambient noise levels. Increased activity of vehicles and heavy machinery will contribute to the increased local noise levels. There is sufficient evidence that noise causes adverse health effects such as cardiovascular effects.

Appropriate mitigation measures are expected to reduce the significance of the identified negative impacts, while positive impacts will be enhanced to maximise benefits to surrounding communities. The recommended measures must be implemented to manage the impacts and ensuring compliance with current legislative requirements. Lastly, it is recommended that The Applicant establishes relationships with other institutions (e.g. government or NGOs) involved in local and regional healthcare development and social upliftment so as to maximise the benefits of its contribution to the overall health status of the community.

⁴ Vectors are organisms that act as hosts and carriers of micro-organisms such as bacteria, viruses and parasites. They are generally unaffected themselves but spread the bacteria or viruses onto other species where they multiply to manifest as a full-blown disease.



Table of Contents

1.	Introduction.....	18
1.1.	Project Background	18
1.2.	Project Location	20
1.3.	Terms of Reference	21
2.	Details and Expertise of Specialist	22
3.	Overview of the Musina Makhado SEZ Project	23
4.	South African Legislation Pertaining to Health	26
4.1.	Constitution of the Republic of South Africa (Act 108 of 1996).....	26
4.2.	The National Health Act (Act 61 of 2003)	27
4.3.	National Ambient Air Quality Standards	27
4.4.	National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), as amended	29
4.5.	GHG Emissions	29
4.6.	Other Relevant Legislation	30
4.7.	International Management Standards.....	31
4.8.	Company Management Standards	33
5.	Health Impact Assessment Framework and Methodology	33
5.1.	Introduction and Definition	33
5.2.	Environmental Health Areas	34
5.3.	Potentially Affected Communities	34
5.4.	Determinants of Health	35
5.5.	Overview of the HIA Process.....	37
5.6.	Benefits of HIA.....	39
5.7.	HIA and Project Timeline	40
5.8.	Determining the scale of the HIA	42
5.9.	Baseline Data Collection.....	43
5.10.1.	Desktop Work.....	44





5.10.2.	Questionnaire Design	44
5.10.3.	Field Visit.....	45
5.10.4.	Exposure Analysis	45
5.10.5.	Key Informant Interviews	46
5.10.6.	Discussions	46
5.10.	Potential Impact Categorisation: Environmental Health Areas (EHAs) Framework.....	46
5.11.	Direct versus Indirect and Cumulative Effects	48
5.12.	Impact Assessment Methodology	48
5.13.1.	Prioritisation of Impacts	49
5.13.	Data Gaps and Limitations of the HIA Study	52
6.	Health Opportunities and Risks associated with Special Economic Zones	53
7.	Country Health Profile	56
7.1.	Public and Private Health Sector	58
7.2.	South African Health Care System	58
7.3.	Healthcare Facilities	58
7.4.	Doctor Shortages.....	59
7.5.	Quality of Services.....	59
7.6.	Functionality of Services.....	59
7.7.	HIV/AIDS: Epidemics in Perspective	60
8.	Baseline Health Profile	60
8.1.	Vhembe District	60
8.2.1.	Burden of Disease Profile	62
8.2.	Musina Local Municipality.....	64
8.3.	Makhado Local Municipality.....	64
9.	Baseline Health Profile: Project Area	64
9.1.	EHA #1 Vector-Related Diseases.....	64
8.2.2.	Malaria burden.....	65
9.2.	EHA #2 Communicable Diseases Linked to Housing Design.....	65





9.3.	EHA #3 Veterinary Medicine and Zoonotic Issues.....	68
9.4.	EHA #4 Sexually-Transmitted Infections, Including HIV/AIDS.....	69
9.4.1.	HIV/AIDS: Knowledge, Attitude and Behaviour.....	69
9.5.	EHA #5 Soil-, Water- and Waste-Related Diseases.....	70
9.5.1.	Diarrhoea.....	71
9.6.	EHA #6 Food- and Nutrition-Related Issues.....	72
9.7.	EHA #7 Accidents/ Injuries.....	73
9.7.1.	Road Traffic Accidents.....	73
9.7.2.	Gender Based Violence.....	74
9.8.	EHA #8 Exposure to Potentially Hazardous Materials, Noise and Malodours.....	74
9.8.1.	Air Quality.....	75
9.8.2.	Noise.....	76
9.9.	EHA #9 Social Determinants of Health.....	77
9.9.1.	Health-seeking Behaviour.....	78
9.10.	EHA #10 Cultural Health Practices.....	79
9.11.	EHA #11 Health Systems Issues.....	81
9.11.1.	Emergency Services and Disaster Management.....	82
9.12.	EHA #12 Non-Communicable Diseases.....	83
9.12.1.	Mental Health.....	84
9.12.2.	Physical Activity Levels.....	84
10.	Anticipated Health Impacts of the various Manufacturing Plants.....	84
10.1.	Thermal Power Plant.....	84
10.2.	Coke Plant.....	88
10.3.	Ferrochromium Plant.....	88
10.4.	Ferromanganese Plant.....	89
10.5.	Pig Iron Plant.....	90
10.6.	Stainless-Steel Plant.....	90
10.7.	Lime Plant.....	91





10.8.	Calcium Carbide Plant.....	91
10.10.1	Cancer	93
11.	Potential Health Impacts.....	93
11.1.	EHA #1: Vector-related Diseases.....	94
11.1.1.	Malaria burden.....	95
11.1.2.	Project Impact Mitigation	96
11.1.3.	Occupational Health and Safety	97
11.1.4.	Impact Evaluation	97
11.2.	EHA #2: Acute Respiratory Infections and Respiratory Effects from Housing.....	98
11.2.1.	Transmission of Communicable Diseases due to Overcrowding	98
11.2.2.	Project Impact Mitigation	100
11.2.3.	Occupational Health and Safety	100
11.2.4.	Impact Evaluation	101
11.3.	EHA #3: Veterinary Medicine and Zoonotic Issues.....	102
11.4.	EHA #4: Sexually Transmitted Infections, including HIV/AIDS	102
11.4.1.	Transmission of STIs and HIV/AIDS	102
11.4.2.	Project Impact Mitigation	103
11.4.3.	Occupational Health and Safety	103
11.4.4.	Impact Evaluation	103
11.5.	EHA #5: Soil-, Water- and Waste-related Diseases	104
11.5.1.	Water and Sanitation	104
11.5.2.	Project Impact Mitigation	106
11.5.3.	Impact Evaluation	107
11.6.	EHA #6: Food and Nutrition-Related Issues.....	108
11.6.1.	Changes in Income and Expenditure Consumption	108
11.6.2.	Water Quality and Quantity	108
11.6.3.	Influx.....	108
11.6.4.	Change of Livelihoods and Practices	109





11.6.5.	Occupational Health and Safety	109
11.6.6.	Project Impact Mitigation	109
11.6.7.	Impact Evaluation	109
11.7.	EHA #7: Accidents/Injuries	110
11.7.1.	Physical Injuries.....	110
11.7.2.	Road Traffic Accidents and Other Accidental Injuries	111
11.7.3.	Project Impact Mitigation	112
11.7.4.	Impact Evaluation	112
11.8.	EHA #8: Exposure to Potentially Hazardous Materials, Noise and Malodours	113
11.8.1	Air Quality and Criteria Air Pollutants.....	114
11.8.2	Dust Fallout (TSP)	117
11.8.3	Particulate Matter.....	117
11.8.4	Diesel Particulate Matter.....	117
11.8.5	Heat Stress	119
11.8.6	Noise.....	120
11.8.7	Solid Waste (General and Hazardous)	120
11.8.8	Project Impact Mitigation.....	121
11.8.9	Impact Evaluation	122
11.8.10	Emergency Preparedness and Response	122
11.9.	EHA #9: Social Determinants of Health.....	123
11.9.1	Influx Management	123
11.9.2	Change in Number of Households Living Below the Poverty Line	125
11.9.3	Education Levels and Unemployment	125
11.9.4	Creation of Employment	125
11.9.5	Change in Educational Attainment.....	126
11.9.6	Gender-based Violence, Alcohol and Drugs.....	126
11.9.7	Social Cohesion and Well-being	126
11.9.8	Project Impact Mitigation.....	127





11.9.9	Impact Evaluation	127
11.10.	EHA #11: Health Systems Issues	128
11.10.1	Health systems strengthening.....	128
11.10.2	In-migration.....	128
11.10.3	Project Impact Mitigation.....	129
11.10.4	Occupational Health and Safety	130
11.10.5	Impact Evaluation	130
11.11.	EHA #12: Non-Communicable Diseases	130
11.11.1	Mental Health and Well-being.....	132
11.11.2	Project Impact Mitigation.....	132
11.11.3	Occupational Health and Safety	133
11.11.4	Impact Evaluation	133
11.12.	Cumulative Impacts.....	133
12.	Conclusion and Recommendations	135
13.	Legal Requirements: Specialist Checklist.....	136
14.	References	138

List of Tables

Table 4-1: National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Criteria Pollutants	28
Table 4-2: Annexure A activities in terms of the National Pollution Prevention Plan Regulations include	30
Table 4-3: Acts and Regulations relevant to Health and Environmental Health.....	30
Table 5-1: Levels of HIA (IFC, 2009)	43
Table 5-2: Environmental Health Areas	47
Table 5-3: Ranking of Evaluation Criteria	50
Table 5-4: Matrix used to determine the overall significance of the impact based on the likelihood and effect of the impact	51
Table 5-5: Description of Environmental Significance Ratings and associated range of scores	52
Table 9-1: hazardous locations/accident hotspots	74
Table 11-1: Impact Evaluation –EHA # 1	97





The table below considers the scale of the specific predicted impacts related to EHA #2 by presenting the impact evaluation ratings for the three Project phases. Table 11-2: Impact Evaluation –EHA #2 101

Table 11-3: Impact Evaluation –EHA #4 104

Table 11-4: Impact Evaluation –EHA #5 107

Table 11-5: Impact Evaluation –EHA #6 110

Table 11-6: Impact Evaluation –EHA #7 113

Table 11-7: Impact Evaluation –EHA #8 122

Table 11-8: Impact Evaluation –EHA #9 128

Table 11-9: Impact Evaluation –EHA #11 130

Table 11-10: Impact Evaluation –EHA #12 133

Table 13-1: Specialist Checklist 136

List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Musina-Makhado SEZ Locality 20

Figure 3-1: Conceptual master plan representation of the Musina-Makhado SEZ southern site development plan 24

Figure 3-2: Artist impression of EMSEZ via the official website 25

Figure 3-3: The 4 600-megawatt coal-fired power plant, known as the “Power China International Energy Project” (EMSEZ) 25

Figure 3-4: Iron and ferrochrome plants. (EMSEZ) 26

Figure 3-5: The coking and lime plants (EMSEZ) 26

Figure 5-1: Activity impacts, health determinants and health-related outcomes 37

Figure 5-2: HIA Procedure 38

Figure 5-3: Project timeline showing when HIA, EIA, SIA, occupational health risk assessment (HRA) and health needs assessment (HNA) can be undertaken during a project’s lifecycle (ICMM, 2010)..... 41

Figure 5-4: Selecting a HIA Type 42

Figure 5-5: Triangulation of Data (Winkler *et al.*, 2011) 44

Figure 6-1: Typical Health Opportunities and Risks Associated with Special Economic Zone Development 55

Figure 7-1: Distribution of causes of death among children aged under 5, % of totals in RSA 57

Figure 8-1: Population distribution sub-district boundaries and health facility locations..... 62

Figure 9-1: Housing structure in Mopane 66

Figure 9-2: Settlement just off the R525 67

Figure 9-3: Street view of Mudimeli..... 67

Figure 9-4: Entrance into small informal settlement along the R545 towards Mopane 68

Figure 9-5: Surface water within the study area..... 70





Figure 9-6: Pit latrine in Mudimeli.....	71
Figure 9-7: Jojo tank used by small community on the R525 between Mopane and Bokmakirie	72
Figure 9-8: Bottle store and 'shebeen' in Mopane/ Mopane.....	78
Figure 9-9: Mudimeli Clinic.....	81
Figure 9-10: Mudimeli Clinic waiting area	82
Figure 9-11: Mudimeli Clinic activities for 2019.....	82
Figure 10-1: Coal combustion related health and environmental risks	87
Figure 10-2: Flow chart of calcium carbide production.....	92

Appendix A: Plans

Plan 1: Local Setting

Plan 2: Potentially Affected Communities

Plan 3: Healthcare Facilities

Appendix B: Questionnaires





List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

AIDS	Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome
APPA	Atmospheric Pollution Prevention Act (Act no. 45 of 1965)
AQIA	Air Quality Impact Assessment
ARI	Acute Respiratory Infection
cHIA	Community Health Impact Assessment
DEA	Department of Environmental Affairs
DEFF	Department of Environment Forestry and Fisheries
DG	Director General
DoH	Department of Health
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMP	Environmental Management Programs
GPN	Good Practice Note
HRA	Health Risk Assessment
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
HRA	Health Risk Assessment
IFC	International Finance Corporation
LEDA	Limpopo Economic Development Agency
MDR-TB	Multi-drug Resistant Tuberculosis
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NEM: AQA	Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act No. 39 of 2004)
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act 107 of 1998)
NHA	National Health Act, 2003 (Act No. 61 of 2003)
NIHL	Noise-Induced Hearing Loss
PHC	Primary Health Care
SEZ	Special Economic Zones
STIs	Sexually Transmitted Infections
TB	Tuberculosis
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
VOCs	Volatile Organic Compounds
WHO	World Health Organization



1. Introduction

The proposed Musina-Makhado Special Economic Zones (SEZ⁵) is situated within the Makhado and Musina local municipalities under jurisdiction of the Vhembe District Municipality within the Limpopo Province. The main strategic objective of the Limpopo Economic Development Agency (LEDA) is to accelerate industrial diversification through strategic economic development interventions. The metallurgical cluster zone of the SEZ's primary focus will be the beneficiation of minerals endowed in the Vhembe district and its neighbouring areas. Coking coal and other minerals, which are key inputs into the steel and iron production process will be part of the upstream and downstream value adding process, in line with the country's national industrialisation objectives and mineral beneficiation strategy. Other land uses envisaged to complement the energy and metallurgical complex will comprise bulk infrastructure, light industries, intermodal facilities, housing, retail centres, business uses, community facilities and telecommunication services. The zone will generate job opportunities for the skilled, semi-skilled and skilled labour market.

In terms of the National Environmental Act [NEMA], 1998 (Act 107 of 1998) a scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment process will be required to obtain Environmental Authorisation for the development of the Special Economic Zone. To ensure that environmental health becomes part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) decision making process, health will need to be integrated into the present process in a structured and systematic manner. This will ensure that human health issues, resulting from a listed activity, are addressed before the start of an activity. Niara Environmental Consultants (hereafter, Niara) was appointed by LEDA to undertake a Health Impact Assessment (HIA) for the proposed SEZ Project.

This document presents the results of the Health Impact Assessment (HIA) for the above-mentioned Project. The objective of the Study is to assess the human health impacts associated with the Project on the population of concern, with particular reference to vulnerable people, through the evaluation of various determinants of health, including those identified in the various specialist studies.

This HIA Report is structured into various sections. Section 9 provides health information including laws, demographics of the affected population, health status, project details, etc., providing a complete characterisation of the current situation around the Project. Section 11 discusses how populations may be affected and to what degree (potential impacts).

1.1. Project Background

The Limpopo Provincial Government was requested by the Department of Trade and Industry (DTI) to submit areas for evaluation considered as strategic for the development of the Limpopo economy through industrialisation.

⁵ A SEZ is a geographic portion of a country set aside in terms of the Special Economic Zone Act, 2014 (Act 16 of 2014) as amended for the development of specific industrial or economic activities, and supported through a range of special measures that do not generally apply outside of the zone.

Preliminary studies were conducted and the Limpopo province submitted four areas that align with potential growth points in the province.

The Department of Trade and Industry evaluated the submission and approved two of the areas for further feasibility investigation including Musina and Tubatse. The Limpopo province subsequently motivated that the proposed Musina SEZ will include two components situated at two different locations. A Skills Plan for the Musina SEZ is critical to ensure the long-term sustainability of the SEZ and lasting impact on the local and provincial economy. The Musina Special Economic Zone (SEZ) in Limpopo province involves a metallurgical and logistics cluster, with the potential of adding a petrochemical cluster in future. It consists of 2 sites, namely one at Antonvilla (Site 1) in Musina where logistics and manufacturing will form the main component and the other approximately 50km to the south of Musina referred to as the Makhado / Bokmakierie portion (Site 2), focussed on the metallurgical cluster. The SEZ land includes 375 ha that has been secured for phase 1 and a further 3150 ha in phase 2 (The phase 1 portion belongs to the Musina municipality and phase 2 portion is in process of being transferred from the Department of Public Works (DPW) to the municipality)) and Site 2 (belongs to the Community Property Owner's Association (CPA)) which consists of 6000 ha in total, of which 2000 ha has been agreed in principle already for phase 1.

The establishment of a Metallurgical Cluster in close proximity to the source of raw materials, the Logistics Hub in the SEZ, and access into the African market presents a unique opportunity for mineral beneficiation, which is a national key government priority. An investigation has been done into the establishment of a petrochemicals cluster in future within the SEZ; this has been found to be a viable proposal and will be pursued further upon securing suitable potential investors. The feasibility study indicated that a Logistics Cluster in the SEZ is viable and a value adding business opportunity because it provides an essential service to other Industries, playing a significant role in the movement of goods between South Africa and SADC. There is clearly a number of up-stream value adding opportunities in the supply chain as long as the SEZ is located close to a main corridor, in this case the North-South Corridor.

As the economies of SADC continue to grow, the need for capital equipment will increase especially if the SADC countries follow a policy of industrialisation. This will pave the way for South Africa to become a key supplier of capital goods to these markets as well as a supplier of input materials. Packaging, storage and distribution will form part of the SEZ Logistics Cluster. The Metallurgic cluster will be placed at Site 2 and all their secondary services will be located at Site 1.

The HIA deals specifically with the Makhado / Bokmakierie portion (Site 2) of the Musina-Makhado Special Economic Zone (SEZ), the 'southern site'. The locality of the two sites are illustrated in Figure 1-1.




Figure 1-1: Musina-Makhado SEZ Locality

1.2. Project Location

The Musina-Makhado SEZ comprises two geographical locations that address unique industrial clusters. The site in Musina targets the light industrial and agro-processing clusters, while the Makhado site is a metallurgical/mineral beneficiation complex.

The proposed Musina-Makhado SEZ is located on eight farms across the Makhado and Musina local municipalities, which fall under jurisdiction of the Vhembe District Municipality in the Limpopo Province. The nearest towns are Makhado (located 31 km south) and Musina (located 36 km north) of the proposed SEZ.

1.3. Terms of Reference

The purpose of this Health Impact Assessment (HIA) is to assess potential health related impacts associated with the proposed Musina-Makhado SEZ Project. It can be used to inform key LEDA decision makers, relevant South African Government authorities, other relevant stakeholders and to provide the Applicant with information to help identify management and mitigation measures. These mitigation measures aim to avoid, minimise and reduce potential health impacts as identified below.

The Terms of Reference for the HIA comprised the following:

- Desktop literature review to
 - Outline the country and regional health status; and
 - Review country-specific health regulations.
- A field visit to:
 - Undertake primary participatory data collection by interviewing individuals in the different Potentially Affected Communities (PACs);
 - Gather additional information that was not available in the public domain during the desktop review. This includes collection of information from health facilities, from the national health information management system (NHIS), as well as from unpublished reports and documents;
 - Identify key informants and conduct interviews using a semi-structured questionnaire;
 - Assess the standards of the local health facilities and functionality of the health management information system; and
 - Visualise the proposed SEZ Project and location of communities in relation to planned Project activities.
- Impact assessment process which involved:
 - Considering the potential future health impacts that the proposed SEZ Project may have on the health of the respective communities;
 - Determining the existing health needs of the community based on health strategies, infrastructure programs, service priorities, delivery plans and challenges;
 - Based on the existing evidence, rating the likelihood and consequence of different health impacts to outline their significance and prioritisation for mitigation; and
 - Considering recommendations for mitigation/management of priority impacts. Recommend measures to avoid/mitigate negative and enhance positive impacts resulting from the proposed SEZ Project at the relevant Project stage.

Although the EI/ EMPr is framed in accordance with the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014⁶ (the EIA 2014 Regulations), this study has considered in addition the relevant provisions contained in the Equator Principles⁷. These provisions had been derived from the principles themselves and the International Finance Corporation (IFC)'s Performance Standards⁸ and the Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) Guidelines. The Report has evaluated the different types of evidence from readily available information, to assess the health impacts associated with the proposed SEZ Project on the population of concern.⁹

2. Details and Expertise of Specialist

Vumile Dlamini-Ribeiro is currently the Director of Environmental Management Services at Niara Environmental Consultants (Pty) Ltd. Vumile has 12 years of professional experience in Environmental Assessment and Management. Her roles include the executive management responsibilities of Niara Environmental Consultants, project management, client and business development, marketing as well quality assurance.

Having worked for a multi-disciplinary advisory firms and environmental consultancies, Vumile has a competent understanding of the work effort and cross collaboration required for a successful multidisciplinary organisation. Vumile has been involved in a number of Environmental Impact Assessments and has a particular interest in health impacts assessments, water resource management, mining, energy and stakeholder engagement. Vumile has considerable experience across a range of developmental and environmental sciences and has worked in South Africa, Mozambique, Sierra Leone and Liberia and is familiar with Regulatory Environmental Legislation in other parts of Africa.

Vumile is very well versed in the IFC Environmental and Social Performance Standards (including IFC PS 2012) and the associated Equator Principles, which have informed the approach and standard for a number of ESIA processes that she has been involved in. Vumile is skilled at organising and driving effective project teams at a scale relevant to the project's requirements. She has technical experience and is able to quickly identify the most pertinent issues of a particular project whilst focussing on driving project success by rigorously implementing project management tools.

Vumile has experience ranging over several aspects of social research, including the planning and execution of social surveys, participatory rural appraisal, sustainable livelihoods assessments, data management and statistical analysis, capturing and management of spatial data, stakeholder identification and community facilitation. She has

⁶ GN R982 of 4 December 2014, as amended by GN R326 of 7 April 2017

⁷ Equator Principles III, June 2013

⁸ 2012 Edition

⁹ It should be noted that this study addresses the health impact on the wider community, as opposed to mine employees, since the terms of the Mine Health and Safety Act will be applicable to such employees

acted as project manager and/or task leader on a number of social impact studies in Africa. Social impact studies included both mining development and linear projects.

3. Overview of the Musina Makhado SEZ Project

Special Economic Zones (SEZs) are geographically designated areas of a country set aside for specifically targeted economic activities. They are supported through special arrangements (that may include laws) and systems that are often different from those that apply in the rest of the country.

The Musina-Makhado SEZ will comprise an offering of mixed land uses and infrastructure provision to ensure the optimal manufacturing operations in the SEZ. It is envisaged that the energy and metallurgical complex shall comprise the manufacturing plants outlined in the table below.

Projects	Capacity (Mtpa)	Area (ha)
Power Plant	3	300
Coke Plant	5	500
Ferrochromium Plant	3	500
Ferromanganese Plant	1	100
Pig Iron Plant	6	600
Carbon steel plant	2	200
Stainless steel plant	4	500
Lime plant	8	500
Silicon-manganese plant	0.5	100
Metal silicon plant	0.3	50
Calcium carbide plant	0.3	50
Infrastructure		2,600
Total		6,000




Figure 3-1: Conceptual master plan representation of the Musina-Makhado SEZ southern site development plan



Figure 3-2: Artist impression of EMSEZ via the official website



Figure 3-3: The 4 600-megawatt coal-fired power plant, known as the "Power China International Energy Project" (EMSEZ)



Figure 3-4: Iron and ferrochrome plants. (EMSEZ)



Figure 3-5: The coking and lime plants (EMSEZ)

4. South African Legislation Pertaining to Health

4.1. Constitution of the Republic of South Africa (Act 108 of 1996)

The over-arching legislation is the Constitution of South Africa (Act 108 of 1996) (the Constitution), in particular Section 24, which places people and their needs at the forefront of environmental management. The Constitution provides a right to “an environment that is not harmful to [human] health or well-being” and to have the environment protected, for the benefit of present and future generations, through reasonable legislative measures. These

measures include the prevention of pollution and ecological degradation, the promotion of conservation, the securing of ecologically sustainable development and the utilisation of natural resources while promoting justifiable economic and social development.

4.2. The National Health Act (Act 61 of 2003)

The National Health Act, 2003 (Act No. 61 of 2003) (NHA) provides a framework for a structured uniform health system in South Africa, considering the obligations with regard to health services imposed on the national, provincial and local governments by the Constitution and other laws. Any activity that gives rise to offensive/injurious conditions or is dangerous to health (e.g. accumulation of refuse) may have a negative impact on health and thus warrants being assessed in the EHIA (DOH, 2010). The Director General (DG) should issue and promote adherence to, norms and standards on health matters, including conditions that constitute a health hazard and facilitate the provision of indoor and outdoor environmental pollution control services. The Act also provides for environmental health investigations in Section 88.

4.3. National Ambient Air Quality Standards

The Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) issued ambient air quality guidelines for several criteria pollutants, including particulates, sulphur dioxide, oxides of nitrogen, lead, ozone and carbon monoxide. The National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act No. 39 of 2004) (NEM: AQA) adopted these guidelines as National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). On 2 June 2006, the Minister of Environmental Affairs and Tourism announced his intention of setting new ambient air quality standards in terms of Section 9(1)(a) and (b) of the NEM: AQA. The proposed new standards were published for public comment in the Government Gazette of 9 June 2006. Since then, updated draft National standards with allowable frequencies of exceedance and compliance timeframes have been proposed.

The prevailing legislation in the Republic of South Africa with regards to air quality is the NEM: AQA. The NEM: AQA serves to repeal the Atmospheric Pollution Prevention Act (Act no. 45 of 1965) (APPA).

The purpose of NEM: AQA is to set norms and standards that relate to:

- Institutional frameworks, roles and responsibilities;
- Air quality management planning;
- Air quality monitoring and information management;
- Air quality management measures; and
- General compliance and enforcement.

Guidelines provide a basis for protecting public health from adverse effects of air pollution and for eliminating, or reducing to a minimum, those contaminants of air that are known or likely to be hazardous to human health and well-being (WHO, 2000). Once the guidelines are adopted as standards, they become legally enforceable. These standards prescribe the allowable ambient concentrations of pollutants which are not to be exceeded during a

specified time period in a defined area. If the air quality guidelines/standards are exceeded, the ambient air quality is poor and the potential for health effects is greatest.

Table 4-1: National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Criteria Pollutants

POLLUTANT	AVERAGING PERIOD	CONCENTRATION ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	FREQUENCY OF EXCEEDANCE ⁽³⁾
Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂)	10 minutes	500 (191)	526
	1 hour	350 (134)	88
	24 hours	125 (48)	4
	1 year	50 (19)	0
Nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂)	1 hour	200 (106)	88
	1 year	40 (21)	0
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	24 hours	75	4
	1 year	40	0
Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})	24 hours	40 ⁽¹⁾	0
		25 ⁽²⁾	
	1 year	20 ⁽¹⁾ 15 ⁽²⁾	0
Ozone (O ₃)	8 hours (running)	120 (61)	11
Benzene (C ₆ H ₆)	1 year	5 (1.6)	0
Lead (Pb)	1 year	0.5	0
Carbon monoxide (CO)	1 hour	30 000 (26 000)	88
	8 hours (calculated on 1 hourly averages)	10 000 (8 700)	11

Notes:

*Values indicated in blue are expressed in part per billion (ppb)

(1) Compliance required by 1 January 2016 – 31 December 2029.

(2) Compliance required by 1 January 2030.

(3) Frequency of exceedance refers to the number of times an exceedance is allowed within a calendar year.

Air quality legislation comprises primary standards which protect human health and secondary standards which protect property, vegetation, climate and aesthetic values. The development of new industries that increase air pollution through the emission of gases in the atmosphere should be managed. It should, however, be noted that no Air Quality Impact Assessment, inclusive of dispersion modelling was conducted at the time of this study.

4.4. National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), as amended

The National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) provides the legislative framework for Integrated Environmental Management (IEM) in South Africa. Section 24 provides that all activities that may significantly affect the environment and require authorisation by law must be assessed prior to approval. NEMA also provides for co-operative environmental governance by establishing principles for decision-making on matters affecting the environment, institutions that will promote co-operative governance and procedures for co-ordinating environmental functions exercised by organs of the State and to provide for matters connected therewith. Section 2 of NEMA establishes a set of principles that apply to the activities of all organs of state that may significantly affect the environment.

These include the following:

- Development must be sustainable;
- Pollution must be avoided or minimised and remedied;
- Waste must be avoided or minimised, reused or recycled;
- Negative impacts must be minimised; and
- Responsibility for the environmental health and safety consequences of a policy, project, product or service exists throughout its life cycle.

These principles are taken into consideration when a government department exercises its powers, for example during the granting of permits and the enforcement of existing legislation or conditions of approval.

Section 28(1) of NEMA states that “every person who causes, has caused or may cause significant pollution or degradation of the environment must take reasonable measures to prevent such pollution or degradation from occurring, continuing or recurring”. If such pollution cannot be prevented, then appropriate measures must be taken to minimise or rectify such pollution. These measures may include:

- Assessing the impact on the environment;
- Informing and educating employees about the environmental risks of their work and ways of minimising these risks;
- Ceasing, modifying or controlling actions which cause pollution/degradation;
- Containing pollutants or preventing movement of pollutants;
- Eliminating the source of pollution; and
- Remedying the impacts of the pollution.

The authorities may direct an industry to rectify or remedy a potential or actual pollution problem. If such a directive is not complied with, the authorities may undertake the work and recover the costs from the responsible industry.

4.5. GHG Emissions

On 14 March 2014, the following six greenhouse gases were declared as priority air pollutants in South Africa:

- ☛ Carbon dioxide (CO₂);
- ☛ Methane (CH₄);
- ☛ Nitrous Oxide (N₂O);
- ☛ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs);
- ☛ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs); and
- ☛ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆)

National GHG Emission Reporting Regulations (Government Gazette No. 40762 of 3 April 2017), were published by the DEA (now known as DEFF). A person identified as a Category A data provider in terms Annexure 1 of these regulations, must register their facilities by filling in the form under Annexure 2 of these regulations and must submit a GHG emissions inventory and activity data in the required format given under Annexure 3 of these regulations on an annual basis. All data must be provided annually, by the 31 March of the following year. Data providers are required to register on the NAEIS and report on their direct GHG emissions on an annual basis and comply with the reporting requirements as detailed in the National GHG Emission Reporting Regulations.

National Pollution Prevention Plan Regulations (Gazette No. 40996) were published on 21 July 2017 by the DEA (now known as DEFF). A Pollution Prevention Plan will be required should the development do the following:

- a. Undertake any of the following activities identified in Annexure A of the National GHG Emission Reporting Regulations (Government Gazette No. 40762 of 3 April 2017), which involves the direct emission of GHG more than 0.1 Megatonnes (Mt) annually measured as carbon dioxide equivalents (CO_{2-eq}); or
- b. Undertake any of the following activities identified in Annexure A of the National Pollution Prevention Plan Regulations (Gazette No. 40996 of 21 July 2017) as a primary activity, which involves the direct emission of GHG more than 0.1 Megatonnes (Mt) annually measured as carbon dioxide equivalents (CO_{2-eq});

Table 4-2: Annexure A activities in terms of the National Pollution Prevention Plan Regulations include

☛ Coal mining	☛ Carbon black production
☛ Production and /or refining of crude oil	☛ Iron & steel production
☛ Production and/or processing of natural gas	☛ Ferro-alloys production
☛ Production of liquid fuels from coal or gas	☛ Aluminium production
☛ Cement production	☛ Polymers production
☛ Glass production	☛ Pulp and paper production
☛ Ammonia production	☛ Electricity production
	☛ Nitric acid production

4.6. Other Relevant Legislation

Acts and Regulations pertaining to health and environmental, and health in particular, are indicated in Table 4-3

Table 4-3: Acts and Regulations relevant to Health and Environmental Health

National legislation	Relevance to HIA
Atmospheric Pollution Prevention Act (Act 45 of 1965)	Hazardous substances associated with air pollution affect human health. This Act has identified some of the activities for which authorization for emissions is required from the DEA (DOH, 2010).
National Environmental Management: Waste Act (Act 59 of 2008)	The objectives of this Act are to protect health, well-being and the environment; to ensure that people are aware of the impact of waste on their health, well-being and the environment; to provide for compliance with the measures set out in the Act and to give effect to Section 24 of the Constitution to secure an environment that is not harmful to health and well-being (DOH, 2010).
National Water Act (Act 36 of 1998)	The quality of water in domestic water sources impacts on human health. The Act provides for the protection of water quality for the benefit of human health and aquatic ecosystems through the concept of the reserve determination process (DOH, 2010).
Water Services Act (No. 108 of 1998)	Water services (water supply services and sanitation services) may impact on human health. Water service providers have an important role to play in this regard. Proposed activities may involve industrial use of water, which is covered under Section 7 of this Act (DOH, 2010)

4.7. International Management Standards

There are a number of international guidelines or best practice guidelines that refer to community health in development or industrial Projects. The World Bank Group's standards and norms, in particular those developed by its private sector arm, the International Finance Corporation (IFC), are generally considered as the benchmark. The IFC has published a set of Performance Standards for large projects that will require international funding. Performance Standard 4 (PS4): Community Health, Safety and Security, recognises that Project activities result in both positive and negative impacts to communities (IFC, 2012). The objectives of this PS4 are:

- To avoid or minimise risks to and impacts on the health and safety of the local community during the Project life cycle from both routine and non-routine circumstances; and
- To ensure that the safeguarding of personnel and property is carried out in a legitimate manner that avoids or minimises risks to the community's safety and security.

IFC Performance Standard 4 "Community Health, Safety and Security" states that:

"The client will evaluate the risks and impacts to the health and safety of the Affected Communities during Project life-cycle and will establish preventive and control measures consistent with Good International Industry Practice (GIIP), such as in the World Bank Group Environmental, Health and Safety Guidelines (EHS Guidelines) or other internationally recognised sources. The client will identify risks and impacts and propose mitigation measures that

are commensurate with their nature and magnitude. These measures will favour the prevention or avoidance of risks and impacts over minimization.”

In addition to being considered the benchmark standards for major projects, the IFC's Performance Standards are applicable to projects seeking financing from either the IFC or other Equator Principles funding institutions.

Key requirements include:

- Evaluation of the risks and impacts of the affected community during the design, construction, operation and decommissioning of the Project;
- Where the Project poses risks to the health, safety and security of communities, an Action Plan will be disclosed on an on-going basis to enable the community to understand the risks and adverse impacts;
- The design, construction, operation and decommissioning of the Project will be in accordance with good international industry practice. Particular consideration will be given to potential exposure to natural hazards;
- Adverse impacts on soil and groundwater as a result of the proposed SEZ Project will also be avoided;
- The transmission of communicable diseases from temporary or permanent labour will be minimised;
- Risks and impacts from Project activities will be assessed and communicated in a culturally-appropriate manner. Emergency community situations shall be addressed; and
- Where employees or contractors are retained to provide security, the risks to those inside and outside the Project site will be assessed.

The IFC Environmental, Health, and Safety Guidelines include community health, safety and security aspects.

The Guidelines address aspects related to:

- Water quality and availability – preventing adverse impacts to the quality and availability of groundwater and surface water resources, and protecting drinking water sources, whether public or private, at all times;
- Structural safety of Project infrastructure – reducing potential hazards posed to the public while accessing Project facilities, and undertaking hazard analysis to identify opportunities to reduce the consequences of a failure or accident;
- Life and fire safety – design, construction and operation of all new buildings accessible to the public in accordance with building codes, fire regulations, legal/insurance requirements, and an internationally accepted Life and Fire Safety (L&FS) standard. Fire prevention, means of egress (design measures that facilitate safe evacuation in case of an emergency), detection and alarm systems, and an emergency response plan are important elements of the life and fire safety provisions;
- Traffic safety – preventing traffic accidents and promoting traffic safety by all Project personnel;
- Transport of hazardous materials – establishing procedures to ensure compliance with local laws and international requirements applicable to the transportation of hazardous materials, as well as measures presented for preventing or minimizing the consequences of catastrophic releases of hazardous materials;
- Disease prevention – preventing the occurrence and spread of communicable;

- Diseases, including surveillance, active screening and treatment of workers, undertaking health awareness and education initiatives in local communities, and providing health services; and
- Emergency preparedness and response – preparing Emergency Preparedness and Response plans commensurate with the risks of the facility, including provisions for communication systems, community notification, media and agency relations, medical services, etc.

4.8. Company Management Standards

The Applicant does not yet have a specific management standard that addresses community health or supports the use of HIA as a tool. However, as part of the Applicant's commitment to work with communities within which it operates, community healthcare is a key focus. The Applicant is committed to providing a safe, injury free and healthy place to live and work – the health and safety of the residents, employees and contract workers in their respective homes and adjoining communities is a key priority.

5. Health Impact Assessment Framework and Methodology

It is important that a distinction is made between HIA and Health Risk Assessment (HRA). HRA is concerned with the identification of hazards and risks to the workforce which relate to occupational health and safety and engineering design. Generally, HRA is “within the fence” while HIA is “outside the fence” but there are distinct overlaps with HIA often taking a central position as workplace activities can affect community health and existing community health needs or disease burdens can affect workplace health. HIA is used to evaluate the public health consequences of proposed decisions in non-health sectors (CDC), while HRA is about “quantitative, analytic process to estimate the nature and risk of adverse human health effects associated with exposure to specific chemical contaminants or other hazards in the environment, now or in the future (CDC). Results from HRA can be used within a HIA to predict human health effects of specific exposures. It is thus important that these assessments should not be placed into individual elements but integrated to support an overall strategic plan for the Project. Workplace health is specifically out of scope of the HIA; however, it is important to understand which activities in the workplace can impact community health. This is important as the project activities can impart direct external influences on community health and the workforce also originates from the community and thus workplace activities and potential exposures must not be transferred back to the community at the end of shifts.

5.1. Introduction and Definition

A HIA is a practical, multi-disciplinary process, combining a range of qualitative and quantitative evidence in a decision-making framework. A HIA seeks to identify and estimate the lasting or significant changes of different actions on the health status of a defined population (Winkler *et al.*, 2010). A HIA may be defined as “*a combination of procedures, methods and tools by which a Project may be judged as to its potential effects on the health of a population, and the distribution of those effects within the population*”. The objective of a HIA is to deliver evidence-

based recommendations to maximize potential positive health benefits and prevent or mitigate any detrimental health impacts that a Project may have on the potentially affected communities (PAC) (WHO/ECHP, 1999).

The WHO defines health as a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity. This is influenced through complex interaction of social, economic, genetic, and environmental factors (WHO, 2010c).

The ultimate deliverable of a HIA is a Community Health Management Plan (CHMP) (Winkler *et al.*, 2011). This plan would be based on evidence and stakeholder input, prioritised according to impacts and needs and having clear indicators to monitor and evaluate impacts and programs. The CHMP will also facilitate the development of social development programs linked to health.

The holistic model of health used in the HIA process acknowledges that the health status of a population is affected by factors known as health determinants (e.g. education, income level, health services, etc.). All of these are closely interlinked and differentials in their distribution lead to health inequalities. These include both biophysical and social determinants of health as well and not just purely health outcomes. The methodology allows HIA practitioners to consider how a Project affects these determinants of health, as well as health outcomes.

5.2. Environmental Health Areas

A World Bank analysis demonstrated that an almost 50% improvement in major health outcomes could be achieved by improvements in four sectors: (i) housing and urban development; (ii) water, food and sanitation; (iii) transportation; and (iv) communication. Building upon this sectoral analysis and incorporating a broad perspective on “environmental health” led to the development of a defined set of environmental health areas which have been adopted in the IFC Notes for PS4 the 2005 IPIECA (International Petroleum Industry Environmental Conservation Association) HIA guidelines and the IFC HIA GPN.

The IFC methodology uses twelve (12) Environmental Health Areas (EHAs) to support the systematic analysis of health considerations. These are summarized in Table 5-2.

The set of EHAs provides a linkage between project-related activities and potential positive or negative community-level impacts and incorporate a variety of biomedical and key social determinants of health. In this integrated analysis, cross-cutting environmental and social conditions that contain significant health components are identified instead of a HIA focusing primarily on disease-specific considerations – as is frequently done in many biomedical analyses of potential project-related public health impacts. The EHA framework is based on an analysis performed and published by the World Bank.

5.3. Potentially Affected Communities

To identify and quantify potential health impacts an accurate population profile is required and it is important to distinguish between differences in exposure and susceptibility. Thus, besides a demographic profile of the at-risk population and the identification of the most vulnerable groups, it is crucial to understand how the development,

construction and operational activities are likely to impact at both a household and community level. Impacts caused by resettlement, shifts in the social structures or influx triggered increases in population density are considered within the overall assessment.

The key aspects when considering the potential influence of the project to the Potentially Affected Communities (PACs) is the exposure pathway of the potential health determinant. The following key elements need to be considered:

- Whether there is a hazard;
- Who or what may be exposed to this hazard (pathway and rate of exposure to estimate the concentration/extent to which human receptors of concern may be exposed);
- The mode (air, water, food, vector, social determinants etc.) and route (inhalation, ingestion etc.) of exposure;
- The risk of exposure based on a likelihood and consequence analysis (magnitude, duration and length); and
- How sensitive or vulnerable the receptor is to the potential hazard or impact.

As part of the analysis, the relevant overall population is stratified into PACs. A PAC is a defined community within a clear geographical boundary where project-related health impacts may reasonably be expected to occur. PACs are inherently prospective and simply represent best professional judgments. PACs are likely to change over the course of project implementation; and there may be changes in the project design, and thus its longer-term implications are not fully known. This implies that the definition of PACs may need further adaptation as the project moves ahead; therefore, the specification of a PAC should be viewed as time-dependent as it will evolve over the project cycle. Findings of social and economic assessments, resettlement plans and migration management plans need to be carefully updated as this allows linkage between the PACs and key demographic determinants such as age structure and population numbers.

Mitigation strategies may also require specific considerations for the different PACs. On the one hand, not all the EHAs may be of concern for mitigation for the individual PACs. On the other hand, a separate risk analysis for a PAC may be indicated due to a particular susceptibility to a specific health impact. However, at this stage of the impact assessment only limited risk analysis will be carried out for different PACs based on the existing designs.

5.4. Determinants of Health

The driving concept behind HIA is that individual and community health is not simply determined by health services or biological factors (e.g., age). It is also shaped by wider social, economic and environmental influences and factors. These health determinants are the factors that lead to health outcomes and include:

- Physical environment factors (e.g., air quality, water quality, hazards);



- Built environment factors (e.g., buildings, public spaces, roads, bike lanes);
- Livelihood factors (e.g., income, employment);
- Social and community factors (e.g., social support, family structure, access to services); and
- Lifestyle factors (e.g., diet, exercise, alcohol and tobacco use)

On the other hand, there are health-related outcomes. These health outcomes refer to the health status of both individuals and groups within a population or community, and can include both positive and negative outcomes. The health determinants—as impacted by an activity—contribute to health outcomes in various ways, either directly or indirectly. Health outcomes can include things like morbidity rates (injuries), mortalities, asthma, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and other diseases. Both determinants of health as well as health outcomes will be assessed in this Report. This Report does however use a broader definition of health outcomes that includes the behaviours and contributing factors that contribute to an individual or community's health status. For example, while physical activity is not exactly a health outcome, it does have a notable effect on health outcomes and is therefore considered a health-related outcome.

The process diagram (Figure 5-1) illustrates the general relationship between an activity, the impacts activities can have on health determinants, and the resulting influences and changes to health-related outcomes. These determinants of health encompass all 12 EHAs.





Figure 5-1: Activity impacts, health determinants and health-related outcomes

5.5. Overview of the HIA Process

A standardised approach was considered for the HIA to ensure that evidence-based recommendations supported the impact assessment. To ensure compliance with the IFC performance standards, and especially PS4, the methodology outlined in the Good Practice Note for HIA from the IFC, was adopted (IFC, 2009). The main elements of this are illustrated in Figure 5-2. These are also discussed briefly below so that the context of the HIA is understood.




Figure 5-2: HIA Procedure

The framework that is commonly used for a HIA follows a 6-step process (IFC, 2009):

- Screening (preliminary evaluation to determine the necessity of a HIA);
- Scoping (identifying the range of potential Project-related health impacts and defining the terms of reference for the HIA, based on published literature, local data and broad stakeholder consultation and how these may be influenced by the Project);
- Risk assessment (qualitative and quantitative appraisal of the potential health impacts in relation to defined communities and the Project development, including stakeholder participation);
- Appraisal and mitigation (development of a CHMP) based on the findings of the risk assessment);
- Implementation and monitoring (realisation of the CHMP including monitoring activities that allow for adaptation); and

- Evaluation and verification of performance and effectiveness (key step to analyse the HIA process as a whole).

This HIA aims to influence design and inform the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Project. As HIAs are dynamic iterative processes they do require flexibility in their methodologies and tools, so that they can be fit for purpose for different Projects.

5.6. Benefits of HIA

Assessment and management of community health, safety and well-being impacts is increasingly considered part of the risk management and social responsibility of heavy industrial and metals operators. A range of industrial sectors e.g. oil and gas, chemical manufacturing and transportation are increasingly looking to embed HIA within their organizational and project management structures. For new development projects and modifications, or acquisitions of existing projects, HIA can:

- Identify and maximize the positive community health and well-being impacts and opportunities that a development project can bring;
- Identify, avoid and minimize, through changes to the project design and implementation, the unintended negative community health and well-being impacts that can arise;
- Identify existing community health problems, which could amplify the impact of a proposed project and affect its viability;
- Identify country-specific health regulations which may affect the proposed SEZ Project;
- Provide a process through which the project can work in partnership with local health, social care, and welfare services to jointly alleviate these health problems;
- Form one part of a broader community and local stakeholder involvement and engagement process that can build trust, draw out any community concerns and generate a dialogue about the best ways that the project can benefit local communities;
- Help to make explicit the potential trade-offs between community health and well-being and other economic, environmental and social objectives of the proposed SEZ Project;
- Provide an equitable, transparent and evidence-based approach to planning and funding community health infrastructure and development activities to protect and enhance sustainable local livelihoods;
- Help to negotiate jointly those aspects of community health and well-being which are the responsibility of the project and those aspects which are the responsibility of local government and local public services; and
- Help to manage project sustainability and obtain a long-term licence to operate.

A proactive approach to preventing ill health and maximizing health and well-being benefits can improve the financial performance of a project and parent company. Key bottom line benefits include:

- Speedier achievement of a project's licence to operate;
- Lower planning and associated legal and consultancy costs;
- Access to international funding;
- Lower risk of disruptive protest or sabotage;
- Lower risk of damage to a project and parent company's reputation;
- Lower risk of future community-led liability and litigation;
- Reduced absenteeism and health care costs for employees from local communities; and
- Improved general employee morale.

5.7. HIA and Project Timeline

Ideally a HIA should be undertaken as early as possible in a project's design, iteratively and in parallel with project planning, with HIA results timed to inform key decisions. At the initial concept stages of planning, when details are sketchy, an in-house rapid HIA can be useful. Rapid HIAs are 'first look' desk-based analyses that take hours, days or sometimes a few weeks to carry out. They aim to provide a quick overview of the potentially important impacts so that emerging community health issues can inform the early project design and planning process. They generally do this by undertaking a rapid community profile and review of the health impact research literature; and by using existing community feedback.

As the project plan is developed in more detail, a more formal rapid or in-depth HIA should be completed with the help of relevant specialists either as a standalone assessment or as part of an integrated environmental, social and health impact assessment (ESHIA). Figure 5-3 shows a timeline of when key assessments can be undertaken during the lifecycle of a project and how they can feed into each other.




Figure 5-3: Project timeline showing when HIA, EIA, SIA, occupational health risk assessment (HRA) and health needs assessment (HNA) can be undertaken during a project's lifecycle (ICMM, 2010).

5.8. Determining the scale of the HIA

The level or scale of a HIA depends on the complexity of the Project, the magnitude of expected impacts, as well as the Project phase during which the HIA is undertaken. Various levels of HIA are defined in Table 5-1 below (IFC, 2012).

When gathering new field data for the HIA, the Project will require different levels of effort and needs. The key descriptive terms for these cases— “comprehensive” and “rapid appraisal”—indicate the different depths of analysis and consultation required, and whether the performance of the HIA involves collecting new field data.

In Figure 5-4, the ‘potential health impact’ axis considers health issues in the Project location, such as:

- ▣ Hazardous materials exposure—how the manufacturing plants/ facilities will operate, and what the exposures to physical, biological, and chemical agents will be;
- ▣ Endemic disease profile;
- ▣ HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, schistosomiasis, etc.;
- ▣ Health systems and infrastructure—poor or non-existent health infrastructures; and
- ▣ Stakeholder concerns—critical community issues, such as water quality or access, increased road traffic and accidents.

The ‘social sensitivity’ axis in Figure 5-4 covers a broad range of issues, many of which are typically addressed within the social analysis of the potentially affected communities (for example, conflict, resettlement, political factors, vulnerable communities, human rights, and equity concerns). The vulnerable status includes factors such as gender, ethnicity, culture, sickness, physical or mental disability, poverty or economic disadvantage, and dependence on unique natural resources (IFC, 2012).




Figure 5-4: Selecting a HIA Type

Since the Project is a relatively big and an influx of new residents (however few) is expected, a Comprehensive HIA was deemed necessary, as opposed to Rapid Appraisal or Desktop HIA. An essential element of the comprehensive HIA is the need for some type of new data collection in potentially affected communities, and for helping to predict changes in health determinants, the associated risks, and health outcomes.

A Limited In-Country HIA uses information that is readily accessible in the public domain. Data sources may include peer-reviewed scientific literature and “grey literature,” that is, health department data. Although no specific new data collection is required, workshops or discussions with key internal and external stakeholders can provide useful health-related information. Limited in-country HIAs are appropriate for many expansion scenarios where new data collection is not crucial (IFC, 2009). The activities undertaken in the limited in-country HIA are highlighted in Table 5-1 and formed the basis for this study.

Table 5-1: Levels of HIA (IFC, 2009)

Level of HIA	Characteristics
Desktop HIA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ☛ Provides a broad overview of possible health impacts; ☛ Analysis of existing and accessible data; and ☛ No new Project specific survey data collection.
Scoping/Rapid Appraisal HIA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ☛ Provides more detailed information of possible health impacts; ☛ Analysis of existing data; ☛ Stakeholder and key informant analysis; and ☛ No new Project-specific survey data collection.
Comprehensive HIA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ☛ Provides a comprehensive assessment of potential health impacts; ☛ Robust definition of impacts; ☛ New Project specific survey data collection; and ☛ Participatory approaches involving stakeholders and key informants.

5.9. Baseline Data Collection

The data collection activities of the HIA included a desktop literature review, participatory data collection (stakeholder input – questionnaire and a Focus Group Discussion) and direct observation. This method allows for the triangulation of data and provides a robust description of data as shown in Figure 5-5 (Winkler *et al.*, 2011).




Figure 5-5: Triangulation of Data (Winkler *et al.*, 2011)

5.10.1. Desktop Work

This involved a literature review of health-related data in the public domain as well as a review of existing Project documentation and related secondary data. The literature review was completed before the field visit so that data gaps could be identified and questioning routes for key informants and stakeholders, as well as questionnaires could be developed. Priority was given to topics that contributed the most towards the burden of disease in South Africa and the proposed SEZ Project site and also to health-related incidents related to heavy industries and steel production.

The desktop work included an extensive literature review to inform the health profiling of the region and where possible the population in the proposed SEZ Project site. The desktop work described the broad health status of the population, based on a systematic review of the 12 EHAs.

The outcomes of the literature review are presented in Section 6 of this Report and have been combined with the information that was acquired during the field visit and subsequent Project documentation review.

5.10.2. Questionnaire Design

Participatory tools were used in data collection. These tools included a semi-structured interview with four key informants, and a questionnaire administered to community members through informal interviews and discussions (both males and females). It was decided to only include women groups during the discussions as they are generally considered to be the gatekeepers to family health and usually have a good understanding of critical issues that influence health at the community and household level. The questionnaires were designed to assist in the identification of the major health concerns for the community, institutional issues (satisfaction or lack thereof with health facilities), socio-economic aspects and environmental concerns.

These questionnaires and discussions also sought to establish Knowledge, Attitudes, Practices, and Belief (KAPB) for specific diseases such as HIV/AIDS. The questionnaires used for the purpose of this study have been appended

to this Report; see Appendix B for the Focus Group Discussion Questionnaire, Key Informant Interview Questionnaire as well as the Traditional Healer Questionnaire.

5.10.3. Field Visit

A field visit was undertaken to:

- Collect primary participatory data in the form of semi-structured focus group discussions with men and women in the different Project- affected communities;
- Gather additional information that was not available in the public domain during the desktop review. This includes collection of information from health facilities, from the national health information management system, as well as from unpublished reports and documents –qualitative and quantitative data;
- Identify key informants and conduct interviews using a semi-structured questionnaire;
- View the standards of the local health facilities and functionality of the health management information system; and
- Visualise the Project and location of communities in relation to planned Project activities.

The field work took place in October 2019. This was conducted by Vumile Ribeiro and a trainee on the HIA team. The field visit provided an opportunity to visualise and assess the prevailing situation in the communities and their relation to the proposed SEZ Project. This was important to understand the potential areas of influence of the Project and also the general living conditions in the communities living in close vicinity to the proposed SEZ Project site.

5.10.4. Exposure Analysis

Potential sources of exposures and pathways to sensitive/ community receptors that could give rise to health risks as a result of activities at, or associated with, the proposed SEZ activities have been identified. This should not be confused with Human biomonitoring (HBM), which is a technique in common for both workplace and community assessments. HBM can be defined as the method for assessing human exposure to chemicals or their effects by measuring these chemicals, their metabolites or reaction products in human specimens (CDC, 2012; NRC, 2006). Biomonitoring involves measurements of biomarkers in bodily fluids, such as blood, urine, saliva, breast milk, sweat, and other specimens, such as faeces, hair, teeth, and nails. Biomonitoring data directly reflect the total body burden or biological effect resulting from all routes of exposure, and interindividual variability in exposure levels, metabolism and excretion rates. HBM is not part of the scope for this study.

Recommendations for appropriate mitigation measures to reduce potential health impacts as well as future occupational and environmental monitoring needs have been made.

5.10.5. Key Informant Interviews

It was intended to conduct interviews with key Healthcare personnel from Healthcare facilities surrounding the Project area. The objective of these interviews would have been to gain a better understanding of the structure and capacity of the local health system, to enquire what health statistics were available at the local level and where possible obtain authorised copies of statistics and reports. Due to hindrance of the HIA Specialist Team by the Department of Health (DoH), Key Informant Interviews could not be conducted in any of the targeted/ identified healthcare facilities. An 'offline' visit to Mudimeli Clinic was however conducted.

5.10.6. Discussions

The entire community living in Mopane is affected by the operations of the SEZ including people living on farms to in and surrounding the proposed Project area. In addition to visiting the local health facilities, informal discussions were conducted in the immediate and wider proposed Project site –refer to Plan 2 for an illustration of the various PACs. The informal discussions were held with randomly selected individuals at the following communities:

- Maaname;
- Manyii;
- Tshikuwi
- Mopane; and
- Mudimeli

Although women generally considered to be the gatekeepers to family health and usually have a good understanding of critical issues that influence health at the community and household level, it was decided to include both men and women during these informal discussions. This allows for a high-level understanding of the health challenges, from both a biophysical and social health perspective. Discussions were conducted in English, with simultaneous translation into the local language spoken (TshiVenda) in the community.

5.10. Potential Impact Categorisation: Environmental Health Areas (EHAs) Framework

Potential community health impacts were identified on the basis of: (i) the available health data from the literature review; (ii) the information generated through stakeholder consultation; (iii) the knowledge of the Project context and developments; (iv) input from other specialist studies that inform the elements of the EIA; and (v) experience of previous HIAs in similar settings (Winkler *et al.*, 2010).

The identified potential impacts were then categorised in terms of 12 Environmental Health Areas (EHAs) – a set of health-related factors and considerations defined by IFC methodology. These are summarised in Table 5-2. The set of EHAs provides a linkage between Project-related activities and potential positive or negative community-level impacts, and incorporates a variety of biomedical and key social determinants of health. In this integrated analysis, cross-cutting environmental and social conditions that contain significant health components are

identified instead of a HIA focusing primarily on disease-specific considerations – as is frequently done in many biomedical analyses of potential Project-related public health impacts. The EHA framework is based on an analysis performed and published by the World Bank (IFC, 2009).

Table 5-2: Environmental Health Areas

Environmental Health Areas (EHAs)	
1.	Vector-related diseases – Mosquito, fly, tick and lice-related diseases (e.g. malaria, dengue, yellow fever, lymphatic filariasis, rift valley fever, etc.).
2.	Acute respiratory infections and respiratory effects from housing – Transmission of communicable diseases (e.g. acute respiratory infections, pneumonia, tuberculosis, meningitis, plague, leprosy, etc.) and respiratory infections.
3.	Veterinary medicine and zoonotic issues – Diseases affecting animals (e.g. bovine tuberculosis, swinepox, avian influenza) or that can be transmitted from animal to human (e.g. rabies, brucellosis, Rift Valley fever, Lassa fever, leptospirosis, etc.).
4.	Sexually-transmitted infections, including Human Immunodeficiency Virus/ Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (HIV/AIDS) – Sexually-transmitted infections such as syphilis, gonorrhoea, chlamydia, hepatitis B and, most importantly, HIV/AIDS. Linkages of TB will be discussed where relevant under HIV, but often linked to EHA1.
5.	Soil-, water- and waste-related diseases – Diseases that are transmitted directly or indirectly through contaminated water, soil or non-hazardous waste (e.g. diarrheal diseases, schistosomiasis, hepatitis A and E, poliomyelitis, soil-transmitted helminthiasis, etc.).
6.	Food- and nutrition-related issues – Adverse health effects such as malnutrition, anaemia or micronutrient deficiencies due to e.g. changes in agricultural and subsistence practices, or food inflation; gastroenteritis, food-borne trematodiasis, etc. This will also consider feeding behaviours and practices.
7.	Accidents/injuries – Road traffic or work-related accidents and injuries (home and Project related); drowning.
8.	Exposure to potentially hazardous materials, noise and malodours – This considers the environmental health determinants linked to the Project and related activities. Noise, water and air pollution (indoor and outdoor) as well as visual impacts will be considered in this biophysical category. It can also include exposure to heavy metals and hazardous chemical substances and other compounds, solvents or spills and releases from road traffic and exposure to mal-odours. There is a significant overlap in the environmental impact assessment in this section. Ionizing radiation also falls into this category.
9.	Social determinants of health – Including psychosocial stress (due to e.g. resettlement, overcrowding, political or economic crisis), mental health, depression, gender issues, domestic violence, suicide, ethnic conflicts, security concerns, substance misuse (drug, alcohol, smoking), family planning, health seeking behaviours, etc. There is a significant overlap in the Social Impact Assessment (SIA) in this section.

Environmental Health Areas (EHAs)	
10.	Cultural health practices – Role of traditional medical providers, indigenous medicines, and unique cultural health practices.
11.	Health systems issues – Physical health infrastructure (e.g. capacity, equipment, staffing levels and competencies, future development plans); program management delivery systems (e.g., malaria-, TB-, HIV/AIDS-initiatives, maternal and child health, etc.).
12.	Non-communicable diseases – Cardiovascular diseases, cancer, diabetes, obesity, etc.

5.11. Direct versus Indirect and Cumulative Effects

The purpose of an HIA is to ‘unravel the determinants of health, which include individual, social and environmental, and institutional factors, that are directly or indirectly (representing underlying issues), and cumulatively affected by the proposed project. Consideration of these aspects allows for better management of the risks associated with individual determinants’ (IFC, 2009).

Numerous health determinants are affected by individual factors which may be genetic, biological, lifestyle or behavioural in nature, and specific circumstances (IFC, 2009). These factors include indicators of vulnerability that describes individual exposure, susceptibility or the ability to cope. Examples include gender, age, dietary intake, exercise, alcohol and tobacco use, education, and employment. The relationship between a project and the individual determinants is complex and often controversial. ‘The HIA is not a “social engineering” exercise; instead, the assessment aims to systematically analyse those potential direct, indirect (underlying), and cumulative community impacts that are predicted to occur due to the project’ (IFC, 2009).

Activities identified for the project during the construction, commissioning, operational and decommissioning phases have been used to identify relevant generic key issues as recommended by the IFC HIA Guidelines (IFC, 2009). Associated EHAs and their implications in terms of the project activities were then used to guide the selection of potential positive and/or negative impacts.

5.12. Impact Assessment Methodology

Five factors need to be considered when assessing the significance of community health impacts, namely:

- ☛ Relationship of the impact to **temporal** scales (duration) - the temporal scale defines the significance of the impact at various time scales, as an indication of the duration of the impact.
- ☛ Relationship of the impact to **spatial** scales - the spatial scale defines the physical extent of the impact.
- ☛ The severity of the impact - the **severity/beneficial** scale is used to scientifically evaluate how severe negative impacts would be, or how beneficial positive impacts would be on a particular affected system (for ecological impacts) or a particular affected party.

- The severity of impacts can be evaluated with and without mitigation to demonstrate how serious the impact is when it is not allayed. The word ‘mitigation’ means not just ‘compensation’, but includes concepts of containment and remedy. For beneficial impacts, optimization means anything that can enhance the benefits. However, mitigation or optimization must be practical, technically feasible and economically viable.
- The **likelihood** of the impact occurring - the likelihood of impacts taking place as a result of Project actions differs between potential impacts. There is no doubt that some impacts would occur (e.g. loss of vegetation), but other impacts are not as likely to occur (e.g. vehicle accident), and may or may not result from the proposed development. Although some impacts may have a severe effect, the likelihood of them occurring may affect their overall significance.

Each criterion is ranked with scores assigned as presented in Table 5-3 to determine the overall **significance** of an activity. The criterion is then considered in two categories, viz. effect of the activity and the likelihood of the impact. The total scores recorded for the consequence and likelihood are then read off the matrix presented in Table 5-4, to determine the overall significance of the impact. The overall significance is either negative or positive.

The **environmental significance** scale is an attempt to evaluate the importance of a particular impact. This evaluation needs to be undertaken in the relevant context, as an impact can either be ecological or social, or both. The evaluation of the significance of an impact relies heavily on the values of the person making the judgment. For this reason, impacts of a social nature in particular need to reflect the values of the affected society.

5.13.1. Prioritisation of Impacts

The evaluation of the impacts, as described above is used to prioritise which impacts require mitigation measures.

Negative impacts that are ranked as being of “**VERY HIGH**” and “**HIGH**” significance will need to be investigated further to determine how the impact can be minimised or what alternative activities or mitigation measures can be implemented. These impacts may also assist decision makers i.e. numerous **HIGH** negative impacts may bring about a negative decision.

For impacts identified as having a negative impact of “**MODERATE**” significance, it is standard practice to investigate alternate activities and/or mitigation measures. The most effective and practical mitigations measures will then be proposed.

For impacts ranked as “**LOW**” significance, no investigations or alternatives will be considered. Possible management measures should be investigated to ensure that the impacts remain of low significance.

Table 5-3: Ranking of Evaluation Criteria

EFFECT	Temporal Scale (Duration)		Score	
	Short term	Less than 5 years	1	
	Medium term	Between 5-20 years	2	
	Long term	Between 20 and 40 years (a generation) and from a human perspective also permanent	3	
	Permanent	Over 40 years and resulting in a permanent and lasting change that will always be there	4	
	Spatial Scale			
	Localised	At localised scale and a few hectares in extent	1	
	Study Area	The proposed site and its immediate environs	2	
	Regional	District and Provincial level	3	
	National	Country	3	
International	Internationally	4		
LIKELIHOOD	Severity	Severity	Benefit	
	Slight	Slight impacts on the affected system(s) or party(ies)	Slightly beneficial to the affected system(s) and party(ies)	1
	Moderate	Moderate impacts on the affected system(s) or party(ies)	Moderately beneficial to the affected system(s) and party(ies)	2
	Severe/ Beneficial	Severe impacts on the affected system(s) or party(ies)	A substantial benefit to the affected system(s) and party(ies)	4
	Very Severe/ Beneficial	Very severe change to the affected system(s) or party(ies)	A very substantial benefit to the affected system(s) and party(ies)	8
Likelihood				
Unlikely	The likelihood of these impacts occurring is slight		1	
May Occur	The likelihood of these impacts occurring is possible		2	
Probable	The likelihood of these impacts occurring is probable		3	
Definite	The likelihood is that this impact will definitely occur		4	

* In certain cases it may not be possible to determine the severity of an impact thus it may be determined: Do not know/ cannot know



Table 5-4: Matrix used to determine the overall significance of the impact based on the likelihood and effect of the impact

		Effect													
		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Likelihood	1	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
	2	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
	3	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	4	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20



Table 5-5: Description of Environmental Significance Ratings and associated range of scores

Significance Rating	Description	Positive	Negative
Low	An acceptable impact for which mitigation is desirable but not essential. The impact by itself is insufficient even in combination with other low impacts to prevent the development being approved. These impacts will result in either positive or negative medium to short term effects on the social and/or natural environment.	4-7	4-7
Moderate	An important impact which requires mitigation. The impact is insufficient by itself to prevent the implementation of the Project but which in conjunction with other impacts may prevent its implementation. These impacts will usually result in either a positive or negative medium to long-term effect on the social and/or natural environment.	8-11	8-11
High	A serious impact, if not mitigated, may prevent the implementation of the Project (if it is a negative impact). These impacts would be considered by society as constituting a major and usually a long-term change to the (natural &/or social) environment and result in severe effects or beneficial effects.	12-15	12-15
Very High	A very serious impact which, if negative, may be sufficient by itself to prevent implementation of the Project. The impact may result in permanent change. Very often these impacts cannot be mitigated and usually result in very severe effects, or very beneficial effects.	16-20	16-20

5.13. Data Gaps and Limitations of the HIA Study

This HIA has focused on understanding the high-level health issues associated with the proposed SEZ Project site. The HIA also assessed health data gaps that may exist and determined whether additional information would be required to inform a more comprehensive health evidence-base.

The gap analysis included a critical appraisal of data quality of sources identified during the HIA process.

The following are the recognised limitations of the HIA study:

- As the proposed SEZ Project is still in the planning phase, several parameters required for the air quality modelling (conducted by Gondwana) were unavailable. Average values from the literature were used for many of the parameters, and in some cases, conservative estimates and 'worst case' values were used in their model.

- The HIA study often refers to local level data which has some limitations that need to be understood and respected. Recording and reporting of the health data within the visited Healthcare facilities is completed manually, and it is likely that the recording may lack required accuracy. However, this information is invaluable in understanding the health challenges in the area, although the limitation must be considered when evaluating information, as its ability to be used as a robust baseline and to monitor relevant health impacts is limited; and
- Interviews and any other discussions are normally based on respondents' self-declaration which may be prone to recall or response bias. Moreover, when it comes to questions on one's private life, study participants tend to be affected by a social desirability bias, where they may choose to give answers that are socially acceptable.

This HIA must be viewed as a prospective / predictive study as there has as yet been no initiation of any construction activities on the proposed site.

6. Health Opportunities and Risks associated with Special Economic Zones

The nature of SEZs can hold unique health risks for both workers and communities. These zones tend to be located outside of capital regions, and, as such, infrastructure, services and knowledge, and attitudes and practices around health are generally less developed than in urban settings (ADB, 2018). In addition, the Musina-Makhado SEZ is strategically placed to take advantage of cross-border collaboration, and subsequently, transient migrant populations are to be expected. This may place added pressure on existing health as well as social infrastructure. The proposed SEZ is expected to be a culturally diverse location, and, in taking into consideration all of the above, the proposed SEZ Project may also hold risks to local communities and workers if left unidentified and unmanaged.

In a well-functioning SEZ , health opportunities can stem from:

- Meeting of basic essential needs (clean water, shelter, sanitation, security, and food safety are accessible);
- Proper zoning of residential and commercial, recreation, and industrial areas;
- The capacity of the emergency preparedness and response sector to respond to both industrial and community incidents;
- Industrial traffic having designated routes and road infrastructure in good, safe condition;
- Strategic resettlement of households, when required, with infrastructure complete and in excellent condition;
- Separate and safe industrial and public waste management;
- Safe worker behavior on- and off-site;
- Peaceful cohabitation of workers and community members;



- Strategic location and good condition of worker accommodations; and
- Protection of cultural and spiritual sites.

In a poorly functioning SEZ, health risks can stem from

- Mixing of residential and industrial uses of land (the lack of appropriate buffer zones);
- Environmental issues such as airborne emissions, water pollution, noise, light, and vibration;
- Inadequate health services for mobile and migrant workers and community members;
- Inability of emergency preparedness and response sector to respond appropriately to
- Industrial and community incidents;
- Conflicts between local residents and projects;
- Lack of cohesion between community members and workers;
- Resettlement that happens multiple times and infrastructure that remains unfinished;
- Worker accommodation that is in poor condition;
- Nonexistent waste management strategies;
- Disorganized and chaotic traffic;
- Workers not being equipped with safe working conditions or provided the basics to
- Be safe on- and off-site;
- Poor coping and personal health practices, such as alcohol and drug abuse;
- Human trafficking;
- Impoverishment; and
- Lack of basic needs being met including access to safe water, sanitation, shelter, security, and safe food.






Figure 6-1: Typical Health Opportunities and Risks Associated with Special Economic Zone Development

7. Country Health Profile

South Africa is a dynamic and complex country. A middle-income nation that has dedicated substantial resources to health and human capital investments, South Africa has a progressive Constitution that guarantees the right to health care and a vibrant civil society. National Health Insurance (NHI) is the central means by which the government aims to achieve universal coverage, under the principles of social solidarity and equity elaborated in the National Development Plan. To implement NHI, the government is revitalizing service delivery, changing the way that health services are financed, ensuring the provision of primary care, improving access to qualified human resources for health, and ensuring the availability of quality assured medical products.

Life expectancy has increased due to innovations and rapid scale-up of HIV/AIDs and Tuberculosis (TB) treatment and care, and expanded access to immunizations. Life expectancy which incorporates the impact of AIDS increased from 52.1 years in 2005 to 61.2 years in 2014 (Statistics South Africa, 2014). The estimated national HIV prevalence among the general population aged 15 – 49 years has remained 17.3% since 2005 (Department of Health Strategic Plan 2014/15-2018/9). Two in three TB patients also are HIV positive. South Africa has one of the highest TB incidence rates in the world (834 per 100,000 populations). The treatment success rate for new and relapse cases registered in 2013 is 78% (Global TB Report 2015).

South Africa also contributes about 10.4% of the global burden of reported Multi-drug Resistant Tuberculosis (MDR-TB) initiated on treatment. A National DRTB Survey to ascertain the burden of DR-TB was made available in the first quarter of 2016. Diagnosis and management of drug resistant cases account for nearly half of the TB budget, and treatment success rates are 49% for MDR-TB and 20% for XDR-TB (Global TB Report, 2015).

Progress in maternal and child health has been hindered by the HIV and tuberculosis epidemics, and the performance of the health system. Efforts to accelerate prevention interventions are underway, including the prevention of maternal to child transmission of HIV. Important reductions have occurred in under-five and neonatal mortality (42 and 14 per 1000 live births (2013/14)), although these rates are higher in comparison with other countries of similar socioeconomic status. Maternal mortality ratios remain high, estimated at 269 deaths per 100 000 live births. Immunization remains critical to improving child health. The government currently has eleven antigens on its national immunization schedule, including rotavirus and Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine, which has markedly reduced child morbidity and mortality. A national HPV campaign was launched in March 2014.




Figure 7-1: Distribution of causes of death among children aged under 5, % of totals in RSA

Approximately two in five deaths are attributable to non-communicable diseases. Some 40% of mortality from non-communicable conditions among men occurred before the age of 60 years - and is therefore considered premature. Second to non-communicable conditions is the burden of mortality and disability from violence and injuries. A rapid increase in motor vehicles has led to increases in road traffic accidents that now account for more than one-quarter of deaths due to injuries. For nearly two decades, tobacco-use declined because of strong legislation and policies to control tobacco consumption. The WHO FCTC was ratified in 2005. However, smoking rates are among the highest in the continent (21.5% in 2014). Harmful alcohol consumption is the third most important risk factor contributing to non-communicable diseases, injuries, and communicable diseases. Alcohol use is a major underlying factor in injuries and road traffic accidents. Patterns of harmful use exist among those who drink. Harmful and excessive alcohol consumption also contributes to non-communicable conditions, and can also accelerate the progression of infectious diseases.

Overweight and obesity pose major nutritional challenges. More than seven in ten women above 35 years old are overweight. A contributing factor is the rapidly increasing consumption of packaged foods high in calories, saturated fats, animal proteins, sugars, and salt. In addition, physical activity levels are low. Approximately half of adults are physically inactive, and two in five schoolchildren do not participate in sufficient physical activity. Improvement in the sustainable development sector has resulted in improved quality of life. Access to improved water sources is nearly universal. However, coal is used as a cheap source of energy for industry, and thus South Africa ranks as the highest greenhouse gas emitter in the continent. Climate change is one of the key priorities of Government, who views mitigation to ensure an internationally competitive lower carbon economy.

7.1. Public and Private Health Sector

South Africa has a large public sector and a smaller but fast-growing private sector. The country's Healthcare system comprises a network of health facilities providing primary health care, supported by several higher levels of care. Healthcare in South Africa varies from the most basic primary healthcare, offered free by the state, to highly specialised, hi-tech health services available in both the public and private sector.

The public health sector is stretched and under-resourced in several places. While the state contributes about 40% of all expenditure on health, the public health sector is under pressure to deliver services to about 80% of the population. The private sector, on the other hand, is run largely on commercial lines and caters to middle- and high-income earners who tend to be members of medical schemes (South Africa Info, 2013). It also attracts most of the country's health professionals.

This two-tiered system is not only inequitable and inaccessible to a large portion of South Africans, but institutions in the public sector have suffered poor management, underfunding and deteriorating infrastructure. While access has improved, the quality of health care has fallen. The situation is compounded by public health challenges, including the burden of diseases such as HIV and Tuberculosis (TB), and a shortage of key medical personnel.

7.2. South African Health Care System

The South African government has been responding with a far-reaching reform plan to revitalise and restructure the South African health care system, including:

- Fast-tracking the implementation of a National Health Insurance scheme, which will eventually cover all South Africans;
- Strengthening the fight against HIV and TB, non-communicable diseases (NCDs), as well as injury and violence;
- Improving human-resource management at state hospitals and strengthening co-ordination between the public and private health sector;
- Deploying "health teams" to communities and schools;
- Regulating costs to make health care affordable to all; and
- Increasing life expectancy from 56.5 years in 2009 to 58.5 years in 2014.

7.3. Healthcare Facilities

There are 4 200 public health facilities in South Africa. The number of people per clinic as per figures from 2013, was 13 718, exceeding WHO guidelines of 10 000 per clinic. However, figures from March 2009 show that people averaged 2.5 visits a year to public health facilities and the usable bed occupancy rates were between 65% and 77% at hospitals (South Africa Info, 2013).

Since 1994, more than 1 600 clinics have been built or upgraded. Free health care for children under the age of 6 and for pregnant or breastfeeding mothers was introduced in the mid-1990s (South Africa Info, 2013).

The National Health Laboratory Service (NHLS) is the largest pathology service in South Africa. It has 265 laboratories, serving 80% of South Africans. The laboratories provide diagnostic services as well as health-related research (South Africa Info, 2013).

7.4. Doctor Shortages

In March 2012, 165 371 qualified health practitioners in both public and private sectors were registered with the Health Professions Council of South Africa (HPCSA), the health practitioner watchdog body. This includes 38 236 doctors and 5 560 dentists (South Africa Info, 2013).

The doctor-to-population ratio is estimated to be 0.77 per 1 000. Due to the clear majority of General Practitioners – 73% – working in the private sector, there is approximately one practising doctor for every 4 219 people for public health care (South Africa Info, 2013). In response, the Department of Health (DoH) has introduced clinical health associates, midlevel health-care providers, to work in underserved rural areas.

Approximately 1 200 medical students graduate annually. In some communities, medical students provide health services at clinics under supervision (South Africa Info, 2013). Newly graduating doctors and pharmacists complete a year of compulsory community service in understaffed hospitals and clinics.

7.5. Quality of Services

Public health facilities in South Africa collectively scored less than 50% compliance with vital measures in two out of the six ministerial priority areas. These measures included: patient safety and security (34%) and positive and caring attitudes (30%) (DoH, 2012). The priority area waiting times scored the highest compliance to vital measures at 68%. Primary care facilities on average scored lower than hospitals in all priority areas. Overall, the facilities in Gauteng province obtained the highest compliance score on quality (69%) while the Northern Cape reflected the lowest (40%) (DoH, 2012).

7.6. Functionality of Services

In terms of performance in the five functional areas (Clinical Services, Infrastructure, Management, Patient Care, Support Services and Clinical Care), the compliance score obtained by the country's facilities is the lowest for Clinical Services (38%) (DoH, 2012). Within Clinical Services, the area of Health Technology recorded the lowest compliance for both Primary Health Care (PHC) and hospital facilities followed by Pharmacy. This, and the low number of pharmacists working in public health facilities, needs urgent attention.

7.7. HIV/AIDS: Epidemics in Perspective

The country has made important gains in responding to the epidemics of HIV and TB and to STIs, but the national response needs to be accelerated if the country is to achieve the global health community goal of ending these as public health threats by 2030. Nearly one in five people living with HIV worldwide are in South Africa; tuberculosis (TB) is the leading cause of death in the country; and more than 1.1 million new cases of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) are treated each year.

More than 19% of adults (ages 15-49) in South Africa are living with HIV. The HIV burden varies widely by geography, age and gender and for key and vulnerable populations. New HIV infections declined from 360 000 in 2012 to 270 000 in 2016, with marked progress in preventing mother-to-child HIV transmission. Adolescent girls and young women as well as other key and vulnerable populations remain most heavily affected by the epidemic. South Africa has the world's largest HIV treatment programme, with 3.7 million people initiated on antiretroviral therapy as of December 2016, resulting in a sharp increase in national life expectancy from 58.3 years in 2011 to 62.4 years in 2015. Although less detailed epidemiological information is available on STIs, the evidence underscores the seriousness as a public health problem and as a risk factor for HIV infection.

South Africa has the sixth highest TB incidence in the world, with more than 450 000 new cases diagnosed in 2015, 63% in people living with HIV. There has been only a modest decline in new cases since 2012. Multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB) is a growing problem; with the number of MDR-TB cases doubling from 2007 to 2012.

During 2012 -2016 South Africa advanced its efforts to address the needs of key and vulnerable populations and continued to address the social and structural drivers of HIV, TB and STIs, enhance human rights and reduce stigma, resource the response and provide effective leadership. However, the pace of impacting on the epidemics will need to be speeded up if the country as a whole is meant to achieve the global targets signed up to and the national targets set. More of the same will not be enough.

8. Baseline Health Profile

8.1. Vhembe District

Vhembe District is located in the Northern part of Limpopo Province South Africa boarded by Capricorn District in the South-West and Mopani in the East. Vhembe District shares land port borders with Zimbabwe and Botswana on the North-West through Limpopo River and Mozambique South-East through the Kruger National Park. Vhembe District is comprised of four (4) Municipalities as District Municipality thirty-four (34). It is comprised of 4 municipalities districts named after the municipality i.e. Collins Chabane, Makhado, Musina, and Thulamela. The district has a population of 1 367 186, with a population density of 53.4 people per km² and falls in socio-economic Quintile 2, among the poorer districts, and has an estimated medical scheme coverage of 7.2%. Vhembe is one of the 11 National Health Insurance (NHI) pilot districts.



The Vhembe District has 6 District Hospitals, 1 specialized, 1 regional Hospital, 115 fixed Primary Health Care facilities, 8 Community Health Centres and 18 mobile clinics. District hospitals provides secondary level of care while specialized hospital provides mental health services and regional hospital acts as a referral facility for the district hospitals. Fixed Primary Health Care facilities, Community Health Centres (CHC) render comprehensive health services whereas mobile clinics render Primary health care in areas more than 5 kilometres away from the clinic.

Makhado Sub district in Makhado Municipality situated on the western side of Vhembe District bordered by Capricorn on the south-east with an estimated population of 458778. The sub district has 36 fixed PHC clinics, 3 District Hospitals and 2 CHC and 5 mobile clinics.

The Musina Sub District in Musina Local Municipality is situated on the north bordered by Capricorn District on the North-west side of Vhembe District 'sharing borders with Zimbabwe on the North and Botswana on the West with an estimated population of 120452. Although the population appears to be little compared with other sub districts there is high rate of external and internal migrants utilizing Primary health care services. Musina sub district is comprised of 1 district hospital, 10 fixed PHC clinics and 2 mobile clinics and Port health clinic In Beit bridge boarder post 2 of the 10 clinics are less than 5 km apart. Half of the area of Musina Municipality Majority of the area it's visited by Mobile clinic with estimated visiting points. Musina sub-district has fewer health facilities as compared to the rest of the sub-district. This has a negative impact on health service delivery with regard to accessibility of health service by the community.





Figure 8-1: Population distribution sub-district boundaries and health facility locations

8.2.1. Burden of Disease Profile

For the percentage of deaths by broad cause, deaths are classified into four groups namely: (i) injuries; (ii) non-communicable diseases; (iii) HIV and TB; and (iv) communicable diseases together with maternal perinatal and nutritional conditions. Refer to the Figure below. Data are given by gender and age group for the period 2010–2015. The second part of the graph shows the 10 leading single causes of death within each age group (both genders) for 2010–2015 combined.



Broadcause
 Injury
 NCD
 HIV and TB
 Comm_mat_peri_nut

Prov, District
 LP, Vhembe: DC34
 Show history

Percentages are shown according to all the deaths within the age/gender category of each box, although only the leading 10 causes are displayed.



8.2. Musina Local Municipality

Musina Local Municipality falls within the Vhembe District Municipality, which is made up of four local municipalities, namely Musina, Makhado, Thulamela and LIM 345, of which Musina Local Municipality is bounded by Makhado Local Municipality to the South and Thulamela local Municipality to the east. Musina is also bounded in the South West by the Local Municipality of Blouberg which falls within the Capricorn District Municipality. Musina Local Municipality is located in the very North of the Limpopo Province, bordering Mozambique, Botswana and Zimbabwe. Musina Local Municipality covers an area of approximately 1 129 740.773 hectares, 11 297.41 km² and the coordinates is 23° 20' 17'' S 30° 02' 30'' E that extends from the confluence of the Mogalakwena and Limpopo rivers in the West to the confluence of the Nwanedi and Limpopo rivers in the East and from Tshipise and Mopane in the South to Botswana/Zimbabwe borders in the North and Mozambique in the eastern side of Kruger National Park.

8.3. Makhado Local Municipality

The Municipality is located in the northern parts of Limpopo Province (coordinates 23° 00' 00'' S 29° 45' 00'' E) approximately 100km from the Zimbabwean border along the N1 Route. (See Locality Map below). The municipal area is 7605,06 km² (or 760506 Hectares) in size and strategically located on a macro scale along a major passage between South Africa and the rest of the African continent. Approximately 416 728 people currently reside within the Municipality and based on the vastness of the rural populace the municipality can be classified as predominately rural.

9. Baseline Health Profile: Project Area

The following section describes the baseline health status in the proposed Project area with reference to the EHAs. This is based on the national and regional baseline health data that was identified during the desktop review and during the site in October 2019. Data at the local level is based on the aforementioned discussions that were carried out during the field visit.

9.1. EHA #1 Vector-Related Diseases

Vector-borne diseases are illnesses caused by pathogens and parasites in human populations. Distribution of these diseases is determined by a complex dynamic of environmental and social factors. Vector-related diseases may be present in the community, resulting from unmanaged water bodies and vectors drawn to waste, such as rats and mice.

While vector-borne diseases are not common in the study area, illegal dumping of waste and the influx of people coupled with poor environmental management may lead to establishment of vector breeding sites in the study

area, a situation that may lead to emergence and increase in the prevalence of vector-borne diseases, e.g. toxoplasmosis.

Uncollected refuse was seen in the streets of Musina town, which can have negative health impacts on the communities of concern, including but not limited to diarrhoea, eye and skin infections. Makhado is comparatively cleaner than Musina.

8.2.2. Malaria burden

Malaria may not be the most significant public health threat and cause of mortality in the proposed Project area, but media and literature reports to having had a recent outbreak of malaria in the area. However, there is good knowledge and understanding of malaria transmission and prevention. Ownership of Insecticide-Treated Nets (ITNs) is not good, and the few who own them might not be using them properly. However, there are good diagnostic mechanisms in the area, with consistent use of Rapid Diagnostic Tests (RDTs) to diagnose malaria.

The Limpopo health department has started with the process of fumigation in the lowveld regions, including the Vhembe district. Limpopo's health department is aiming at significantly reducing malaria cases in 2019. The province has seen a number of people dying due to the deadly endemic in the last few years. The department has started with the process of fumigation in the lowveld regions, including the Vhembe district.

Thousands of malaria cases were reported in the province in 2018 and over 130 cases were detected earlier in 2019 (SABC News, 2019). About 400 seasonal sprayers have been deployed across malaria-prone regions. MEC for health in Limpopo, Dr Phophi Ramathuba, says that they have been able to reduce the number of malaria cases in the province. "We have been able to provisionally reduce the number of cases of malaria from over 18 000 in the previous year to just a mere 6 000. So that tells that indeed we can be able to move forward if you also look at the number of deaths that we reduced from 160 to just 38." The department is continuing using the much-criticised DDT pesticide. DDT became infamous after experts warned of its potentially harmful environmental impact.

Very little is described on the entomology in the area. This includes the vector complexes, their breeding preferences and behaviour (resting and feeding), as well as susceptibility patterns to different classes of insecticide. This is vitally important for the proposed Project to describe as it will influence the type of mitigation measures required from a source reduction and control perspective.

9.2. EHA #2 Communicable Diseases Linked to Housing Design

Housing design is crucial because poor planning results in congestion, overcrowding of people and improper sanitation, all of which when combined form the fundamental requirements for the perfect breeding ground for many diseases. Research conducted in Sri Lanka, Costa Rica and Philippines showed a positive correlation between poorer housing and an increased death rate among children (Phillips, 1990).

Communicable diseases are spread from one person to another or from an animal to a person. The spread of such diseases often happens via airborne viruses or bacteria, but also through blood or other bodily fluid. The terms infectious and contagious are also used to describe communicable diseases.

To have “healthy housing” it is necessary that the following elements are adequately addressed: shelter, water supply, sanitation, solid waste, wastewater, overcrowding, indoor air pollution, food safety, vectors of disease, as well as aspects related to transport, and shopping facilities (WHO 1997). Coughs in children were identified as a common health problem in the surveyed communities.

Based on the key informant interview it was clear that most households live in a brick structure or traditional structures on individual properties (with more than one house on the respective property) or one house on a separate stand/property. According to data derived from 2011 census there is not an extreme need for housing throughout the province as 88.7% of people in Limpopo reside in formal housing (Stats SA, 2011). It was confirmed during the field visit that much of the population within the PACs has some kind of formal residence. Houses were traditional brick or mud structures either with a thatch or corrugated iron roof.



Figure 9-1: Housing structure in Mopane



Figure 9-2: Settlement just off the R525



Figure 9-3: Street view of Mudimeli



Figure 9-4: Entrance into small informal settlement along the R545 towards Mopane

Based on observation and informal discussions with several respondents, overcrowding was not seen as a problem. Houses are basic and sufficient. Squatter areas do not appear to be a problem. The majority of households within the local study area have access to electricity for lighting purposes. With regards to cooking and heating there seems to be a greater reliance on alternative energy sources, especially wood. It is reasonable to argue that wood is sourced from areas surrounding rural communities. This would have an adverse impact on human respiratory health. According to literature, TB is the most common respiratory disease in the LMs.

9.3. EHA #3 Veterinary Medicine and Zoonotic Issues

This EHA refers to diseases affecting animals (e.g. bovine tuberculosis, swinepox, avian influenza) or that can be transmitted from animal to human (e.g. rabies, brucellosis, Rift Valley fever, Lassa fever, leptospirosis, etc.). A zoonotic disease is a disease that can be passed between animals and humans. Zoonotic diseases can be caused by viruses, bacteria, parasites, and fungi. These infectious diseases of animals have different modes of transmission. In direct zoonosis the disease is directly transmitted from animals to humans through media such as air (influenza) or through bites and saliva (Rabies). In contrast, transmission can also occur via an intermediate species (referred to as a vector), which carry the disease pathogen without getting infected.

No zoonotic issues were identified during interviews. It is however, important to remain cognizant that an increase in domestic animals may increase the risk for zoonotic diseases. The Applicant would have to implement mitigation measures if these arose due to the Project.

9.4. EHA #4 Sexually-Transmitted Infections, Including HIV/AIDS

There was, generally, good knowledge about HIV and how it is contracted. Mudimeli Clinic, which was the one healthcare facility visited, has the ability to diagnose HIV and stock ARVs.

Condoms are readily available within the communities. They are available for free at health facilities and they are also available in public toilets, shops, 'spazas', schools and shebeens. There is little stigma associated with buying condoms, although some women stated that they sometimes feel shy to take free condoms from public places and that it was the responsibility of the men to acquire condoms.

There are regular HIV awareness campaigns within the communities. It was observed that there is good knowledge of HIV transmission and prevention measures. Most members of the communities also have a good attitude towards people with HIV, none of the respondents voiced discriminatory attitudes towards HIV positive people. Information collected during focus group discussions shows that a large proportion of respondents know the two main ways to prevent HIV, namely condom use and having one uninfected sexual partner.

9.4.1. HIV/AIDS: Knowledge, Attitude and Behaviour

With numerous respondents across the PACs stating that HIV/AIDS is a serious problem in their communities it is clear to see that HIV/AIDS has affected all levels of these communities. While the others asserted that HIV/AIDS is not a serious problem in their communities as nurses and NGOs educate the population about this disease, and therefore expect that everyone should have knowledge on this disease, results prove that the general levels of awareness and consistent knowledge on the disease and preventive behaviours is relatively poor.

There are low levels of stigma in the communities with associated discrimination as many individuals were willing to purchase food from someone who they knew was HIV positive. In addition, the majority of the respondents from the surveyed communities would keep their HIV positive family member's status a secret. Apart from this being attributable to a general respect for their family member's privacy, part of this is due to the poor levels of knowledge and beliefs. Traditional, cultural and religious beliefs make it difficult to inform behavioural change information. It is felt that the more rural communities simply don't have access to adequate information about HIV and AIDS, and the high levels of illiteracy also makes behavioural change communication somewhat challenging.

The availability of sanitation facilities not only improves the dignity of people, but also promotes their health. Areas without proper sanitation systems give rise to water-borne diseases like cholera and diarrhoea. The field visit indicated that the majority of households do not have access to adequate sanitation services. Some residents of the surveyed communities construct their own pit latrines, often of poor standard. The majority of the respondents used pit latrines and Ventilated Improved Pit-latrines (VIP) toilets in their own yards/ homes.

9.5.1. Diarrhoea

Diarrhoea is usually a symptom of gastrointestinal infection and can be caused by a variety of bacterial, viral and parasitic organisms. Diarrhoea control and prevention takes place at different levels, including vaccination against rotavirus and measles, early and exclusive breastfeeding for at least the first 6 months (preferably 2 years), vitamin A supplementation, hand washing with soap, improved water quality and sanitation.

Diarrhoea was identified as one of the diseases with a significant burden within both Mudimeli and Mopane communities, especially amongst children under five years of age. The disease is said to be especially common among children in the surveyed communities as they have higher chances of playing in contaminated or unclean water. No cases of cholera were reported during interviews and discussions. The image below was taken in Mudimeli.



Figure 9-6: Pit latrine in Mudimeli



Figure 9-7: Jojo tank used by small community on the R525 between Mopane and Bokmakirie

9.6. EHA #6 Food- and Nutrition-Related Issues

This category includes health outcomes and determinants related to food security, dietary choices, and the consumption of subsistence foods. The key health outcomes considered are nutrient levels, malnutrition or improvements in nutrient intake, and the subsequent increases or decreases in related diseases. The key determinants include diet composition, food security, and the consumption of subsistence foods.

Food security means having enough food to fully meet basic needs at all times. According to the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, “Food security is a situation that exists when all people, at all times, have physical, social and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food that meets their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life” (FAO, 2001). At present, there are no known acute shortages of major dietary components (e.g., proteins, carbohydrates, grains, fruits, or vegetables) in any of the potentially affected communities. Food security is an important consideration in understanding potential health impact of development Projects. This EHA is affected by influx of people resulting in increased demand for food.

SEZ projects can increase the range, quality and amount of food available, leading to improved nutrition and positive health and well-being impacts. However, projects can also reduce access to traditional food sources by, for example, using agricultural land for other purposes or increasing the demand, and therefore prices, of locally grown food. There may also be risks associated with increased availability and affordability of manufactured food

products that are high in fat, salt and sugar. Obesity and micronutrient deficiencies can co-occur when calorie intake is high and the food eaten is low in essential vitamins and minerals.

Maize meal, porridge or mieliepap ('pap') was listed the most prominent staple food eaten in the PACs with all respondents mentioning this food as their staple diet. Beans, cabbage, potatoes, wild spinach, pumpkin, rice, samp, chicken and tinned fish were also listed as commonly consumed foods.

There were no reported deaths by malnutrition¹⁰ or other nutritional disorders in the surveyed communities or the neighbouring settlements.

9.7. EHA #7 Accidents/ Injuries

This category contains health outcomes and determinants related to accidents and injuries. The key outcomes considered are increases and decreases in intentional and unintentional injuries with fatal and nonfatal results. The key determinants in this category include items such as the presence of law enforcement, traffic patterns, alcohol involvement, distance to emergency services, and the presence of prevention programs.

9.7.1. Road Traffic Accidents

The SEZ is strategically located along the N1 north-south route into the Southern African Development Community (SADC), very close to the border between South Africa and Zimbabwe. It forms part of the Trans-Limpopo Spatial Development Initiative and has been developed as part of greater regional plans to unlock investment and economic growth, and to address the development of skills and employment.

As stated above, the proposed Musina-Makhado SEZ southern site is located adjacent to the N1 which is the major link motorway between Pretoria and Beitbridge Land Port of Entry to Zimbabwe and the R525 road which traverses and crosses the SEZ. The N1 is a single carriageway in both directions. The R525 is a paved, tarred road and is also a single carriageway in both directions which connects Mopani Railway Station in the west with Pafuri Gate for the northern most entrance gate to the Kruger National Park in the east. The southern section of the SEZ is planned to provide access from the south to the SEZ, namely the Huntleigh Road leading to Huntleigh Railway Station. This project area is located within an area with existing road networks. Road traffic accidents (RTA) are the not very common in the communities.

Table 9-1 indicates accidents hotspots in the district municipality

¹⁰ Malnutrition is a condition that results from eating a diet in which one or more nutrients are either not enough or are too much such that the diet causes health problems. It may involve calories, protein, carbohydrates, vitamins or minerals.

Table 9-1: hazardous locations/accident hotspots

MUNICIPALITY	AREA
Makhado	R524 : from Makhado to Tshakhuma N1 : next to Mampakuil R578 : Njakanjaka village
Musina	N1 : Ha-Mavhele, Bokmakier and Heitleigh

Gender-based violence and crime related injuries such as assault are more common. There is a strong link to alcohol in domestic violence and motor vehicle accidents. RTA could increase even more with the further development of the proposed Project as the area is likely to see an increase in the number and size of vehicles travelling in and around the Project area (transport of goods and personnel). An increase of trucks would result in road degradation.

9.7.2. Gender Based Violence

Gender-based violence and crime-related injuries such as assault are not very common in the surveyed communities common. There is a strong link to alcohol in domestic violence and motor vehicle accidents.

9.8. EHA #8 Exposure to Potentially Hazardous Materials, Noise and Malodours

During the field visit, it was apparent to the HIA Specialist that numerous households still use wood and coal (open fire) for cooking and heating that may cause a risk from indoor air pollution and associated respiratory health concerns in the surveyed communities. As waste removal from households also seems to be a challenge, many households burn waste that can emit harmful by products especially with plastics. The figure below illustrates refuse which according to respondents had been sitting there for over two days. “The municipality is always on strike and they leave the dirt here for us” said one of the respondents. Just on the outskirts of the surveyed communities are illegal and uncontrolled dump sites which can contaminate water supplies and present unhygienic conditions –please refer to.

Wind erosion is a major cause of both loss and dispersion of pollutants from the proposed Project site. Such dust dispersion is not only a nuisance but also a potential health hazard to inhabitants in close proximity and can also cause soil and water pollution. The main pollutant of concern during the Project will be PM including PM and PM_{2.5}. A detailed Air Quality Impact Assessment Report was not available to the author at the time of Report compilation. This has been identified as a significant gap.

Dust generation in the project area is principally a result of traffic of vehicles on unpaved roads in the area. It was also observed that some residents of the surveyed communities sweep their yards with grass/ straw brooms. This is done with no dust suppression and generates a lot of dust.

Both general and hazardous waste will be generated on the proposed SEZ Project site. These wastes will need to be handled, separated, stored and disposed of according to their classification.

9.8.1. Air Quality

Airshed Planning Professionals (Pty) Ltd (Airshed) was appointed by the Applicant to compile the air quality specialist study as part of the authorisation process. The main objective of this study was to establish baseline/pre-development air quality in the study area and to quantify the extent to which ambient pollutant levels will change as a result of the proposed Project. Due to the lack of available operating information and detailed maps on the individual plants, the fugitive PM emission sources could not be quantified adequately. The simulated results discussed in this section (for PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}) are an underprediction of what is expected to occur as a result of the SEZ operations; mainly to the north, north-east and east of the SEZ boundary.

The main sources of air pollution emissions have been identified as the following (Airshed, 2018):

- Railway transport;
- Vehicles travelling on paved roads;
- Road transport exhaust;
- Material transfer points;
- Storage facilities;
- Power production;
- Coal cleaning;
- Coke production;
- High vanadium steel production;
- Manganese steel production;
- Ferromanganese production;
- Silicon manganese alloy production;
- Domestic waste handling;
- Cement production;
- Refractories production;
- Stainless steel production;
- Ferrochrome production;
- Vanadium titanium magnetite plant;
- Sewage treatment plant; and

- Water treatment plant

9.8.2. Noise

Noise is also a factor to consider and the health impacts of noise are well described at both a physical and psycho-social level in the Noise Impact Assessment. Noise and vibration are noted as potential environmental risks due to the nature of the Project's operations. The WHO published a set of guidelines relating to community noise, including potential sources, quantification and potential effects (WHO 1999). Potential health effects identified include hearing loss or loss of hearing sensitivity, sleep disturbance, cardiovascular and physiological effects, mental health effects and behavioural effects, including poor performance by school children (Stansfield and Matheson 2003, WHO 1999, Health Evidence Bulletins 1999). Environmental noise has also been found to be responsible for interference with communication, cognitive performance and annoyance (Stansfield and Matheson 2003, WHO 1999). Stansfield and Matheson (2003) concluded that the effects of environmental noise are strongest for categories linked to quality of life (or the wider determinants of health in the context of HIA) as opposed to illness (or bio physical factors).

From an occupational health perspective, noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) begins gradually and progressively gets worse. Problems with this disease include loss of the ability to communicate and reduced response to environmental and occupational noise and danger. In the manufacturing environment, the effects of NIHL can be deadly in specific work situations. Bise (2001) listed several factors that influence occupational hearing loss. These factors include the following:

- Age of employee;
- Pre-employment hearing impairment;
- Diseases of the ear;
- Sound pressure level of the noise;
- Length of daily exposure;
- Duration of employment;
- Ambient conditions of the workplace; and
- Employee lifestyle outside the workplace

Gudani Consulting and Enviro-Acoustic Research cc (GC/EARES) have undertaken the study to determine the potential noise impact on the surrounding environment due to the development of the proposed Musina-Makhado SEZ Project.

Ambient (background) sound levels were measured during the day and night of 7 March 2019, augmented with the results of longer-term ambient sound level measurements collected during previous site visits. Measurements were sufficient to characterise the ambient sound level character and, together with measurements done in the

area for other projects there is a very high confidence in the typical rating level determined for the area. Considering the ambient sound levels measured onsite as well as the developmental character of the area, the acceptable zone rating level would be typical of a rural area (35 dBA at night and 45 dBA during the day) as defined in SANS 10103:2008 for most of the area.

The physical effect of hearing loss and impairment due to noise exposure is not a community health risk but will certainly be an important workplace occupational health consideration. The noise levels required to induce hearing loss only occur at levels above 85 dB(A) which would be intolerable for any community. Noise annoyance can however lead to stress-related impacts on health and general well-being and may also have an influence on mood, performance, fatigue and cognition. Sleep can be disturbed by noise levels as low as 35 dB(A).

9.9. EHA #9 Social Determinants of Health

The social determinants of health refer to the conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work and age. These circumstances are shaped by the distribution of money, power and resources at global, national and local levels (WHO, 2013). These circumstances are shaped by the distribution of money, power, access, and resources at global, national, state, regional, and local levels. The social determinants of health are mostly responsible for health inequities –the unfair and avoidable differences in health status seen within and between countries. This category reviews outcomes and determinants related to mental health, maternal and child health, substance use, social exclusion, psychosocial distress, historical trauma, family dynamics, economic status, educational status, social support systems, and employment status.

Mental health, or behavioural health, is considered a critical component of overall health and is linked to physical health and well-being for persons of all ages. Mental health includes reactions to stress and depression and problems with emotions. Substance misuse such as alcohol, tobacco or other drugs is not only an important health determinant but also closely linked to mental health (Prince et al., 2007). These practices are also associated with crime, prostitution and domestic violence. It was observed that several men on Mopane members of their communities drink a lot of alcohol, especially during the weekends and at the end of the month when individuals have received their wages, salaries or social grants. The key health personnel validated this by asserting that alcohol and drug abuse was a definite contributor of disease.



Figure 9-8: Bottle store and 'shebeen' in Mopane/ Mopane

The respective local municipalities are faced with developmental challenges coupled with socio-economic problems such as unemployment, job creation, education, HIV prevalence, basic service delivery, inequality, poverty, economic growth, sectorial dependency and economic distribution.

9.9.1. Health-seeking Behaviour

Individual health-related behaviour (manner in which people choose a health provider and at which stage of an illness) is influenced by many factors, including political, socio-cultural, economical, educational, personal and environmental. Each factor depends on various underlying variables, of which some weigh more than others. The collusion of all these variables will result in certain behaviour of a specific individual at a specific time point. Culture and spirituality influence health seeking behaviour. People may believe that western medicine may be effective in curing their ailments but their conviction is that mystical causes have also intervened; this obliges them to combine visits to the health care facilities with visits to traditional healers.

There are two main types of health care-seeking behaviour studies. The first analyses barriers to care that lie between the patients and the services. According to Mackian (2003), the second type investigates the process of health care seeking. This involves identification of pathways to the formal health care system, often commencing

with home care and traditional healers and extending to the formal system, pathways differing according to the presenting condition.

Several factors may influence health care use, including supply and demand. On the supply side, there is the availability of health care services, the cost of care, the technology and equipment available, the quality of management and the attitude of staff. On the demand side, there are the individual and household characteristics, perceptions and beliefs in the community, affordability (treatment, transport, and daily income), decision-making responsibility, accessibility and prioritisation. All these factors need to be considered as they may become barriers to utilisation of formal healthcare services. Without this understanding, any behavioural change efforts may not be effective.

Wilkinson (2001) reviewed health-seeking behaviour studies carried out in Cambodia in the 1990s for the WHO. He defined a number of concepts that are fundamental to the understanding of health-seeking behaviour:

- Access: determines whether patients are aware of services and are able to reach them within an acceptable time;
- Utilisation: refers to the rate and pattern of use of services;
- Demand for service: equates with health behaviour, that is, whether a patient becomes interested in using a service in the first place or adopts healthy practices;
- Perceptions of quality of care can act as either a motivator or a barrier to service utilisation; closely linked to demand, access and utilisation; and
- Beliefs: provide a rationale for health-seeking behaviour. The widespread resort to ineffective, costly and apparently irrational health-seeking behaviour had to be set in the context of traditional belief systems about the aetiology¹¹ of disease and how one gets well.

There is good health-seeking behaviour in the Project area. None of the respondents from either the surveyed communities admitted to consulting with traditional healers. Distance to healthcare facilities was not listed as an issue as the surveyed communities Clinic is within walking distance from each corner of the surveyed communities. Affordability is not an issue as all public health services are free – patients do not have to pay for medical services, consultation or for medicine.

9.10. EHA #10 Cultural Health Practices

Culture and traditional values play a very important role in the local communities. The Zulu people place a large emphasis on traditional values and practices and this relates to health care and health-seeking behaviour. The

¹¹ Aetiology refers to the study of causation, or origination. The word "aetiology" is mainly used in medicine, where it is the science that deals with the causes or origin of disease, the factors which produce or predispose toward a certain disease or disorder.

community members' beliefs in their health as influenced by spiritual powers is an interesting notion of physical health and illness quite different from the Western perception hereof. It cannot be exclusively said how the respondents perceive physical and spiritual purposes of using the plants (i.e. whether physical illness is seen as physical irrespective of its cause).

Although one may be aware that medical pluralism, combining both biomedical and traditional medicine, is practiced in many South African households, respondents in the surveyed communities did not want to comment much on personally using the services of sangomas¹².

In healthcare literature, religion and spirituality are most of the time used interchangeably, although they have quite different meanings (Miller & Thorensen, 2003). Spirituality is defined in individual terms, characterized by experiences involving meaning, connectedness, and transcendence, whereas religion is defined in communal terms, characterized by institutionalized practices and beliefs, membership and modes of organization (Pesut, Fowler, Taylor, Reimer-Kirkham & Sawatzky, 2008; Miller & Thorensen, 2003). Thus, whereas spirituality is understood at the level of the individual, religion is more of a social phenomenon, and as such is included in the more overarching concept of spirituality.

The difficulty in conceptualizing spirituality/religion comes from the multi-dimensionality of these concepts (Miller & Thorensen, 2003) and extends to the problem of how exactly spirituality/religion influence health. This, in turn, emphasizes the fact that there are multiple interpretations of how spirituality/religion influences health and a number of pathways through which this happens. Four most prominent such pathways have been proposed: health behaviours (through prescribing a certain diet and/or discouraging the abuse of alcoholic beverages, smoking, etc., religion can protect and promote a healthy lifestyle), social support (people can experience social contact with co-religionists and have a web of social relations that can help and protect whenever the case), psychological states (religious people can experience a better mental health, more positive psychological states, more optimism and faith, which in turn can lead to a better physical state due to less stress) and 'psi' influences (supernatural laws that govern 'energies' not currently comprehended by science but possibly understandable at some point by science). It is because spirituality/religion influence health through these pathways, they act in an indirect way on health (Oman & Thorensen, 2002).

No collaboration between healthcare facilities and traditional healers was noted.

¹² Although sangoma is a Zulu term that is colloquially used to commonly describe all types of Southern African traditional healers, there are differences between practices: an inyanga is concerned mainly with medicines made from plants and animals, while a sangoma relies primarily on divination for healing purposes and might also be considered a type of fortune teller.

9.11. EHA #11 Health Systems Issues

This category considers health outcomes and determinants related to healthcare access and healthcare infrastructure. Important outcomes include the increase or decrease in the number of medical evacuations, clinics or hospital visit trends, health expenditures, and medication usage. Health determinants may include distance to health facilities, mobile clinics, the presence of community health workers, and the frequency of physician visits to the area/ clinics.

The health care infrastructure in the district and municipal area is relatively well served. The infrastructure was observed as sound. All respondents were happy with the quality of services they receive from the surveyed communities Clinic. There were no complaints of a lack of skilled staff to support the daily functioning of the facilities; the operating times of the facilities; long queues or overcrowding.

Key health personnel mentioned a shortage of staff, in the form of both professional nurses as well as doctors. This creates service delivery challenges and often results in long waits for patients, and places increased stress on the current medical staff. Staff shortages were also reported at the clinic level and this associated with equipment, consumables and limited documentation storage/filing methods, mean that these services do not function optimally.



Figure 9-9: Mudimeli Clinic



Figure 9-10: Mudimeli Clinic waiting area

ACTIVITIES FOR 2019			
DATE	ACTIVITY	TARGET GROUP	VENUE
FEBRUARY 2019	TEENAGE PREGNANCY	TEENAGERS AT SCHOOLS	MUDIMELI SECONDARY SCHOOL
MAY 2019	NO TOBACCO AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE	COMMUNITY	MUDIMELI CLINIC
JULY 2019	MENTAL HEALTH AWARENESS	COMMUNITY AND MENTAL HEALTH CARE USER	MUDIMELI CLINIC
AUGUST 2019	CERVICAL SCREENING AWARENESS	WOMEN AND COMMUNITY	MUDIMELI CLINIC
DECEMBER 2019	WORLD AIDS DAY	COMMUNITY	MUDIMELI CLINIC

Figure 9-11: Mudimeli Clinic activities for 2019

9.11.1. Emergency Services and Disaster Management

The Municipal Disaster Risk Management Plan is designed to establish the framework for implementation of the provisions of the Disaster Management Act, 57 of 2002, as well as the related provisions of the Municipal Systems Act, 32 of 2000. The purpose of the Municipal DRM Plan is to outline policy and procedures for both the proactive hazard and risk assessment, followed by disaster prevention (if possible), risk reduction, preparedness and the reactive disaster response, relief and rehabilitation phases of Disaster Risk Management. Disaster Management is the function assigned to the district municipalities as per legislation (Disaster Management Act 57 of 2002). There

are currently Disaster Management Framework Plans for both the Makhado and Musina Local Municipalities. It is estimated that the District is served by 37 police stations. These are fairly effectively distributed throughout the municipal area, with the highest concentration of stations coinciding with the areas experiencing higher population densities.

These services are legislated under various pieces of legislation such as the Fire Services Act, Act 99 of 1987, National Veld and Forestry Fire Act, Act 101 of 1998, National Building Regulations and Building Standards Act, Act 103 of 1997, Hazardous Substances Act, Act 15 of 1973 as amended, Occupational Health and Safety Act, Act 85 of 1993 to highlight just a few.

The provincial emergency medical services (EMS) and planned patient transport (PPT) systems in places like Mudimeli are characterised by long waiting times, a lack of reliability, and indignity. The current failure of the EMS system impacts disproportionately on the vulnerable poor, often residing in rural settings, such as the surveyed communities. The unavailability of ambulances either in emergencies or for planned patient transport means that many people are forced to make substantial, often not budgeted for, payments to access health services at facilities (TAC, 2018). For those who are unable to pay for these services, they have no option than to wait for an ambulance which often take hours to arrive, or does not arrive at all.

9.12. EHA #12 Non-Communicable Diseases

This category includes health outcomes and determinants related to chronic disease. Important outcomes include increases or decreases in mortality and morbidity rates of cancer, cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases, diabetes, respiratory diseases, and mental health disorders. Many NCDs can be prevented by reducing common risk factors such as tobacco use, harmful alcohol use, physical inactivity and eating unhealthy diets. Key determinants for chronic diseases may include smoking rates, rates of alcohol and drug abuse, physical activity levels, presence of recreation centres, as well as cancer screening rates.

The term NCDs refers to a group of conditions that are not mainly caused by an acute infection, result in long-term health consequences and often create a need for long-term treatment and care. These conditions include cancers, cardiovascular disease, diabetes and chronic lung illnesses. Many other important conditions are also considered NCDs, including injuries and mental health disorders.

The chief chronic conditions observed in the surveyed communities include chronic diseases such as hypertension, diabetes and stroke. Several respondents in the surveyed communities reported that hypertension and diabetes are very common in their community.

9.12.1. Mental Health

Mental health refers to a broad array of activities directly or indirectly related to the mental well-being of a person. It is related to the promotion of well-being, the prevention of mental disorders, and the treatment and rehabilitation of people affected by mental disorders (WHO 2013). Mental health is a major consideration, as it relates to the community's perception of well-being and sense of place. Respondents reported that mental illnesses are not very prevalent in the surveyed communities and neighbouring settlements as a whole.

Access to jobs, income, goods and services can enhance mental health and well-being and reduce stress. Having a sense of control over one's life is crucial for mental well-being, so manufacturing, power generation and metals projects can improve mental health by reducing poverty, increasing self-esteem and empowering local communities. However, if not well managed, the disruption and uncertainty brought on by a new project can increase worry, stress, and feelings of powerlessness. If the price of local housing, food and other services increases as a result of the project, the financial stress on low income families can be great. The visual impact on the environment and the lighting, odour and noise associated with heavy industrial projects can also affect mood, heighten stress levels and lead to sleep disturbance. Lack of job security may also lead to stress amongst employees and dependants, particularly well-being when the project only provides short term employment contracts or when the project nears closure.

9.12.2. Physical Activity Levels

Consistent physical activity is an important indicator of future non-communicable diseases risk, particularly cardiovascular disease risk. Moderate physical activity is defined as some activity that causes an increase in breathing or heart rate (30 or more minutes a day, 5 or more days per week). Vigorous physical activity is defined as some activity that causes a large increase in breathing or heart rate (20 or more minutes a day, 3 times or more a week) (Newfields, 2014). Residents of the surveyed communities reported that they do not participate in leisure time physical activities such as jogging or exercise.

10. Anticipated Health Impacts of the various Manufacturing Plants

10.1. Thermal Power Plant

A thermal power station is a power plant in which heat energy is converted to electric power. In most of the places in the world the turbine is steam-driven. Water is heated, turns into steam and spins a steam turbine which drives an electrical generator.

Due to its high energy generation potential, coal is widely used in power generation in different countries. Although, the presence of carbon, hydrogen and sulphur in coal facilitates the energy generation in coal combustion, some

pollutants including CO_x, SO_x, NO_x, particulate matter (PM) and heavy metals are accumulated in air and water and lead to severe environmental and health impacts as a result of leaching, volatilization, melting, decomposition, oxidation, hydration and other chemical reactions. In addition, fly ash, in both wet and dry forms, is mobilized and induces severe impacts including bone deformities and kidney dysfunction, particularly with exposure of radionuclides (Munawer, 2018).

The burning of coal leads to the emission of poisonous gases with underlying health impacts and environmental problems (Clancy et al.; Katsouyanni et al., 2001, Gent et al., 2003). In coal combustion, the carbon, sulphur, and nitrogen react with oxygen and produce their respective oxides: carbon dioxide (CO₂) and carbon monoxide (CO), sulphur dioxide (SO₂) and sulphur trioxide (SO₃), and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and nitric oxide (NO), respectively. The emission of these gases has been correlated with many health problems directly and indirectly, including skin, cardiovascular, brain, blood and lung diseases, and different cancers (Badman and Jaffé, 1996, Cornell, 2016, Bascom et al., 1996, Kelsall et al., 1997, Health effects of outdoor air pollution. Committee of the Environmental and Occupational Health Assembly of the American Thoracic Society, 1996, Pope et al., 1995). For example, CO enters into the blood stream and reacts with haemoglobin and reduces the formation of oxy-haemoglobin complex by decreasing its ability for O₂ transformation (Badman & Jaffé, 1996). Hence, the CO can alter biological functions at the cellular level and cause many abnormalities including slow reflexes, and coagulation confusion or disorders. Both CO and CO₂ cumulatively have harmful impacts on the environment in the form of global warming and greenhouse gases (GHG) emission. The CO₂ emission from coal combustion, during power generation, also leads to the interaction of CO₂ with particulate matter (PM 2.5), which thereby changes the air quality and leads to increased asthma attacks and other respiratory and cardiovascular diseases with underlying poor life expectancy rates. Inhaling particulate matters may cause some dangerous diseases, including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and lung cancer (Cornell, 2016).

The sulphur, in coal, oxidizes upon combustion and pollutes the air, water, and land by releasing SO_x (SO₂, SO₃, SO₃²⁻ and H₂SO₄). The formation of the poisonous SO₂ gas, a major pollutant in air, may accelerate the rate of diseases and decrease life expectancy around power plants (Bascom et al., 1996, Kelsall et al., 1997, Health effects of outdoor air pollution. High exposure to SO₂ causes suffocation, wheezing, coughing, and reductions in lung function by affecting mucous and cellular mucins (Kelsall et al., 1997, Health effects of outdoor air pollution. Committee of the Environmental and Occupational Health Assembly of the American Thoracic Society, 1996, Pope et al., 1995, Bascom et al., 1996). NO₂ forms the most important part of acid rain, as nitrous acid HNO₂ and nitric acid HNO₃, which causes a large number of skin diseases (Singh & Agrawal, 2007). The entrance of SO_x and NO_x air pollutants into the blood stream and cells destabilizes normal heart beats (rhythms) and culminates in heart attacks and other heart related problems (Peters, PerzDöring, Stieber, Koenig, & Wichmann, 1999). In addition, high levels of NO₂ (>1500 mg/m³) in the air causes a reduction in the pulmonary function in humans (Li

et al., 2001, Health effects of outdoor air pollution. The ozone gas formed as a result of NO₂ reaction with the volatile organic compounds in the air causes ozone-related asthma exacerbations in infants (Gent *et al.*, 2003).

PM level, individually and in combination with NO₂ in air, increases the concentration of free radical based reactive oxygen species (ROS) and contributes to DNA mutation, and damage of protein and lipids which may constitutively activate membrane proteins which leads to the development of some serious diseases, including lung cancer, cardiovascular diseases and reproductive disorders (Hussain *et al.*, 2016a, Valko *et al.*, 2007, Miller *et al.*, 2007; Clancy *et al.*; Katsouyanni *et al.*, 2001).

Figure 10-1 illustrates the coal combustion associated health and environmental risks. Process A) shows how the emission of CO_x, SO_x and NO_x from a coal combustion plant is hydrated in rain water and converted into respective acids (H₂CO₃, H₂SO₄, and HNO₃) to cause many environment and health impacts by disturbing all kingdoms of life including eukarya, archaea and bacteria. CO and CO₂ emission from a coal combustion power plant into air hugely contributes to global warming and damages the food-web, and increases the spreading of malaria, cardiovascular diseases and respiratory diseases like asthma. During coal combustion, sulphur emission first oxidizes to form sulphur dioxide (SO₂), and further oxidizes to form SO₃ that forms sulphuric acid (H₂SO₄) upon hydration in rain. Acid rain comprised of H₂CO₃, H₂SO₄, and HNO₃ leads to dangerous diseases including cancers of skin and various skin diseases in animals. NO_x regulates pulmonary dysfunction by free radical mechanism. Process B) conveys the cumulative effect of PM with NO_x and CO_x causes cellular stress and respiratory diseases. PM, together with CO_x and NO_x impacts the cellular DNA and respiratory canal epithelial lining, and SO_x reacts with mucous lining to regulate the SO₃-derivatives of glycoproteins (e.g. mucins) to regulate various diseases.




Figure 10-1: Coal combustion related health and environmental risks

10.2. Coke Plant

Coke for smelting of iron ore in the blast furnace must meet particular specifications as to its physical qualities and composition and is especially produced in the coke oven plant from carefully selected types of bituminous coal (Wesley and Hermon, 2012).

Modern processes filter out pollutants, typically include:

- Atmospheric Emissions:
 - Particulate matter (dust)
 - Sulphur dioxide (SO₂)
 - Nitrogen Oxides (NO_x)
 - Fugitive emissions in volatile compounds (e.g. poly-aromatic hydrocarbons, dioxins), carbon monoxide emissions).
- Hazardous waste:
 - Sludge not used in ovens.

These pollutants and their anticipated human health impacts have been discussed in Section 11.8 of this Report.

10.3. Ferrochromium Plant

Ferrochrome (FeCr) is an iron-chromium alloy which is used to make stainless steel. Soil, sediment, water and air can all become contaminated with chromium through industrial activities. Dust from industry operations such as mining and smelting settles out of the air, polluting soils and surface water. Most soluble chromium eventually settles onto sediment. Contamination of soil, surface and groundwater can also occur through release of industrial wastewater and leaching of soluble Cr compounds from wastes such as waste rock, dust and slag piles. Ferrochrome production creates air pollution, dust, slag (waste produced during ferrochrome separation from other ore elements) and process water. These waste materials have the potential to be contaminated with chromium and other heavy metals and chemicals of concern.

Ferrochrome production emits air pollutants such as nitrogen oxides, carbon oxides and sulphur oxides (NO_x, CO_x, SO_x) and particulate dusts that contain heavy metals such as chromium, zinc, lead, nickel and cadmium. During the high temperature smelting of chromite ore, some Cr-III is converted to toxic Cr-VI, contaminating the dust.

Inhalation: High concentrations of dusts or fumes may cause irritation. Repeated or prolonged exposure to various chromium compounds has been reported to result in ulceration and perforation of the nasal septum, irritation of the throat and lower respiratory tract, less commonly in gastrointestinal disturbances, blood changes, pulmonary sensitization, pulmonary pneumoconiosis or fibrosis, and rarely liver effects.

Skin Contact: Contact with dusts or powder may cause irritation. Repeated or prolonged exposure to various chromium compounds has been reported to cause various types of dermatitis, including eczema, “chrome holes”, sensitization, and, in contact with damaged skin, kidney damage. These effects have not been reported from exposure to the metal per se.

Eye Contact: Contact with dusts or powders may cause irritation. Repeated or prolonged exposure to some chromium compounds may cause conjunctivitis and lacrimation¹³. These effects have not been reported from exposure to the metal per se.

Ingestion: Chromium metal is poorly absorbed by the intestinal tract. Absorption of sufficient amounts of some chromium compounds may result in dizziness, intense thirst, abdominal pain, vomiting, shock, oliguria¹⁴ or anuria¹⁵, and uraemia¹⁶, which may be fatal.

10.4. Ferromanganese Plant

Standard ferromanganese, also known as high-carbon ferromanganese, is one of the manganese ferroalloys smelted directly from manganese ores. In general, it is produced either by a blast furnace or a submerged arc furnace. The alloy is smelted either by high-manganese slag or discard slag practices. Lime is used to keep the manganese content low in slag.

Ferromanganese causes skin and eye irritation. It may also cause allergy or asthma symptoms or breathing difficulties if inhaled, cancer, may damage fertility or the unborn child. Causes damage to the immune system and nervous system through prolonged or repeated exposure. In contact with water releases flammable gas.

Acute exposure to manganese: Dust or fumes may be irritating to the mucous membranes. Occupational exposure to dust or fumes has been reported to cause upper respiratory tract problems, black mucous membrane discharge from the nose, and neurological damage. Metal fume fever, an influenza-like illness, may occur due to the inhalation of freshly formed metal oxide particles sized below 1.5 microns and usually between 0.02-0.05 microns (DLA, 2015). Other symptoms may include upper respiratory tract irritation accompanied by coughing and a dryness of the mucous membranes, lassitude and a generalized feeling of malaise. Fever, chills, muscular pain, mild to severe headache, nausea, occasional vomiting, exaggerated mental activity, profuse sweating, excessive urination, diarrhoea and prostration may also occur.

¹³ Shedding tears, or shedding more tears than is normal.

¹⁴ Oliguria refers to low urine output.

¹⁵ Anuria is defined as absence of any urine output.

¹⁶ Uraemia is a clinical syndrome marked by elevated concentrations of urea in the blood and associated with fluid, electrolyte, and hormone imbalances and metabolic abnormalities, which develop in parallel with deterioration of renal function.

Acute Exposure (Carbon): Inhalation of dust may cause slight mucous membrane irritation. If sufficient quantities of manganese dust or fumes are inhaled and absorbed, systemic poisoning known as "manganism", a Parkinsonian-like syndrome may occur. It is characterized initially by anorexia, asthenia, headache, insomnia or somnolence, irritability, restlessness, and spasm or pain in the muscles. Manganese psychosis may follow with uncontrollable behaviour, unaccountable laughing or crying, visual hallucinations, confusion and euphoria. Sexual excitement followed by impotence may occur (DLA, 2015).

Adolescents living in communities with ferromanganese alloy plant activity have been shown to exhibit deficits in olfactory and fine motor function. Household dust may serve as an important manganese (Mn) exposure pathway to children, though dust Mn concentrations have not previously been measured to assess household contamination from ferromanganese alloy plant emissions (Lucas *et al.*, 2019). Given the evidence associating elevated Mn exposure with neurological impairments in children from various literature, it becomes apparent that dust Mn levels should be reduced in contaminated environments to protect the health of resident children.

10.5. Pig Iron Plant

Iron is a lustrous, ductile, malleable, silver-grey metal (group VIII of the periodic table). It is known to exist in four distinct crystalline forms. Iron rusts in damp air, but not in dry air. It dissolves readily in dilute acids. Iron is chemically active and forms two major series of chemical compounds, the bivalent iron (II), or ferrous, compounds and the trivalent iron (III), or ferric, compounds.

Iron may cause conjunctivitis, choroiditis, and retinitis if it contacts and remains in the tissues. Chronic inhalation of excessive concentrations of iron oxide fumes or dusts may result in development of a benign pneumoconiosis, called siderosis, which is observable as an x-ray change. No physical impairment of lung function has been associated with siderosis. Inhalation of excessive concentrations of iron oxide may enhance the risk of lung cancer development in workers exposed to pulmonary carcinogens. LD50 (oral, rat) =30 gm/kg. (LD50: Lethal dose 50. Single dose of a substance that causes the death of 50% of an animal population from exposure to the substance by any route other than inhalation. Usually expressed as milligrams or grams of material per kilogram of animal weight (mg/kg or g/kg)) (Lenntech, 2018).

Pig iron is used for making steel and pure iron units. It has very high carbon content along with silica and another constituent of dross. Pig iron made from smelting iron indulges with the high amount of carbon for further processing steps.

10.6. Stainless-Steel Plant

The main difference between stainless steel and carbon steel is the amount of chromium present. Unprotected carbon steel rusts readily when exposed to air and moisture. This iron oxide film (rust) is active and accelerates corrosion by making it easier for more iron oxide to form (Delta, 2019).

Pollutants are typically including:

- ☛ Carbon Dioxide (CO₂)
- ☛ Traces of elements not fully removed by the stack gas scrubbers:
 - ☛ Sulphur dioxide (SO₂)
 - ☛ Nitrogen Oxides (NO_x)
 - ☛ Trace elements like Mercury, Cadmium and Lead.

These potential human health impacts of these pollutants have been discussed in Section 11.8 of this Report.

10.7. Lime Plant

The word 'lime' refers to products derived from heating (calcining) limestone. Lime is a calcium-containing inorganic material in which carbonates, oxides, and hydroxides predominate. In the strict sense of the term, lime is calcium oxide or calcium hydroxide (Delta, 2019).

The lime industry is a significant carbon dioxide and dust emitter. These pollutants and their anticipated human health impacts have been discussed in Section 11.8 of this Report.

10.8. Calcium Carbide Plant

Calcium carbide, also known as calcium acetylide, is a chemical compound with the chemical formula of CaC₂. Its main use industrially is in the production of acetylene and calcium cyanamide (Patnaik, 2003). Calcium carbide is produced industrially in an electric arc furnace from a mixture of lime and coke at approximately 2,200 °C.

The figure below presents the material flow chart of the production. The process can be seen as starting with the drying of coke and production of lime from limestone. After that these substances are mixed and fed into the electrical oven, where they under high temperatures react to form calcium carbide. The calcium carbide is then cooled by the surrounding air and after that crushed and sorted. Finally, the carbide is packaged in containers of various sizes for different types of consumers or fed directly into for example PVC production. China is the only major country using calcium carbide to produce PVC plastics (Harriman, 2005). This is done through the so called "acetylene route", where calcium carbide and water becomes acetylene and calcium hydroxide.




Figure 10-2: Flow chart of calcium carbide production

The reaction of calcium carbide with water, producing acetylene and calcium hydroxide, was discovered by Friedrich Wöhler in 1862. This reaction was the basis of the industrial manufacture of acetylene, and is the major industrial use of calcium carbide (Greenwood et al, 1997). In China, acetylene derived from calcium carbide remains a raw material for the chemical industry, in particular for the production of polyvinyl chloride. Locally produced acetylene may be more economical than using imported oil. Calcium carbide reacts with nitrogen at high temperature to form calcium cyanamide. Commonly known as nitrolime, calcium cyanamide is used as fertilizer. It is hydrolysed to cyanamide, H_2NCN .

By adding calcium carbide during the steel production, the amount of slag and sulphur can be reduced, resulting in less impurity (China Chemical Reporter, IV, 2003).

Calcium carbide is used:

- ☛ In the desulfurization of iron (pig iron, cast iron and steel);
- ☛ As a fuel in steelmaking to extend the scrap ratio to liquid iron, depending on economics; and
- ☛ As a powerful deoxidizer at ladle treatment facilities.

Calcium carbide is sometimes used as source of acetylene gas, which is a ripening agent similar to ethylene. However, this is illegal in some countries as, in the production of acetylene from calcium carbide, contamination often leads to trace production of phosphine and arsine.

Calcium carbide causes various health hazards like, headache, dizziness, mood disturbances, sleepiness, mental confusion, memory loss, cerebral oedema and seizures. These results indicate that fruit treatment with calcium salts not only affects the ripening process but also influences the aroma of the fruits.

10.10.1 Cancer

Calcium carbide has the potential to cause cancer and contains traces of phosphorus hydride and arsenic. Some early symptoms of arsenic or phosphorus include diarrhoea with or without blood, vomiting, thirst, weakness, burning sensation of chest and abdomen, irritation or burning in the eye, difficulty in swallowing, permanent eye damage, sore throat, ulcers on the skin, cough and shortness of breath.

Stomach Upset: Carbide has an alkaline substance, an irritant which has the potential to erode mucosal tissues inside the stomach. This can disrupt intestinal functions significantly.

Disruption of Neurological System: It has been found that carbide imitates acetylene gas, which can drastically impair the neurological system by inducing hypoxia for a prolonged period. Hypoxia is a condition that prompts low oxygen supply to the blood as well as tissues. Some of the symptoms of this condition include dizziness, headache, sleepiness, mood disturbances, memory loss, sleepiness, cerebral oedema, mental confusion and seizure.

Cement is produced by mixing concrete, mortar and grout made of sand and water. Studies show that calcium carbide residue can successfully be used for the production of cement without major property changes of the cement.

11. Potential Health Impacts

The objective of the HIA process is to optimize business and livelihood conditions within an SEZ. And identify whether there are potential health impacts and communicate these impacts to decision makers during the planning and permitting process. Health impacts are:

- Changes in health outcomes or determinants, not general changes in environmental conditions;
- Specific health outcomes or determinants, not general statements about health status; and
- Quantifiable, whenever possible.

All communities have existing health problems and health assets. Similarly, all development projects have the potential to generate positive and negative health and well-being impacts. It is within this wider context that the community health impacts of heavy industrial projects should be considered. Both positive and negative impacts

occur because a project has the potential to change the economic, social, sanitary and natural environments within which communities live and work. Good design and management can help to maximize the positive health and safety impacts and avoid or minimize the negative ones.

A health impact is a positive or negative change in a specific health outcome or health determinant.

This section provides an analysis of the potential impacts associated with the proposed SEZ Project and has included the analysis of potential negative impacts and their mitigation measures, but also includes potential positive impacts and measures to enhance these. This is based on the evidence presented in the baseline health description, the planned Project activities and information obtained from the other available specialist studies.

The key health impacts and needs have been described in the EHA framework. Project-specific determinants and comments will be described so as to inform the impact assessment. While it is recognised that some of these existing health needs will be inherited by the proposed SEZ Project and may be regarded as the responsibility of the government, they may influence the impacts and need to be considered for mitigation/management.

It is important to understand that assessing health impacts is often based on a broad range of factors. These can be influenced from a national or regional policy/program decision/intervention and thus may be extremely dynamic. The impact analysis considers the present state of health, based on the community profile, and impacts related to the existing plans and designs of the Project (Winkler et al., 2010).

It should be noted that only the impacts relevant to the Project have been assessed in the section below. In this instance, EHA #1 (vector-related diseases) and EHA #3 (veterinary medicine and zoonotic diseases) have been discussed but have not been evaluated and rated as, during the field visit and analysis, these EHAs were deemed immaterial in the PACs.

The assessment of impacts due to risks to the health and safety of people and the safety of the environment is based on acts and regulations on buildings and structures; fire safety and other safety regulations; and documents on natural hazards. SEZ workers (including those who are involved in the construction of the SEZ and associated facilities) are a critical population within the area supporting SEZ development. The safety, security, and fair treatment of workers are fundamental toward maximizing economic growth, income generation, and health opportunities in SEZs. For this reason, occupational health related mitigation measures have also been included in this section.

11.1. EHA #1: Vector-related Diseases

During active operational periods, the proposed SEZ Project may create new breeding sites for key mosquito vectors which would significantly increase the vector-borne disease risk. In addition, existing water bodies, such

as surface-water environmental-control dams or new reservoirs, may become magnets for local community members and increase the risks of injury, including accidental drowning. In addition, water storage facilities require careful environmental engineering (for example, shoreline slopes and vegetation control) to prevent development of vector breeding sites. During construction and operations phases, tires, drums, and other containers may become significant breeding sites for mosquitoes. The consequences for this are:

- Potential new breeding sites for mosquito vectors; and
- Increase in the vector-borne disease risk

11.1.1. Malaria burden

The proposed Project does have the potential to impact malaria transmission. This will require mitigation; interventions may differ based on seasonal and land use practices. Modification of the environment often changes the habitat for mosquitoes to breed in. In this regard, the presence of a dam in the proposed Project area strongly increases the malaria risk during the rainy season.

Influx of individuals may also play a role in increased disease transmission. More people from outside the area may increase the naturally-occurring parasite pool and changes in land use may also alter the environment. This is challenging for the proposed Project to manage as their responsibility, as it is already part of the baseline conditions.

The health of the workforce also needs to be considered, especially as some of the workforce may come from the local community. The risk of the disease could have significant health and economic impacts to the proposed Project if not mitigated properly. Malaria can have the following impacts at the workplace level:

- **Absenteeism** through repeated infections: This will have a significant impact on productivity and increased costs. It is estimated that an expatriate non-immune employee will take 5-7 days to reach optimal productivity after an uncomplicated case of malaria and a semi-immune local employee 2-3 days.
- **Health and safety risks:** It is important to note here that malaria is not contagious and you can't catch it from physical contact with someone who has it. The malaria parasite is not in an infected person's saliva and it is not passed on from one person to another. The only way you can catch malaria from a person is through blood transfusions or organ transplants. The effects of the disease and the treatment drugs may decrease alertness thus endangering fellow employees and themselves (patients). The medications may also reduce hearing sensitivity.
- **Increase cost of overall health care:** The cost of malaria management through large case-loads can become significant even if managed at the local site medical service. An uncomplicated case of malaria may cost about \$15 to manage, without considering human resource and capital expenses (Asante and Asenso-Okyere, 2003). The impact of a complicated case of malaria, that may require medical evacuation

and extended hospitalisation in an Intensive Care Unit (ICU), can be very costly, and may run into hundreds or thousands of dollars.

- **Increased burden on the medical service:** High case-loads of malaria will take a significant amount of time in the medical service and limit the effectiveness of other health programs, such as occupational health.
- **Employee turnover and attractiveness:** The risk of contracting malaria may decrease the ability to attract skilled staff to work in the area. Repeat infections and decreased morale from the risks related to the disease may also increase employee turnover.
- **Employer liability:** The risk exists for an employer to be held liable for complications that may arise from an infection, especially if mitigation measures have not been implemented.

In summary, the way malaria transmission will be influenced by the proposed Project will depend on determinants such as the epidemiological setting, local vector behaviour and management, change in land use related to vector activity, socio-economic conditions and health-seeking behaviours. The highly endemic nature of the disease means that the proposed Project is unlikely to significantly add to the already high disease burden of the community during the wet season. However, during the dry season, the increased potential for breeding sites will play a major role and change the normal epidemiology of the disease vectors. The behaviour of the vector is not known and may need to be described and understood to determine if the dam and land use will alter the behaviour and lifecycles of the vectors. In spite of these potential unknown factors, mitigation measures are warranted and are likely to play a significant beneficial role to the community if well-planned and executed.

11.1.2. Project Impact Mitigation

The Project impact mitigation for this EHA is listed below:

- Partner with existing initiatives (such as local health authorities and NGOs) to provide education and improve awareness so that people know how to protect themselves and their communities from mosquitoes, ticks, bugs, flies and other vectors – e.g. support malaria awareness campaigns in the communities;
- Ensure Project designs reduce the potential for sources of vector breeding. This includes both construction and operational considerations;
- Develop community-based programs in partnership with the local authorities and based on the strategy of the national malaria control program. Where programmes exist but are ineffective, consider options to assist in improving their effectiveness (e.g. ITN distribution and use); and
- Any workplace malaria and vector control program should include measures for mitigating the potential increase in vector densities, thus decreasing disease transmission in the communities.

11.1.3. Occupational Health and Safety

- Maintain and extend the current workplace malaria and vector control programme as construction initiates. This will require an extension of the human resource element and skill. It is recommended that a skilled entomologist is employed at least for the duration of construction and the initial operation phase. Source reduction, environmental management and larvaciding will be crucial elements. The programme must have clear objectives and measurable indicators;
- Entomological surveillance in the work areas and temporary work camps;
- Planning of temporary work or accommodation camps considering the following:
 - adequate drainage to prevent pooling of water;
 - reduction of vegetation around accommodation units;
 - appropriate accommodation units to promote bite prevention including i) screened windows and doors; ii) air conditioners or fans and iii) provision of an ITN that fits the bed appropriately and is easy to use.
- Aggressive case-surveillance to determine the likely origin of and root cause of malaria cases;
- Appropriate education programmes in the workforce that occur prior to secondment and are on-going at site, especially for expatriate staff. These can be supported by serial KAP studies to determine effectiveness of programmes and actual behaviour change.
- Maintain a long sleeve-shirt policy and encourage use of malaria chemoprophylaxis for staff living on site (and particularly for expatriates).
- Include malaria risk as criteria for fitness to work in pre-assignment medical examinations in non-immune employees.

11.1.4. Impact Evaluation

The table below considers the scale of the specific predicted impacts related to EHA #1 by presenting the impact evaluation ratings for the three Project phases.

Table 11-1: Impact Evaluation –EHA # 1

EHA #1 Vector-Related Diseases					
	Effect			Risk or	Overall
	Temporal Scale	Spatial Scale	Severity of Impact	Likelihood	Significance
Construction Phase					
Without Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	LOW – (7)
With Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	Unlikely (1)	LOW - (6)
Operational Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Severe (4)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (12)



With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE – (9)
Closure and Decommissioning Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Severe (4)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (12)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE – (9)

11.2. EHA #2: Acute Respiratory Infections and Respiratory Effects from Housing

Diseases that may be intensified due to heavy industrial activities include: conjunctivitis, respiratory tract diseases, vector borne diseases such as schistosomiasis and STDs such as HIV/AIDS. Acute conjunctivitis is attributed to high dust particles, smoke or chemical content in the air. With steel plants, dust and other chemicals are regularly dispersed in the air, which could lead to acute conjunctivitis. Similarly, respiratory tract diseases such as tuberculosis (TB) and silicosis *may* spread more quickly in these areas. Sneezing or coughing, the most common means of producing airborne TB bacilli, is common among industrial workers as they are exposed to dust and chemicals in the air created by certain industrial activities.

Tuberculosis is a contagious infection caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Although all the organs in the human body are susceptible to TB, the lungs are the primary organs that are most commonly affected. Tuberculosis usually affects the young, poor and the immunocompromised individuals (those already suffering from diseases such as HIV/AIDS, which weakens the immune system). Since TB is spread through the air, it transmits easily in crowded environments. Industrial workers work in close proximity to each other in an enclosed environment such as a smelter/ a plant, which allows the TB bacteria to spread easily.

11.2.1. Transmission of Communicable Diseases due to Overcrowding

An influx of large groups of workers can also lead to overcrowded conditions where air-borne diseases such as tuberculosis, influenza and meningitis can spread easily. This in-migration can also lead to the introduction of new infections to remote areas where local communities have little or no natural immunity to them.

About 70% of households live in formal dwelling/ house or brick/ concrete block structure within the municipality while 10% live in traditional dwelling or structure made of traditional matter. This 10% may be households living in rural areas. There is still, however, 4% living in informal settlements and a further 6% living in informal dwelling/ shack in the backyard.

The existing environmental health needs related to housing is based on observation and reports from the SIA. During the rainy season, cooking with wood is likely to occur indoors which can increase the incidence of respiratory infections, especially in children (WHO, 2011). Studies in some African countries have found the odds of developing Acute Respiratory Infections (ARIs) are more than twice likely if biomass fuels are burnt inside a household compared to using cleaner fuel. Moreover, studies have also shown that about half of the prevalence



of active ARIs in adults aged over 20 years can be attributed to smoke from cooking fuels. In addition to this the general environmental health and sanitary conditions in most of the communities was poor as waste collection and management was inadequate. It can be assumed that personal hygiene is often lacking. While these are all existing social circumstances (generally related to poverty) that the proposed SEZ Project does not influence, these might play a synergistic (or indirect) role in influencing negative health impacts but also present an opportunity to measure improvements in the quality of life of residents.

Influx/in-migration to the area has been mentioned as a potential impact in the SIA. The project has the potential to attract outsiders and returning families. These factors need to be addressed in an influx management plan as monitoring of overcrowding will be important.

Respiratory tract infections, from a viral and bacterial origin are important to consider. This can include seasonal influenza and pandemic strains that the local communities may be unaware of due to their isolation. Vulnerable groups in these communities, especially the elderly and those with underlying disease, are particularly susceptible as their immune systems are often weakened. Any management plans for respiratory diseases by the proposed SEZ Project must consider community health as this may affect business continuity and reputation, where the project runs the risk for being blamed for disease outbreaks, especially with movements of people in and out of area.

The current capacity of the health care services to manage TB is efficient. The link with HIV is a growing problem nationally and might eventually have a project impact. This increases the challenge in monitoring for any negative impact related to increased transmission from the disease. The proposed SEZ Project will inherit this as well as the poor socioeconomic and housing conditions as described above.

TB has been described as a major concern in the surveyed communities. There are clear links between TB and silicosis from the workplace. There is however a potential for this type of exposure and it will thus need to be managed as part of the Project's occupational health and safety programme. Entrained dust from vehicles may pose a risk to communities in a similar way. TB may also present a major risk amongst workers who originate from areas where TB is more prevalent. The presence of the multidrug-resistant (MDR-TB) strain from these sources is also important as these can be introduced into communities that have not been exposed to these strains before. If the semi-skilled construction work-force is supported by third-country nationals then it will be vital to assess the burden of disease in these locations and the potential risks from the incoming workforce.

Hostel accommodation and camps are commonly constructed to house project workers. This can bring with it a range of risks and opportunities in relation to health and wellbeing e.g. water supply, sanitary installations, ventilation and the control of infectious diseases. The influx of workers can also create pressures on existing

housing leading to higher land and house prices, higher rents, housing shortages for existing residents, and overcrowding.

The proposed SEZ Project *per se* is unlikely to have a major impact related to communicable diseases if these are mitigated effectively.

11.2.2. Project Impact Mitigation

The Project impact mitigation for this EHA is listed below:

- Collaborate with the DoH on awareness-creation around vaccinations to communicable diseases for vulnerable sub-populations such as children and old people;
- Labour policies should encourage hiring of local staff to avoid excessive job-seeking migrants. The Project should not hire at the “front gate” but consider a recruitment office at an off-site location. This will need to consider national recruitment and employment requirements;
- Reduce the prevalence of communicable diseases by collaborating with relevant government departments and schools for awareness creation and improved understanding of factors exacerbating communicable diseases, including coping strategies that result in behaviour change; and initiating competitions at schools for illustrating innovative ways to improve conditions at home - either by reducing exposure and susceptibility or increasing coping capability;
- Support community-based information campaigns related to TB symptoms and the need to seek care. The campaign should address the risk of co-infection between HIV and TB;
- Influx management and advice with regards to town planning to prevent overcrowding; and
- Develop partnerships to support the community-based TB control programs in conjunction with the DoH and any NGOs. This needs to include case detection, management and surveillance activities under the national TB program policy and strategy.

11.2.3. Occupational Health and Safety

- Develop a site-based TB management policy and programme for the workforce (including contractors and short-term labourers) that incorporates screening as well as education campaigns. This must be integrated into the Project’s HIV policy as required. Develop programmes with clear objectives and measurable indicators so that effectiveness can be established. It is vital that these programmes are functional prior to the mobilisation of the main construction workforce;
- Screen employees at recruitment for TB and provide adequate referral and support on-going treatment programmes from the workplace medical service. These should be part of the contractor management plan;



- Evaluate the origin of any incoming construction workforce and understand TB and MDR-TB risks in this group. Ensure effective TB screening in this group prior to final appointment and mobilisation. These should be part of the contractor management plan;
- Ensure that adequate housing is available (especially during construction) to accommodate employees so that overcrowding does not occur. The Oil and Gas standard for temporary labour camps as defined by IPIECA can be used as a guide and includes the following:
 - Each room used for sleeping purposes contains at least 4.6 m² of floor space for each occupant;
 - Single beds are not closer than 1 m, both laterally and end to end;
 - Beds are elevated at least 0.3 m from the floor;
 - Double-decked beds are spaced not less than 1.2 m both laterally and end to end, and the clear space between the lower and upper bunk is at least 0.68 meters. Triple bunks are not acceptable; and
 - At least 2.1 m ceilings are provided.
- Ensure Project facilities have adequate waste disposal and sanitation services; and
- Determine if respirable crystalline silica is present and whether it poses a workplace health risk. Once these risks have been determined and the risk-to-workplace-health assessed, a basic modelling or risk assessment must be undertaken to determine the risk to community health. This should inform the development of appropriate control and monitoring programmes based on exposure and risk.

11.2.4. Impact Evaluation

The table below considers the scale of the specific predicted impacts related to EHA #2 by presenting the impact evaluation ratings for the three Project phases. Table 11-2: Impact Evaluation –EHA #2

EHA #2: Communicable Diseases Linked to Housing Design					
	Effect			Risk or Likelihood	Overall Significance
	Temporal Scale	Spatial Scale	Severity of Impact		
Construction Phase					
Without Mitigation	Medium Term (2)	Study Area (2)	Severe (4)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (11)
With Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Area (2)	Slight (1)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE (7)
Operational Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Severe (4)	Probable (3)	MODERATE - (12)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Slight (1)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE – (8)
Closure and Decommissioning Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (10)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE – (9)



11.3. EHA #3: Veterinary Medicine and Zoonotic Issues

A zoonotic disease is a disease that can be passed between animals and humans. Zoonotic diseases can be caused by viruses, bacteria, parasites, and fungi. These infectious diseases of animals have different modes of transmission. In direct zoonosis the disease is directly transmitted from animals to humans through media such as air (influenza) or through bites and saliva (Rabies). In contrast, transmission can also occur via an intermediate species (referred to as a vector), which carry the disease pathogen without getting infected.

There may be several people with animals entering the area during influx. If people cannot afford preventative measures for animals, there may be an increase in the zoonotic disease risk such as rabies infections. No information on the current state of zoonotic diseases is available for the area. However, an influx of people who bring in animals, may lead to an increase in zoonotic diseases.

11.4. EHA #4: Sexually Transmitted Infections, including HIV/AIDS

11.4.1. Transmission of STIs and HIV/AIDS

HIV/AIDS and STI are existing public health challenges both nationally and within the immediate proposed Project area. The HIV prevalence in the proposed SEZ Project area is a public health concern. STIs, if present and untreated, have been found to increase the risk of transmission of HIV, if one partner is infected. HIV's link with TB and its importance has been discussed above.

Influx and/or movement of labour into the proposed SEZ Project area will pose an increased risk for STIs. There will be more disposable income, either as a direct or indirect consequence of the project. Although there will not be any accommodation on site as it is planned to use local labour, commercial sex workers are still likely to establish in the surveyed communities and other nearby towns, where newly employed men from the local community may be vulnerable to opportunistic sexual liaisons. The likely effect of the project employing a number of relatively well-paid employees may also increase the risk for transactional sex. Economic upliftment and settlement in the project area may also lead to lifestyle changes, which may also play a role in casual sexual engagement.

The improved economic status of the area and the influx of new people, living away from their families, can also lead to an increased risk of sexually transmitted infections such as HIV/AIDS, gonorrhoea and chlamydia. Major outbreaks of infectious diseases can have a devastating effect not only on communities, but on the viability of a heavy industrial activity project such as the proposed SEZ Project.

Women and young girls are extremely vulnerable and have limited negotiating power for safe practices and family planning. According to respondents, gender based sexual violence is not very common in the surveyed

communities. It is important to recognise the role gender plays in sexuality and its effects on HIV transmission and prevention. HIV/AIDS should be considered a major risk for the Project and the community and interventions should be implemented on a broad base in the workforce and the community.

11.4.2. Project Impact Mitigation

To stimulate the fight against the scourge of HIV/AIDS it will be important to strengthen the following factors:

- Awareness campaigns, to emphasise the big role that family plays in the fight against HIV;
- Restoration of values campaigns by encouraging church leaders, community leaders, traditional leaders and schools to play a role in the fight against the spread of HIV and AIDS;
- Condom distribution campaigns, working with taxi drivers, business owners and other departments to encourage and teach the proper use of condoms;
- Teen pregnancy campaigns through Motivational talks at schools and to different youth structures; and
- Collaborating with sector departments, social partners, NGO's and CBO's in the fight against HIV/AIDS and related diseases.

11.4.3. Occupational Health and Safety

- During operations support the development of family-friendly accommodation arrangements where possible and adjust rotations to reduce the potential for developing local sexual relationships such as opportunities for positive social interaction through sport, family days, etc.;
- Widespread availability and social marketing of condoms in the workplace. These should form part of the reporting on HIV programmes; and
- Ensure the Project medical service can effectively perform Voluntary Counselling and Testing (VCT) and care and treatment of employees and their dependents as defined by the company's benefits. This should include diagnostic services for HIV, STIs and opportunistic infections and also the ability to monitor patients on anti-retroviral treatment (ART) with the support of a CD4 unit. Provide ART to patients that meet the required criteria - this should not only be based on the latest WHO criteria but should also consider national guidelines.

11.4.4. Impact Evaluation

The table below considers the scale of the specific predicted impacts related to EHA #4 by presenting the impact evaluation ratings for the three project phases.

Table 11-3: Impact Evaluation –EHA #4

EHA #4: Sexually-Transmitted Infections, Including HIV/AIDS					
	Effect			Risk or	Overall
	Temporal Scale	Spatial Scale	Severity of Impact	Likelihood	Significance
Construction Phase					
Without Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Regional (3)	Moderate (2)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (9)
With Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE - (8)
Operational Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Regional (3)	Moderate (2)	Probable (3)	HIGH – (11)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (10)
Closure and Decommissioning Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (10)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE – (9)

11.5. EHA #5: Soil-, Water- and Waste-related Diseases

The additional waste generated from the industry to be disposed of at the local Makhado – and/or Musina municipality’s landfill will fasten the depletion of the respective municipality’s landfill airspace. It might be necessary to establish the available airspace at the existing municipality’s landfill to determine its lifespan with the additional waste to be disposed of. In addition, heavy industrial projects can potentially change these exposures by adding or removing substances in the air, water and/or soil. Some of the substances that may be added can remain in the environment and/or the food chain for decades after the closure of a project, and therefore may have transgenerational health impacts.

11.5.1. Water and Sanitation

Access to clean water and good sanitation are a fundamental determinant of health and can be positively or negatively affected by heavy industrial projects. Projects can be heavy users of local water and can also release materials into existing water sources. Similarly, projects can place pressures on existing sanitation and water supply systems because of the increase in population in the area.

Water studies completed by AECOM and SMEC and socio-economic impact assessment study done by DEMACON were used to determine the shortfall and water requirements for the proposed SEZ site as well as water requirements around the site due to expansions of the Musina and Makhado local municipalities involved as well as the potential influx of people due to the economic growth in the area. Influx may also play a role in availability of water due to increased demand, which may ultimately negatively affect water quality. Water-borne diseases such as diarrhoea are currently not very common but where it occurs, are linked to contaminated water and poor

sanitary conditions. Water-washed diseases such as eye and skin infections are common. These are linked to poor hygiene.

Project related activities *may* pose a significant threat to the integrity of aquifers, which may be hydrologically connected to other groundwater-dependent ecosystems including farm dams, bores and rivers. Water from all operations must be disposed of and waste material is often held within the surface lease of a mine, introducing a risk of contamination of human food sources. Pollution of the environment can also occur through windblown dust during transportation, where the coal is washed and at export ports.

Although water is an essential requirement in the SEZ operations for various purposes, the use of it has the potential to affect the quality of surrounding resources. The metallurgical industries should perhaps be required to contain, recycle and re-use dirty water within their operational systems, to avoid discharging contaminated water into the natural environment. Water contamination may occur as a result of runoff from contaminated surfaces and from any dirty water discharges including treated sewage effluent within the SEZ Project boundary into any nearby water courses in proximity to the proposed SEZ Project site.

The proposed SEZ Project might have the following direct impacts on water in the area:

- ☛ Potential contamination with hydrocarbons and chemicals during construction and operations.

The indirect impacts will be:

- ☛ In-migration into the PACs and neighbouring towns such as Vereeniging. Pressure on existing limited services in terms of water supply and sanitation could dramatically increase the risk of water-related diseases; and
- ☛ Unplanned developments may influence environmental health conditions and further contaminate surface water bodies.

There is limited local data on basic water and sanitation practices or burden of disease linked to specific water and sanitation indicators. As the potential for the project to be accused of polluting the water bodies in the surrounding communities from plant or domestic water exists, it is important to establish firm baselines for mitigation. Water and sanitation are significant existing needs in the communities and if The Applicant supports any related initiatives they should be linked to specific indicators to measure impact.

Due to influx into the area and the indirect pressure it will cause on available sanitation services, the proposed SEZ Project is likely to have an impact on the sanitation situation in the area. However, improving the sanitation situation is likely to have major beneficial impacts in the communities and improve their overall quality of life.

During active construction periods, the Project may create new breeding sites for key mosquito vectors which would significantly increase the vector-borne disease risk. Water storage facilities require careful environmental engineering to prevent development of vector breeding sites. During construction and operation phases, tyres, drums, and other containers may become significant breeding sites for mosquitoes, with subsequent increased nuisance.

Groundwater quality may be impacted during construction as a result of localised hydrocarbon spills that may occur at workshops and yellow metal (earth moving equipment, named after the branded Caterpillar colour) parking areas, or hydrocarbon storage zones. Another potential risk to groundwater quality at the site is domestic waste generated by construction contractors and client staff.

An increase in income earned during construction and operational phases of the Project may improve the ability to afford basic environmental health services through increased access to such services and ability to pay for better services. This may result in a decline in cases of soil, water and sanitation-related diseases. However, with uncontrolled influx and human settlement, this may worsen over time.

11.5.2. Project Impact Mitigation

- Conduct baseline water and sanitation studies on communities based on accepted health indicators;
- Monitor for groundwater organics, bi-annually, including: Total Coliform, E. Coli and Heterotrophic plate count;
- Ensure proper disposal of human waste that is generated from the Project;
- Ensure proper waste management from Project generated waste according to waste management principles;
- Support the local authority in supporting and improving water and sanitation services, including the collection and disposal of waste in the communities;
- Establish water and sanitation committees in the communities to manage their own water and sanitation services. This will improve sustainability of any outreach support;
- Support information campaigns in the community on water use, hygiene and general sanitation;
- Manage the on-site activities in compliance with the WMP;
- Ensure all necessary waste management-related permits and approvals required by the authorities, have been obtained;
- Hire and manage the contractors, subcontractors and SEZ approved third-party service providers for off-site waste diversion, treatment and disposal services; and
- Provide all necessary resources for personnel orientation, including induction and training materials.

On-site storage of hazardous waste should be avoided and should only be in small quantities until collection. The hazardous waste storage sections on-site are to be provided with the following:

- Roof for shelter from direct sunlight and any rain events;
- Concrete base and dike/bund walls to contain spillages and to avoid contamination of soil and groundwater by the hazardous substances in the case of a spill. The storage area should include a spill containment system to capture and collect any spills from the containers stored in the area.
- Adequate drainage system to keep storm water away from hazardous areas;
- Similar to non-hazardous waste, separate compartments for each different waste type;
- Containers and storage tanks comprising of suitable / compatible material to contain the hazardous waste and properly labelled;
- Signboards for each waste type and storage area along with personnel protective equipment (PPE) to be used in handling of each waste.
- Any spillage or rinsate from transfer or washing operations shall be captured for appropriate management; and
- Access for mobile equipment to this area is to be provided to facilitate material movement.

Depending on the results of the baseline data gathering, support the government's school deworming programme in partnership with local authorities.

11.5.3. Impact Evaluation

The table below considers the scale of the specific predicted impacts related to EHA #5 by presenting the impact evaluation ratings for the three project phases.

Table 11-4: Impact Evaluation –EHA #5

EHA #5: Soil-, Water- and Waste-related Diseases					
	Effect			Risk or Likelihood	Overall Significance
	Temporal Scale	Spatial Scale	Severity of Impact		
Construction Phase					
Without Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Regional (3)	Moderate (2)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (9)
With Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE - (8)
Operational Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Regional (3)	Moderate (2)	Probable (3)	HIGH – (11)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (10)
Closure and Decommissioning Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (10)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE – (9)

11.6. EHA #6: Food and Nutrition-Related Issues

New projects have significant potential to alter underlying levels of community and household income positively (IFC, 2009). These potential positive effects may have a profound impact on a variety of health performance indicators for all populations in a community (for example, children under age 5, women of reproductive age, elderly, and so on). Conversely, projects can trigger significant inflation, impacting both food and housing in surrounding communities. Significant food inflation can adversely impact existing vulnerable groups, with negative consequences on individual and community level health performance indicators.

11.6.1. Changes in Income and Expenditure Consumption

An increase in disposable income often means that benefiting households (who are also consumers) have the opportunity to make a wider variety of lifestyle choices. In the context of the Musina-Makhado SEZ southern Site, workers employed during construction and operation as well as their households will increase the income of their respective households and can expect an improvement in their quality of life and standards of living. Increased revenues coupled with careful planning and checks and balances have the potential to make significant contributions to the progressive realisation of internationally protected economic, social and cultural rights (Delta, 2019). However, without transparency, good governance including channels for complaints and remedies (grievance mechanisms), and plans for inclusive growth, large foreign investments into natural resources may translate into huge profits for a few and harmful impacts for local communities most directly affected.

Significant food inflation can make recruitment and retention of healthcare workers and teachers extremely difficult for local communities. Significant and sudden changes in income can have a marked effect on alcohol usage and subsequent gender violence. Workforce education and training are potential key mitigation activities.

The Project may influence nutritional indicators in the study area through the following direct and indirect (the majority) factors:

11.6.2. Water Quality and Quantity

The water-related impacts of the proposed SEZ Project are described in the above Section (EHA #5) and other specialist reports. Changes in flows and high levels of erosion may reduce the normal agricultural yields of the community. Mitigation measures are planned to address these impacts but the indirect effects of nutrition are very important to consider not only in the immediate Project area but also in larger rivers that are seasonally utilised for agricultural purposes. No significant changes in agricultural and subsistence practices are expected.

11.6.3. Influx

This can influence nutrition in a number of ways including:

- Unplanned developments in the area may limit the land available for agricultural purposes. Poor planning might lead to erosion which might eventually reduce the quality of soils and lead to poor crop yields; and
- Supply and demand may create food inflation and make vulnerable groups more susceptible to escalating food prices in the Project area.

11.6.4. Change of Livelihoods and Practices

This can influence nutrition in a number of ways including:

- As a result of economic upliftment, the community might change their diets and eating habits most likely be refined products or the adoption of a more westernised diet. A reduction in physical exertion may also result as a result of changing livelihoods. Ironically, the final result could be an increased incidence of obesity and eventually non-communicable diseases (NCD).

11.6.5. Occupational Health and Safety

- Conduct education campaigns in the workforce on proper water use, hygiene and sanitation;
- Provide accommodation camps and work areas with proper and sufficient potable water facilities and conduct surveillance to ensure that water is potable;
- The Project will need to sustain its own water, sanitation and waste management systems. These need to have sufficient capacity so as not to impact on the community water supplies; and
- As part of the pre-employment examination, any prospective employee that has blood in the urine and/or faeces should be tested for schistosomiasis and have this excluded as an illness and treated.

11.6.6. Project Impact Mitigation

- Reduce project-related communicable diseases that may impact nutrition;
- Food inflation management as part of social program – meat, fruit and vegetables remain expensive items, but lower bread and cereal prices offer relief. Perhaps the Applicant may assist in training selected individuals to bake bread on a semi-commercial scale and sell from their homes. Given the past failure of the surveyed communities Hydroponics Project (refer to SIA Report), assisting in the establishment community gardens growing fruits and vegetables is not advised; and
- Support local procurement of food items in combination with incentives to increase local production.

11.6.7. Impact Evaluation

The table below considers the scale of the specific predicted impacts related to EHA #6 by presenting the impact evaluation ratings for the three project phases.

Table 11-5: Impact Evaluation –EHA #6

EHA #6: Food and Nutrition-Related Issues					
	Effect			Risk or Likelihood	Overall Significance
	Temporal Scale	Spatial Scale	Severity of Impact		
Construction Phase					
Without Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Level (2)	Severe (4)	Probable (3)	MODERATE-(10)
With Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	LOW – (7)
Operational Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Level (2)	Severe (4)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (11)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE – (9)
Closure and Decommissioning Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Severe (4)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (12)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE – (9)

11.7. EHA #7: Accidents/Injuries

The accidents and injuries health effect category describe changes to fatal and non-fatal injury statistics that can be either intentional (e.g., suicide, homicide, assault, and self-harm) or unintentional (e.g., motor vehicle crashes and falls).

11.7.1. Physical Injuries

The proposed SEZ Project may provide employment opportunities which are relatively safe and well-managed compared with other options available to many workers and can reduce work-related injuries in the population overall. However, project-related accidents, poorly controlled use of explosives or chemicals, equipment failure and truck movement can all potentially lead to physical injuries in local communities. If not well managed during decommissioning phase, the proposed SEZ Project may also pose injury risks, for example via falls, drowning, land slips and derelict buildings. The proposed SEZ Project may also affect injury rates indirectly through the social and economic changes they bring about. They may reduce the risk of violence in the community by providing gainful employment for young men, and by increasing the overall wealth of a community. However, the influx of large groups of, generally, male workers can sometimes lead to social unrest which may include violence and sexual assault.

Below are the most common causes of injury and illness in the iron and steel industry:

- ☛ slips, trips and falls on the same level;
- ☛ falls from height;
- ☛ unguarded machinery;
- ☛ falling objects;

- ☛ engulfment;
- ☛ working in confined spaces;
- ☛ moving machinery, on-site transport, forklifts and cranes;
- ☛ exposure to controlled and uncontrolled energy sources;
- ☛ exposure to asbestos;
- ☛ exposure to mineral wools and fibres;
- ☛ inhalable agents (gases, vapours, dusts and fumes);
- ☛ skin contact with chemicals (irritants (acids, alkalis), solvents and sensitizers);
- ☛ contact with hot metal;
- ☛ fire and explosion;
- ☛ extreme temperatures;
- ☛ radiation (non-ionizing, ionizing);
- ☛ noise and vibration;
- ☛ electrical burns and electric shock;
- ☛ manual handling and repetitive work;
- ☛ exposure to pathogens (e.g. legionella);
- ☛ failures due to automation;
- ☛ ergonomics;
- ☛ lack of OSH training;
- ☛ poor work organization;
- ☛ inadequate accident prevention and inspection;
- ☛ inadequate emergency first-aid and rescue facilities;
- ☛ lack of medical facilities and social protection

Fatigue may also present itself as a problem because of shift work, pressing deadlines, combined with arduous tasks, awkward PPE and hot ambient conditions.

11.7.2. Road Traffic Accidents and Other Accidental Injuries

The impact of increased road traffic on air quality has already been discussed, but there are other potential health impacts associated with site related transport that may need to be considered. Higher volumes of traffic on rural roads may result in an increase in road traffic accidents an increase in traffic related noise and the potential for longer journey times for local people. This may also contribute to increased anxiety and stress, which, as previously discussed, is also detrimental to health. Whilst the individual health risks of air pollution are relatively small, the public health consequences are considerable (Kunzli *et al* 2000).

The proposed SEZ Project would increase the number of commercial motor vehicles (e.g., trucks and other vehicles) on the roads, which could potentially produce a small increase in accidents and injuries in the region. In general, changes in this health impact are expected to be negative, resulting in an increase in morbidity and mortality outcome data.

The proposed SEZ Project may lead to increased traffic loads on primary and access roads and has thus the potential to increase the number of traffic accidents. The proposed SEZ Project may influence accidents and injuries to other road users and pedestrians in the following direct ways:

- Transport of goods and personnel to service the needs of the proposed SEZ Project. This can include long-distance truck hauling and use of light duty vehicles. Sections of the roads have been destroyed by potholes. The roads are busy and un-roadworthy vehicles and poor driving practices are common;
- Transport of staff at shift changes in buses to their home in different communities; and
- Light vehicle traffic to support the general requirements of the proposed SEZ Project.

The proposed SEZ Project may influence accidents and injuries in the following indirect ways:

- Improvement in the local economy and the ability to buy motorised forms of transport. Use of safety devices and adherence to common road laws is likely to be inadequate/ not the norm with the potential for increased accidents;
- Social influences in the community. These may be associated with influx and a change in the social cohesion and traditional structures in the local communities. These have the potential to result in internal conflicts; and
- Increased use of alcohol and potentially drugs due to increased disposable income. This may also influence the social cohesion and may contribute to crime and violence.

11.7.3. Project Impact Mitigation

- Engage the Local Municipality and interested and affected parties to assist with programmes targeted at improving traffic management and road safety in the study area;
- Develop a clear policy for the management of emergencies or accidents in the community as a direct result of the projects activities; and
- Support with local safety and security as addressed in these specialist studies.

11.7.4. Impact Evaluation

The table below considers the scale of the specific predicted impacts related to EHA #7 by presenting the impact evaluation ratings for the three Project phases.

Table 11-6: Impact Evaluation –EHA #7

EHA #7: Accidents/Injuries					
	Effect			Risk or	Overall
	Temporal Scale	Spatial Scale	Severity of Impact	Likelihood	Significance
Construction Phase					
Without Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Area (2)	Severe (4)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (10)
With Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	LOW – (7)
Operational Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Severe (4)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (12)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE – (9)
Closure and Decommissioning Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (10)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE – (9)

11.8. EHA #8: Exposure to Potentially Hazardous Materials, Noise and Malodours

The gaseous emissions are generated as flue gases at furnaces in steel plant, rolling mill and producer gas unit. Gaseous emissions are also generated from waste heater boiler in coke oven plant. Fugitive emissions are generated in the industry during storage and handling of coal and coke and other solid raw materials. Dust is generated during loading and unloading, movement in conveyors, crushing and screening operations of these products. Fugitive emission is also generated on due to vehicular movement in the premises. The information on gaseous emission from project activities is furnished in the Air Quality Impact Assessment Report.

Local communities may already be exposed to low background levels of potentially hazardous materials (e.g. dust, particulate matter, heavy metals) that can be associated with health problems such as respiratory illnesses, skin diseases, organ damage, circulatory problems, birth defects, cancers and neurological disorders.

Primary pollutants in waste gases are iron oxide, dust and oxides of sulphur, carbon etc. of these, dust is by far the most important air pollutant in the industry. Solid waste mainly comprises of slags from blast furnace and steel melting shops and dust separated in gas cleaning plants and ventilation systems.

Exposures and environmental health determinants as a result of the project will be covered in a number of specialist reports. These include air quality, water, noise and soil studies. Air quality and odours have been addressed in detail in the Air Quality Report.

11.8.1 Air Quality and Criteria Air Pollutants

The main findings of the Air Quality Impact Assessment (Airshed, 2019) are as follows:

- PM, NO_x, SO₂ and CO emissions will be released during the construction, operational decommissioning, and closure phases. Only the operational phase air quality impacts were quantified since construction and decommissioning
- SEZ operations:
 - PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, NO₂, SO₂, CO, Mn, Cr⁶⁺ and H₂S emissions and impacts were quantified.
 - The PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} emissions and simulated concentrations were likely underpredicted in this study.
 - Simulated PM₁₀ concentrations were found to exceed the evaluation criteria beyond boundary but not at AQRs.
 - Simulated PM_{2.5}, NO₂, SO₂, Mn and Cr⁶⁺ concentrations were found to exceed the evaluation criteria beyond boundary and at AQRs.
 - The significance of proposed SEZ operations related inhalation health impacts is considered “very high”.

14.8.1.1 Carbon Monoxide (CO)

Carbon monoxide (CO) is a tasteless, odourless and colourless gas which has a low solubility in water. In the human body, after reaching the lungs it diffuses rapidly across the alveolar and capillary membranes and binds reversibly with the haem proteins. Approximately 80 - 90% of CO binds to haemoglobin to form carboxyhaemoglobin. This causes a reduction in the oxygen-carrying capacity of the blood which leads to hypoxia as the body is starved of oxygen. Severe hypoxia due to acute poisoning results in headaches, nausea and vomiting, muscular weakness, loss of consciousness, shortness of breath and finally death, depending on the concentration and time of exposure. Poisoning may cause both reversible, short-lasting neurological deficits and severe, often delayed, neurological damage. Neurobehavioral effects include impaired co-ordination, tracking, driving ability, vigilance and cognitive ability (WHO, 2000).

14.8.1.2 Sulphur Dioxide (SO₂)

SO₂ is classified as a criteria air pollutant in terms of the South African National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act (No. 39 of 2004). Studies suggest that over 85% of SO₂ originates from human activities, particularly the combustion of sulphur-containing fuels at various industrial facilities and power plants (EPA, 2017).

SO₂ is a colourless gas that has a pungent odour and can have negative effects on human health when inhaled (Brauer et al., 2002). Being soluble, SO₂ is harmful to the human respiratory system because it can be readily absorbed in the mucous membranes of the nose and upper respiratory tract, causing difficulties in breathing in both children and the elderly (EPA, 2017). Exposure to SO₂ and sulphur containing compounds may cause

irritation of the eyes, nose, and throat and lung air ways. Common symptoms of exposure include a reflex cough and a tight chest (www.mfe.govt.nz, 2013).

Effects of short-term exposure to SO₂ are short-lived, with lung function reverting back to the normal state within a few minutes to hours (WHO, 2000). However, long-term exposure to SO₂ may exacerbate respiratory symptoms such as wheezing, and coughing in adults, and reduce lung function in children in some cases, particularly when SO₂ exposure occurs in the presence of other chemical compounds such as sulphates. Recent studies on health impacts have demonstrated that vehicle and industrial SO₂ emissions released into the air can affect mortality (total, cardiovascular and respiratory), even at low concentrations. (WHO, 2000).

14.8.1.3 *Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)*

NO₂ is a red-brown gas which is mainly released during combustion processes from mobile sources (vehicles) and stationary sources (factories, refineries, power stations, etc). In urban environments vehicle emissions account for the majority of NO₂ released into the atmosphere. (Kamarehie et al., 2017).

NO₂ is a highly reactive gas with strong oxidizing properties and contributes significantly to formation of ground level ozone and brown haze (pollution smog). Furthermore, when NO₂ is emitted into the atmosphere, it can easily react with water to form nitric acid which can in turn, result in acid rain. In this respect, exposure to elevated levels of NO₂ may cause eye, mucus membrane and respiratory infections because NO₂ is highly reactive with water. Studies indicate that prolonged exposure to NO₂ can cause respiratory infections and permanent lung damage (EPA, 2017).

NO₂ can be absorbed into the mucus membrane of the respiratory tract. The most impact on human health occurs at the section (in the human body) between the conducting airway and the gas exchange region of the lungs. The impact of NO₂ on the upper airways is less because of the aqueous nature of the airways – NO₂ is not very soluble in aqueous surfaces. Exposure to NO₂ may additionally increase the risk of respiratory infections, increase airway resistance in asthmatics, and decrease pulmonary function (Kamarehie et al.,2017).

Pulmonary function in adults that have been exposed to NO₂ (>200 µg/m³) for short periods may be altered; pronounced decreases in pulmonary function may be experienced when exposure to NO₂ concentrations above 500µg/m³ occurs (WHO, 2005). Long-term epidemiological studies have been conducted to determine the link between indoor use of gas cooking appliances and health effects. These studies have shown that children aged 5 – 12 years may be at higher risk of respiratory symptoms and diseases when exposed to elevated NO₂ concentrations from the cooking appliances. Similar but increased and prolonged health impacts may be noticed in children that have been exposed to outdoor ambient NO₂ over long periods (WHO, 2000).

14.8.1.4 *Cadmium*

The heavy metals cadmium, lead and mercury are common air pollutants, being emitted mainly as a result of various industrial activities. Cadmium is released into the atmosphere by natural and anthropogenic means. The anthropogenic sources of cadmium include non-ferrous metal production, stationary fossil fuel combustion, waste incineration, iron and steel production and cement production (Nriagu, 1989). Cadmium exposures are associated with kidney and bone damage. Cadmium has also been identified as a potential human carcinogen, causing lung cancer.

14.8.1.5 *Mercury*

Mercury exists in various forms: elemental (or metallic) and inorganic (to which people may be exposed through their occupation); and organic (e.g., methylmercury, to which people may be exposed through their diet). These forms of mercury differ in their degree of toxicity and in their effects on the nervous, digestive and immune systems, and on lungs, kidneys, skin and eyes (WHO, 2017).

Mercury occurs naturally in the earth's crust. It is released into the environment from volcanic activity, weathering of rocks and as a result of human activity. Human activity is the main cause of mercury releases, particularly coal-fired power stations, residential coal burning for heating and cooking, industrial processes, waste incinerators and as a result of mining for mercury, gold and other metals (*ibid.*).

Mercury is toxic to the central and peripheral nervous systems. The inhalation of mercury vapour can produce harmful effects on the nervous, digestive and immune systems, lungs and kidneys, and may be fatal. The inorganic salts of mercury are corrosive to the skin, eyes and gastrointestinal tract, and may induce kidney toxicity if ingested (WHO, 2017). Neurological and behavioural disorders may be observed after inhalation, ingestion or dermal exposure of different mercury compounds. Symptoms include tremors, insomnia, memory loss, neuromuscular effects, headaches and cognitive and motor dysfunction. Mild, subclinical signs of central nervous system toxicity can be seen in workers exposed to an elemental mercury level in the air of 20 µg/m³ or more for several years. Kidney effects have been reported, ranging from increased protein in the urine to kidney failure (*ibid.*).

14.8.1.6 *Lead (Pb)*

Lead is a naturally occurring substance in the Earth's crust, although the majority of lead found on the surface is due to anthropogenic causes such as mining, refining, petrol constituents, jewellery making, etc. (WHO, 2010). Lead enters the bloodstream of human beings via food and water consumption, and inhalation of, dust, paint odours and industrial smog.

Long-term exposure to lead can increase health risk vulnerability, especially in children and elderly people. Typically, anyone who is exposed to lead may experience symptoms such as persistent fatigue, insomnia, poor muscle coordination, irritability, nerve damage hearing and vision impairment (Wani et al., 2015).

11.8.2 Dust Fallout (TSP)

Dust-fall are particles with an aerodynamic diameter greater than 20 μ m that have been entrained into the air by a physical process such as wind, movement of vehicles, stack emissions and from fugitive dust. These particles are generally too heavy to remain in suspension in the air for any period of time and fall out of the air over a relatively short distance depending on a combination of various factors such as particle size, density, temperature (of the air and particle), emission velocity or method, ambient wind speed and humidity (Rayten, 2019). These particles are therefore commonly known as “dust-fall”. Particulates in this range are generally classified as a nuisance dust and can cause physical damage to property and physical irritation to plants, animals and humans.

11.8.3 Particulate Matter

Particulate matter (PM) comprises solid or liquid particles suspended in the air. These vary in size from particles that are only visible under an electron microscope to soot or smoke particles that are visible to the human eye. Ambient particulates limit visibility and pose health risks since small particles (PM₁₀) can penetrate deep into lungs, while even smaller particle sizes (PM_{2.5}) can enter the bloodstream via capillaries in the lungs. Morbidity effects associated with short-term exposure to particulates include increases in lower respiratory symptoms, medication use and small reductions in lung function. Long-term exposure to low concentrations (~10 μ g/m³) of particulates is associated with mortality and other chronic effects such as increased rates of bronchitis and reduced lung function (WHO, 2000). Those most at risk include the elderly, individuals with pre-existing heart or lung disease, asthmatics and children.

11.8.4 Diesel Particulate Matter

Diesel particulate emissions are of special concern, particularly the size fraction up to 2.5 microns, known as PM_{2.5}. This size of particle is able to be respired deep into the lungs. PM_{2.5} from all sources has been implicated in numerous diseases ranging from cardiopulmonary disease to cognitive decline to cancer.

The deleterious impact on human health is incontrovertible (WA DOE 2008, California Air Resources Board 1998). Diesel engines are of particular concern as sources of particulate matter, as they typically produce PM_{2.5} at a rate about twenty times greater than from gasoline (petrol) engines (WA DOE 2008, California Air Resources Board 1998).

11.8.4.1 Health Impacts of DPM: Cancer

Studies show an association between exposure to diesel exhaust and lung cancer (Bhatia, 1998), as well as cancers of the bladder and soft tissues (Guo et al., 2004). Several extensive and detailed reviews have been conducted on the body of literature relating long-term exposure to diesel exhaust particles and lung cancer (California EPA, 1998; USEPA, 2002; Cohen and Nikula, 1999). In addition, over forty studies conducted among those populations exposed to diesel exhaust have found increased rates of lung cancer associated with diesel exhaust particles exposure (as cited in Cohen and Nikula, 1999). Occupational studies conducted in railroad workers and truck drivers have consistently found increased lung cancer risk, even after adjusting for comorbidities such as smoking (Bofetta, 2001). The impact of DPM on cancer risk must be considered in the decision-making process for the proposed SEZ Project.

11.8.4.2 Health Impacts of DPM: Cardiac and Pulmonary

Although cancer risk is understandably of great concern to the public, cardiac and respiratory effects of diesel exposure have an even larger public health impact because they cause death and illness for a greater number of people. DPM can exacerbate asthma and emphysema, induce heart attacks and strokes, and has been associated with congenital heart abnormalities. According to a landmark study by Pope et al (2002), each 10 ug/m³ increase in DPM was associated with a 6% increase in cardiopulmonary mortality. In a follow-up to this study, Pope et al (2004) demonstrated that their previously observed increase in cardiopulmonary mortality was largely driven by increases in cardiovascular, as opposed to pulmonary mortality. In this follow-up study, a 10 ug/m³ increase in PM_{2.5} was associated with a 12% increase in mortality due to 'all cardiovascular disease plus diabetes' and an 18% increase in mortality due to 'ischemic heart disease'. Further epidemiological investigations have revealed that these estimates are likely largely underestimating the effect of PM_{2.5} due to inadequate exposure characterization. Published in the New England Journal of Medicine, Miller et al. (2007) utilized a novel exposure characterization method and reported from the Women's Health Study that a 10 ug/m³ increase in PM_{2.5} was associated with a 76% increase in death due to cardiovascular disease.

It is well understood that ambient air pollution and fine ambient particulate matter strongly contribute to disease burden and death, but it has been less clear as to how much an individual's living proximity to a major roadway or direct PM_{2.5} source influences health risks. An individual's exposure to PM_{2.5} is dependent on where he/she lives and works and that this strongly influences health outcomes. Van Hee et al. (2009) demonstrated that living close to a major roadway was a strongly associated with left ventricular hypertrophy, an important marker of cardiovascular disease and a strong predictor of heart failure and mortality. Additional work by this group has demonstrated an individual's exposure to PM_{2.5} impairs how well blood vessels dilate and how well the heart functions, providing a basis for our understanding of previously observed increases in mortality (Van Hee et al. 2011, Krishnan et al. 2012).

There are very specific physiological effects with DPM exposure. A recent study by Cosselman et al (2012) showed that diesel exhaust exposure, to healthy human volunteers, rapidly increases systolic blood pressure (SBP). In their study, SBP increased within 15 minutes of being exposed to dilute diesel exhaust and reached a maximum increase in SBP within one hour. Additional work utilising controlled diesel exhaust exposures to human volunteers has revealed that these acute exposures results in impairment in blood vessel function and alters blood coagulability¹⁷, both of which are extremely deleterious effects and increase the risk of acute cardiovascular events such as heart attack and stroke (Mills et al. 2005, 2007, and Törnqvist et al. 2007). Fitting with these findings, epidemiological investigations have consistently demonstrated that acute increases in PM_{2.5} result in an increased risk of heart attack (Peters et al. 2001).

In addition to cardiovascular risk, cerebrovascular effects and risk of stroke associated with PM_{2.5} exposure has been investigated. Research published in the Archives of Internal Medicine (2012) examines, for the first time, the risk of acute, short term exposures to PM_{2.5} as a key factor in triggering stroke, often within hours of exposure.

11.8.5 Heat Stress

Heat exposure has a great impact on workers' health and productivity in many industrial workplaces, especially steel industry where excessive heat exposure is a major occupational problem (Ahmed et al, 2018). The nature of some of the work tasks are laborious and demanding (especially plant maintenance and construction related tasks), coupled with a hot climate, which makes heat a potential hazard. Ambient heat exposure includes all occupations within the smelter, furnace areas and slag handling operations (prior to cooling and crushing) and molten metal handling. Heat sources are the furnaces, converters, and steel covered structure (hot months), as well as molten material in ladles during slag and metal handling, and molten metal at the casting area. Exposure to heat also occurs during cleaning tasks involving hot liquid spills, e.g. after tapping.

Common symptoms of heat stress include higher body temperature, sweating, excessive thirst, insomnia, fatigue and muscular discomfort. Stress from heat, humidity, welding fumes, metal dust and gas emissions increases strain may be reflected on the workers' physical and psychological state, negatively affecting their productivity and performance.

Workers exposed to heat (or even cold), as well as their supervisors, should be trained:

- to recognize symptoms which may lead to heat stress or hypothermia, in themselves or others, and the steps to be taken to prevent onset and/or emergencies;
- in the use of rescue and first-aid measures; and

¹⁷ Coagulation (also known as clotting) is the process by which blood changes from a liquid to a gel, forming a blood clot. It potentially results in haemostasis, the cessation of blood loss from a damaged vessel, followed by repair.

in action to be taken in the event of increased risks of accidents because of high or low temperatures

Workers should also be advised of the importance of physical fitness for work in hot or cold environments; and the importance of drinking sufficient quantities of suitable liquid and the dietary requirements providing intake of salt and potassium and other elements that are depleted due to sweating.

11.8.6 Noise

Increased noise levels are directly linked with the various activities associated with the construction of the proposed SEZ and related infrastructure, as well as the operational phase of the activity. The development of the SEZ could result in a number of different noise generating activities, from various equipment during different operating periods. Increased traffic volumes will cumulatively add to existing and future noises (Gudani, 2019).

While there is a risk of a noise impact, the impact can be mitigated and reduced, with the magnitude of the reduction depending on the options selected as well as how the operation is managed. The proposed SEZ Project may not introduce potential fatal flaws in terms of acoustics. With the selection of the required mitigation options, projected noise levels can be managed. If not mitigated, this will result in a noise impact of high significance during the operational phase. There is a potential noise impact of medium significance during the critical night-time operational phase. Measures are available and proposed that may assist in reducing noise levels and the probability of a noise impact occurring. The Noise Assessment Report advises that the development of industrial zones should not be developed/ established closer than 2,000m from existing communities/ farms/ homesteads.

11.8.7 Solid Waste (General and Hazardous)

Waste streams likely to be produced during the construction phase will include both general (non-hazardous) and hazardous wastes, and are expected to be similar in composition to the non-process wastes or co-products produced during the operational phase. The domestic waste stream will be comprised predominantly of non-hazardous waste types including paper, plastic, cloth and some food waste. In addition, relatively insignificant quantities of hazardous wastes may be included in this waste stream, including batteries, empty containers for cleaning chemicals, fluorescent light tubes, pesticide aerosol cans etc.

Waste storage drums that have industrial residues may adversely impact household water and food supplies, because these containers are often prized as inexpensive storage devices. The construction and rehabilitation activities will also result in the generation of hazardous wastes including chemicals associated with machine and vehicle maintenance, oily rags and filters, empty containers for hazardous chemicals (paints, solvents, lubricants, herbicides, pesticides / herbicides) and electrical and electronic equipment.

The uncontrolled storage of solid waste, in particular food waste, can attract vermin and pests including rodents, birds and flies. These vermin / pests may pose a nuisance to PACs closest to the site and may act as vectors for

disease. The uncontrolled storage of solid waste can result in the release of unpleasant odours which may be regarded as a nuisance to adjacent land-users, particularly that downwind of the material. Odorous compounds are also released from relatively well-managed solid waste disposal facilities. The presence of large quantities of litter around the facility or at the proposed landfill may constitute a visual impact to employees and local communities.

If hazardous wastes are generated as a result of operations, accidental spills or discovery of contaminated soils or ground water, a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) will be developed as appropriate and wastes removed according to the Waste Management Plan. The RAP will encompass the recommendations of the Contaminated Soils and Ground Water Plan.

11.8.8 Project Impact Mitigation

- The SEZ must implement an integrated waste management hierarchy of prevention, reduction, reuse, recycling, recovery, treatment, and as a last option, landfill disposal;
- Haulage roads are sprinkled with water at regular intervals for which water tankers with sprinkler arrangement are deployed;
- Trucks carrying coal and other raw material are covered with tarpaulin to prevent spreading of dust during transportation;
- Green belt and greenery development around storage yards, around plants, either side of roads and around the periphery of the industry/ SEZ;
- Dust respirators are provided for the people working dust generating locations;
- All internal roads in the premise are paved /tarred;
- Develop a dust management plan;
- Apply wetting agents, dust suppressant or binders on the exposed area;
- Vegetate, with grass or a gravel monolayer, the exposed areas;
- Reduce erosion loss by roughening slope surface - this dissipates energy of water or wind moving over the slope;
- Assess the angle of the slope, as maximum erosion occurs on slopes with angles between 30° and 35°;
- Improve upon the surface strength of a slope, which will lower the rate of erosion;
- Implement PM monitoring and continue with ongoing dust fallout monitoring;
- Collect data on a longitudinal basis from the local health centres on incidence of increased respiratory disease - especially respiratory tract infections that could be ascribed to dust. While these may not be specifically ascribed to the Project, the prevailing trends are useful to monitor so that any concerns could be addressed. This may require health systems strengthening to support recording; and
- Establish a monthly and annual reporting structure to appraise performance, compliance and complaints.

- A comprehensive, continuous air quality monitoring programme must be undertaken to ensure that mitigation measures are applied at all times to keep ambient air concentrations of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} within the NAAQS over residential areas;
- Machines and vehicles to be serviced to the designed requirements of the machinery/vehicles to ensure noise suppression mechanisms are effective;
- Environmental noise monitoring;
- Develop and implement a Storm Water Management Plan.

11.8.9 Impact Evaluation

The table below considers the scale of the specific predicted impacts related to EHA #8 by presenting the impact evaluation ratings for the three Project phases (overall potentially hazardous materials, noise and malodours).

Table 11-7: Impact Evaluation –EHA #8

EHA #8: Exposure to Potentially Hazardous Materials, Noise and Malodours					
	Effect			Risk or Likelihood	Overall Significance
	Temporal Scale	Spatial Scale	Severity of Impact		
Construction Phase					
Without Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	May occur (2)	MODERATE - (10)
With Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Area (2)	Slight (1)	Probable (3)	MODERATE - (8)
Operational Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Severe (4)	Definite (4)	HIGH - (14)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE - (9)
Closure and Decommissioning Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	Probable (3)	MODERATE - (10)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE - (9)

11.8.10 Emergency Preparedness and Response

In addition to the emergency preparedness and response requirements which will be established for the proposed SEZ Project site, The Applicant is advised to assist and collaborate with the PACs – especially local government agencies, and other relevant parties, in their preparations to respond effectively to emergency situations, especially when their participation and collaboration are necessary to respond to such emergency situations. If local government agencies have little or no capacity to respond effectively, the client may have to play an active role in preparing for and responding to emergencies associated with the proposed SEZ Project. The Applicant must document its emergency preparedness and response activities, resources, and responsibilities, and will disclose appropriate information to PACs, relevant government agencies, or other relevant parties.

11.9. EHA #9: Social Determinants of Health

Impacts under this EHA can be summarised as:

- Change in morbidity and mortality data related to psychosocial distress such as depression, anxiety, substance abuse, and changes to family structure;
- Change in median household income;
- Change in unemployment;
- Change in the percentage of households living below poverty line;
- Change in educational attainment;
- Increase in xenophobia, violence, crime, prevalence of substance abuse and gender violence resulting from an influx of individuals without appropriate social infrastructure;
- With the expected population growth and influx of job seekers, who may bring their families along, household size may increase resulting in overcrowding;
- Construction workers and an influx of national and international people in search of economic opportunities are expected to put enormous pressure on the South African Police Services and immigration control;
- Increase in psychosocial problems, including depression as a result of retrenchment at decommissioning phase;
- A positive impact on poverty status for a number of people employed at the SEZ Project site; and
- Influx of people and increased income may result in illegal substances being available more freely.

11.9.1 Influx Management

When the proposed SEZ Project triggers significant migration (labourers, extended families, service providers, and so on) to the proposed SEZ Project area, it can pose potential significant impacts to surrounding communities. These impacts may occur, to varying degrees, across all phases of the proposed SEZ Project (preconstruction, construction, operations, and decommissioning). A strong interaction and mixing among local workers, imported specialty workers, and expatriates can facilitate the spread of respiratory disease, including the production of explosive epidemics that can pass back and forth between the proposed SEZ Project and the community. In addition, food-borne epidemics are significant and can spread back and forth between the proposed SEZ Project worksite and the community via food handlers or petty traders.

The proposed SEZ Project faces the risk of unforced or voluntary migration, where it is assumed that migrants would be acting out of a rational self-interest as the motivating factor for moving. Often, if people are leaving behind adverse home conditions, they are migrating because of perceived opportunity rather than any specific guarantee of a job, particularly if a member of their extended family is already resident in the area (IFC, 2009). Migration is

expected to yield positive benefits for the individual migrant (and his/her household), whether through remittance of incomes or settlement in the new location. In some circumstances, a significant migrant population may exist prior to project arrival, including artisanal and small-scale miners (IFC, 2009).

In-migration may have a wide range of negative impacts on the communities within close vicinity to the proposed SEZ Project site of influence. These negative impacts include including negative impacts on the environment; public infrastructure, services and utilities; the local and regional economy; livelihood strategies; public health; the social and cultural environment, and; legacy issues. These community-level impacts may directly and indirectly affect the proposed SEZ Project.

From a community health perspective, migrant workers introduce infectious diseases and social problems. These may be mitigated through pre-employment health checks and treatment. Implement HIV and TB control program.

The pattern of labour-based and economic in-migration typically follows project demand for labour (IFC, 2009). In the case of the proposed SEZ Project, the construction phase has the highest workforce requirements. As the proposed SEZ Project moves from construction to operational phase, and requires a smaller and more stable workforce, recently arrived migrants may move on as employment opportunities decrease and the disposable income of the local population declines.

Furthermore, the expected influx of people and increased income may result in illegal substances being available more freely. It is difficult to speculate whether the prevalence of tobacco smoking and or substance abuse may increase due to the presence of the proposed SEZ Project. However, it is likely that it will increase as there will be an increase in the number of young people with more than adequate incomes, who will be in a position to afford these commodities. The Applicant may be in a position to conduct substance-abuse prevention education programs in the workplace and within the already affected (by alcohol) communities.

In-migration can generate a range of positive environmental, social, and health impacts, including:

- Increased links to mainstream economy;
- Increased local skills-base;
- Business-development opportunities;
- Employment-creation;
- Increased local labour pool;
- Opening of new markets for local products and services;
- Increased accessibility and availability of goods and services;
- Alternative livelihood opportunities;
- Improved local wage- and income-levels (including opportunities for local sourcing and higher prices obtainable for local products);

- Increased individual, household, and community-empowerment stemming from increased income and wealth;
- Improved local training and skills-development opportunities;
- Monetisation of remote rural economies, improving purchasing-power and increasing trade;
- Opportunities to build community organizational structures;
- Improved information and communication between the Municipality and the communities/ farmers;
- Improved access to and expansion of infrastructure, public services and utilities (health, education, waste management, electricity, water supplies, telecommunications); and
- Increased attention and input by government authorities, NGOs, etc.

The Applicant could partner with government and NGO-services to prevent social problems; and ensure pro-social leisure opportunities are readily available e.g. volunteer programs, invest in community events and festivals to promote cultural exchange, celebration of diversity etc.

11.9.2 Change in Number of Households Living Below the Poverty Line

Living below the poverty line is also an important determinant of health status. Poverty can be associated with decreased access to health care and increased disparities in health outcomes. In general, the SEZ would produce a positive impact on poverty status for a number of people employed at the Project site. Like other social determinants of health, the overall health effects of this small change in unemployment would confer some health benefits. Positive social impacts include the increase in job opportunities and access to services.

11.9.3 Education Levels and Unemployment

The level of educational attainment in a household can influence community health. Economic status may create a powerful context for human health and improved income is generally thought to be associated with improved community health outcomes. While there are many indicators used to assess economic status, the HIA reports median household income, employment, and the percentage of households living below poverty levels.

Local communities can potentially take maximum advantage of employment opportunities to be created by the proposed SEZ Project. However, it may not be possible to employ and train individuals from the local population in time for construction or operation, due to the level of skills required not being available locally. The Applicant may be forced to make use of skilled migrant workers, as training required may be too complex and time-consuming. A situation like this may pose a risk of xenophobia as the local population may feel threatened.

11.9.4 Creation of Employment

A third of the working age population in the Musina Local Municipality are unemployed. The development of the Musina-Makhado SEZ southern Site will improve this situation and positively impact the community (Delta, 2019).

This will improve the socio-economic well-being of the benefitting population, albeit for a temporary period. The demand for supporting services and other goods and services to be created as a result of multiplier effects will also lead to the creation of additional jobs, increasing the positive effect on employment in the region.

11.9.5 Change in Educational Attainment

Higher levels of educational attainment are associated with positive health outcomes such as longer lifespans and decreased risk for cardiovascular disease, cancer, and lung disease. In general, the proposed SEZ Project is expected to exert a positive change to this health impact. Economic influx into the area will likely draw families that can support local schools.

11.9.6 Gender-based Violence, Alcohol and Drugs

Gender-based violence occurs commonly and is often related to substance abuse. Substance abuse influences many health outcomes such as accidents and injuries. Substance abuse includes illegal drugs, alcohol addiction, and binge drinking. Women and young girls are often the most vulnerable. Drug and alcohol abuse is not a major problem in Mopane and Mudimeli, though and these have the potential to increase during the lifespan of the proposed SEZ Project.

Influx of people and increased income may result in illegal substances being available more freely. It is difficult to speculate whether the prevalence of tobacco smoking and or substance abuse will increase due to the presence of the proposed SEZ Project. However, it is likely that it will increase as there will be an increase in the number of young people with decent incomes, who will be able to afford these.

11.9.7 Social Cohesion and Well-being

There are a number of social challenges in the area. These are associated with culture, poverty, lifestyle practices, lack of opportunity and influence from past conflicts. However, perceptions of well-being are not likely to be as developed as those in the developed world in certain communities. Lifestyle is expected to be significantly affected. Subsistence farming and land tenure which are vital parts of the livelihood structure and an integral part of the culture may no longer remain so.

Alcohol-use was found to be common in the area with reports of drug abuse (especially marijuana) also reported. These lifestyle practices are often the root cause of social challenges.

It is not the intention of the HIA to address social issues in detail as this will be covered in more detail in the SIA. However, it is important to recognise the well-being and perceptions on quality of life have both a social and health basis. The proposed SEZ Project has the potential to maintain and create health impacts and inequalities that exist at the local level if these are not recognized. While these challenges were present at baseline and are generally

the responsibility of the host government and local authorities, a number of factors related to the proposed SEZ Project 's development should be considered.

11.9.7.1 Expectations from the proposed SEZ Project

The expectations of the proposed SEZ Project both from the local authorities and the community are high. Furthermore, the expectation for the proposed SEZ Project to support a range of social and development initiatives is significant.

11.9.7.2 Influx

This has the potential to alter the social fabric in the area and erode traditional values with a mixture of different cultures. Competing for limited resources may also have an impact on general health and well-being.

11.9.8 Project Impact Mitigation

- Social management plans and recommendations as part of the SIA;
- Reduce substance-abuse and improve social cohesion by:
 - Conducting substance-abuse prevention education programs in the schools within the communities;
 - Providing recreational facilities for workers without families;
 - Contributing to the establishment of appropriate community recreation facilities- considering needs and assets of the community;
 - Collaborating with the relevant authorities to establish a system to monitor violence and community cohesion related to Project activities – provide technical skills;
 - Participating in violence-prevention education programs, particularly focusing on gender violence and tribalism.
- Supporting education programs with a gender equity focus;
- Identify and support vulnerable groups; and
- Support graduate training programs for the youth in the community.

11.9.9 Impact Evaluation

The table below considers the scale of the specific predicted impacts related to EHA #9 by presenting the impact evaluation ratings for the three project phases.

Table 11-8: Impact Evaluation –EHA #9

EHA #10: Cultural Health Practices					
	Effect			Risk or	Overall
	Temporal Scale	Spatial Scale	Severity of Impact	Likelihood	Significance
Construction Phase					
Without Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (8)
With Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Area (2)	Slight (1)	Probable (3)	LOW (7)
Operational Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	Probable (3)	MODERATE- (10)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Moderately Beneficial (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE – (9)
Closure and Decommissioning Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (10)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE – (9)

11.10. EHA #11: Health Systems Issues

11.10.1 Health systems strengthening

There are several Healthcare facilities within the affected Local Municipalities. However, the capacity of these facilities remains a challenge. In terms of proposed Project impacts, influx may create increased demand for what is already a scarce resource. This has the potential to create tension.

Health information management is generally good in the health facilities that surround the proposed SEZ Project. This data is limited by the fact that diagnostics and human resource capacity is basic. However, it serves as the best form of health surveillance for the monitoring of health impacts if supported and managed well. Strategic investment in local health facilities can support this.

The proposed SEZ Project has the potential to impact on the national/local health service infrastructure and delivery mechanisms in the following ways:

11.10.2 In-migration

Additional in-migration into the PACs and ultimately, towns like Meyerton, Vereeniging and perhaps even Sasolburg are likely to significantly exceed the capacity of what are already limited facilities. There is minimal institutional capacity to support this potential growth either from a planning, budget or a delivery perspective. In-migration will be addressed in more detail in the SIA.

11.10.2.1 Health service delivery capacity and expectations on the proposed SEZ Project

There is minimal institutional capacity to support the local health service delivery. The local health authorities may have to look to private partners or donors for assistance where possible, and as the proposed SEZ Project is expected to be quite visible in the area, may look to it to plan, finance and even deliver health service on their behalf. Therefore, it is crucial that any interventions must be done in partnership with the local and national authorities so as not to be seen as a pure aid support package. The proposed SEZ Project must not assume the role of the government but deliver on its mandate to improve the quality of lives in the area.

For this reason, the proposed SEZ Project should see health as a key sector for strategic social investment and if this is conducted correctly, based on sustainability principles and in partnership with the local authorities it has the potential to enhance health systems and programmes and ultimately delivery in the area.

11.10.2.2 Health service inequalities

There is a potential for inequalities between different communities as a result of Project supported health initiatives. It is logical that the proposed SEZ Project supports health services in the more impacted communities, but this has the potential to cause inequality in populations or communities who do not benefit.

The present and likely future medical service is likely to be the best equipped and staffed primary health care centre in the area for the foreseeable future.

11.10.3 Project Impact Mitigation

The Project impact mitigation for this EHA is listed below:

- The Project Proponent must develop a plan to support health infrastructure in Project area. This strategic investment should consider the existing health needs of the community and be designed in such a way to evolve with the likely future health needs. Even minimal support with the local health infrastructure will result in significant positive impacts;
- Support community volunteer programs through expansion of the community- based peer health educator group;
- Support the health information management system at the local health facilities as a means to support the monitoring of specific health impacts. This will provide a longitudinal tool to track specific health conditions and through the partnership provide access to information. The Project may set up a basic monitoring tool with support of the local health facilities;
- Develop a memorandum of understanding (MoU) with the government for the mutual support of the health facilities in the Project area. The Project must not become the de-facto government as this will create an

unsustainable situation. It is recommended that the Project support upgrading of facilities to a level that supports the needs of the community and farmers and support the planned mitigation and enhancement activities.

11.10.4 Occupational Health and Safety

- Ensure that the Project health services can adequately cater for the needs of the workforce.

11.10.5 Impact Evaluation

The table below considers the scale of the specific predicted impacts related to EHA #11 by presenting the impact evaluation ratings for the three Project phases.

Table 11-9: Impact Evaluation –EHA #11

EHA #11: Health Systems Issues					
	Effect			Risk or Likelihood	Overall Significance
	Temporal Scale	Spatial Scale	Severity of Impact		
Construction Phase					
Without Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Area (2)	Severe (4)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (12)
With Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Area (2)	Slight (1)	May Occur (2)	LOW (6)
Operational Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Severe (4)	Probable (3)	MODERATE- (12)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE – (9)
Closure and Decommissioning Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	Probable (3)	MODERATE – (10)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE – (9)

11.11. EHA #12: Non-Communicable Diseases

The proposed SEZ Project may bring changes that affect people’s lifestyles (e.g. diet, level of physical activity, smoking, alcohol and drug consumption) that increase or decrease their risk of chronic illnesses such as heart disease, diabetes, and cancer and can also affect the mental health status of the local population. This can be through increased incomes as well as the increased availability of tobacco, alcohol and narcotic drugs. Alongside infectious diseases, cardiovascular diseases (heart disease and strokes) are one of the leading causes of death, ill health and disability worldwide. Even small changes in these chronic disease risk factors can have significant long-term effects on local community health and well-being.

The potential increase in chronic disease risk factors may be at least partially minimized through support for NGOs and local health and social care services in delivering health promotion that emphasises moderation and the long-

term adverse effects of substance abuse; and working with the local police service to regulate black market economies and what may be legally sold to workers.

The proposed SEZ Project will in all likelihood enhance the socio-economic conditions in the area, either through direct or cumulative benefits. As the proposed SEZ Project starts to improve health programs in the area through direct or indirect means, it is hoped that it will also contribute to increasing life expectancy in the area. The short-term effects may be an increased spending-ability and adoption of more western sedentary lifestyle and diet. With prosperity and an organised settlement may come a degree of urbanism with associated changes in values and behaviour, which predisposes the community to an increase in lifestyle-related diseases such as obesity, hypertension, diabetes, dental caries and some forms of cancers. This may place an additional burden on the local health care facilities that may not have an ability and capacity to diagnose and appropriately manage these conditions.

The proposed SEZ Project will employ a number of permanent and temporary workers. Diet and lifestyle will need to be monitored¹⁸ in this sector as they will have access to increased incomes and potentially free meals on the proposed SEZ Project site. The following potential impacts from NCDs on the workforce will need to be considered:

- High costs associated with absenteeism due to ill health;
- Loss of trained or skilled people from the workforce as a result of disease. This will result in higher operational costs due to the need to retrain or recruit replacement staff; and
- Impact on the family unit with potential social and behavioural impacts.

In terms of the significance of the proposed SEZ Project on surrounding communities, the following potential risk factors may have to be considered:

- Reduction in traditional lifestyle and values;
- Social and environmental factors that increase stress and unhealthy behaviours; and
- Increased pressure on existing health care facilities that only practice limited preventive health care.

These conditions are chronic in nature and difficult to predict at the local level. The cumulative impacts of the economic upliftment at the local-, county- and country-level will need to be considered and as such the impacts cannot solely be ascribed to the proposed SEZ Project. Mitigation and management at the local level is however important.

¹⁸ Diet and lifestyle monitoring can take place through education on nutrition and encouragement of 'self-monitoring'. Self-monitoring is a useful way to keep yourself on track with healthy eating and exercise habits. The goal is to help you become more aware of the behaviours that are holding you back from better health. Some common ways to self-monitor include keeping food diaries; exercise logs and regular self-weighing.

11.11.1 Mental Health and Well-being

Many of the potential health impacts considered above have been environmentally related (particulates, dust, light pollution etc.). However, it must be recognised that all of these impacts have direct or indirect effects on the mental health and well-being of those they affect. Bio physical impacts aside, actual or perceived exposure to environmental risks can lead to a significant increase in anxiety and stress amongst affected populations.

Many illnesses are related to stress (Brunner 1997) and correlations have been found between living in underprivileged areas and vulnerability to psychological or minor psychiatric problems (Harrison 1998). Frequent and prolonged activation of the 'fight or flight' response (such as that which may be produced by stress associated by proposed industrial developments) has been found to be maladaptive and may prove to be central in understanding the social distribution of cardiovascular and other diseases and recent stressful life events have also been shown to increase to and severity of respiratory infections (Brunner 1997) and correlations have been found between SES and frequency of environmental exposures to social or non-social hazards (Haan et al 1987, Kreiger 1997).

Social stress has also been found to have an effect on cell mediated immune function (Cohen et al 1992) and psychological distress as a factor in coronary heart disease as an increase in CHD cannot be explained solely by health behaviours, social isolation or work characteristics (Stansfield et al 2002). It has also been reported that disadvantaged areas make higher demands on primary care services and that many of the additional contacts related to psychological problems (Carlisle 1998). Stress can be caused by many factors, including the psychosocial environment, and contribute to health inequalities between groups by raising levels of cortisol in the body and thus contributing to high blood pressure. There is limited and incomplete evidence but biological plausibility for the view that psychosocial factors may be important determinants of population health.

11.11.2 Project Impact Mitigation

The proposed SEZ Project impact mitigation for this EHA is listed below:

- Support health education programs as part of a community-based peer health educator program. These should focus on lifestyle risk factors such as diet, exercise, smoking and alcohol consumption.
- Support the local healthcare personnel with training on disease-management programs and the recognition of NCD symptoms and associated management. This should include integrated management to include proper management strategies for hypertension and high cholesterol; and
- Support with diagnostic medical hardware, where feasible.

11.11.3 Occupational Health and Safety

- Screen for NCDs as part of the medical surveillance activities in the workforce. This is an important fitness-for-work requirement and should be incorporated into the occupational health programme as an essential health promotion intervention. Surveillance of weight or BMI as a predictor can be used and screening for hypertension, cholesterol and diabetes should be routine in high risk groups; and
- Initiate wellness programmes in the workplace for the prevention of chronic diseases through management of modifiable risk factors. These should include behaviour-change strategies aimed at diet, exercise, smoking and alcohol-consumption strategies. These will have a positive community-health benefit if these programmes can be extended to family units. It will be important to collaborate with the catering service provider in the different work areas. (introduce healthier menus etc.).

11.11.4 Impact Evaluation

The table below considers the scale of the specific predicted impacts related to EHA #12 by presenting the impact evaluation ratings for the three project phases.

Table 11-10: Impact Evaluation –EHA #12

EHA #12: Non-communicable diseases					
	Effect			Risk or	Overall
	Temporal Scale	Spatial Scale	Severity of Impact	Likelihood	
Construction Phase					
Without Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	May occur (2)	MODERATE - (10)
With Mitigation	Short Term (1)	Study Area (2)	Slight (1)	Probable (3)	MODERATE - (8)
Operational Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Severe (4)	Definite (4)	HIGH - (14)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE - (9)
Closure and Decommissioning Phase					
Without Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Area (2)	Moderate (2)	Probable (3)	MODERATE - (10)
With Mitigation	Long Term (3)	Study Level (2)	Moderate (2)	May Occur (2)	MODERATE - (9)

11.12. Cumulative Impacts¹⁹

Cumulative impacts are contextual and encompass a broad spectrum of impacts at different spatial and temporal scales (IFC, 2013) i.e. cumulative impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant activities taking place over a period of time (Dutta, *et al.*, 2012). These are not new types of impacts but recognition that impacts from individual projects and activities can combine together in time and space. In some cases, cumulative

¹⁹ The impacts which combine from different projects and which result in significance change, which is larger than the sum of all the impacts.

impacts occur because a series of projects of the same type are being developed. In other cases, cumulative impacts occur from the combined effects over a given resource of a mix of different types of projects; for example, the development of heavy industries, a mine site, access roads, transmission lines, and other adjacent land uses.

The following cumulative impacts are expected:

- The cumulative impacts associated with the influx of job seekers include the long-term impacts on family structures and social networks of communities;
- An influx of workers (direct) and job-seekers (indirect) may lead to increased pressure on infrastructure and services and an increase in social pathologies. The SEZ should make every effort to discourage influx by communicating early and widely that local residents will be given preference for employment. The Applicant must ensure that it collaborates with the relevant local authorities to identify and actively participate in initiatives/ projects to improve capacity where required. While the potential impacts linked to influx can have negative consequences, this is a common and anticipated phenomenon that cannot be a reason for preventing further development;
- An increase in direct project nuisance factors; namely, noise, air pollution, traffic and visual disturbances could further impact negatively on the sense of place for some receptors. Implementation of suitable mitigation measures has been proposed by the relevant specialist to reduce and manage these nuisance factors;
- The proposed mines (e.g. Chapudi) and industries may contribute to the pollutant load on surface water systems. These changes may be substantial, affecting the regional water quality, though some mitigation is possible with practicable management systems. Changes in surface water quality impacts on the health various surface water users –drinking and recreational users. The development of the proposed Musina-Makhado SEZ Project may place pressures on existing sanitation and water supply systems because of the anticipated increase in population in the area;
- Ground water extraction at nearby proposed mines and industries may affect groundwater availability in the area. The change may be substantial, extend regionally, affect many people, and may be cumulative in nature causing an overall shortage of drinking water as majority of the healthcare facilities and settlements depend on borehole water;
- With regards to noise and vibration, some of Mopane and farms/ settlements and the surrounding settlements s will be exposed to noise from the operations of various machines and trucks on the road.
- Changes in income level; education; health care; change in existing cultural pattern; alteration of location or distribution of human population in the area; change in housing; and
- Potential health hazards; risk of accidents from explosion, release of oil, radioactive materials, toxic substances etc.

12. Conclusion and Recommendations

In conclusion, The Applicant needs to consider the existing health needs of the PACs they will be operating within as these existing health needs are present regardless of the proposed SEZ Project and represent the current health status of the community. The proposed SEZ Project will also need to consider the future health impacts that it (the proposed SEZ Project) may exert on these PACs.

This HIA has outlined the significant changes on the health status of the local communities that may be instigated by the proposed SEZ Project. An attempt has been made to give a comprehensive outlook of the baseline health status of the proposed SEZ Project site (where possible) and also to understand and prioritise future Project health impacts, based on the available evidence. Mitigation and management measures have been recommended and it is advised that these measures are incorporated into the overall environmental and social management plan for the proposed SEZ Project.

An impact assessment has been undertaken, which has employed both qualitative and quantitative research methods and incorporated consultation with and participation of PACs and key informants. It is the author's opinion that due process has been followed. Where impacts have been found to be potentially significant, various mitigation measures to manage and monitor the impacts of the proposed SEZ Project have been proposed.

Adequate mitigation measures are expected to reduce the significance of almost all negative impacts although not always to acceptable levels, while positive health effects can be created through the implementation of associated enhancement measures. The recommended mitigation measures must be implemented to manage the impacts and ensuring compliance with current legislative requirements. It is also recommended that The Applicant inaugurates relationships with other institutions (e.g. government or NGOs) involved in local and regional healthcare development and social upliftment so as to maximise the benefits of its contribution to the overall health status of the community.

The proposed way forward for this study/ Project is:

- The development of a Health Management Plan;
- The development and implementation of mitigation measures (which may include infrastructure upgrades); and
- The initiation of a harmonized surveillance and response system.

It is recommended that the Project is allowed to proceed on the assumption that the environmental, social and health management commitments are adhered to.

13. Legal Requirements: Specialist Checklist

In terms of the NEMA 2014 EIA Regulations contained in GN R982 of 04 December 2014 all specialist studies must comply with Appendix 6 of the NEMA 2014 EIA Regulations (GN R982 of 04 December 2014). The table below show the requirements as indicated above.

Table 13-1: Specialist Checklist

EIA REGULATIONS 2017 GNR 327, 325 and 324 Appendix 6 CONTENT OF THE SPECIALIST REPORTS	Completed according to the EIA Regulations	Cross-reference in this scoping report
(a) details of— the specialist who prepared the report; and the expertise of that specialist to compile a specialist report including a curriculum vitae;	✓	Section 2
(b) a declaration that the specialist is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority;	✓	Page iii
(c) an indication of the scope of, and the purpose for which, the report was prepared	✓	Section 1.3
<u>(CA) an indication of the quality and age of Base Data used for the specialist report</u>	✓	Section 5.9
<u>(CB) a description of existing impacts on the site, cumulative impacts of the proposed development and the levels of acceptable change</u>	✓	Section 11.12
(d) the date and season of the site investigation and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment;	✓	Section 5.10.3
(e) a description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialised process <u>inclusive of equipment and modelling used</u> ;	✓	Section 4.8
(f) <u>Details of an assessment of the specific identified sensitivity of the site related to the proposed activity or activities and its associated structures and infrastructure, inclusive of a site plan identifying site alternatives.</u>	✓	Section 11
(g) an identification of any areas to be avoided, including buffers;	✓	None
(h) a map superimposing the activity including the associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the site including areas to be avoided, including buffers	✓	Appendix A
(i) a description of any assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge;	✓	Section 5.13
(j) a description of the findings and potential implications of such findings on the impact of the proposed activity <u>or activities</u>	✓	Section 11
(k) any mitigation measures for inclusion in the EMPr	✓	Section 11
(l) any conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation;	✓	None
(m) any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr or environmental authorisation;	✓	Section 11
(n) a reasoned opinion—	✓	Section 11.11.4



EIA REGULATIONS 2017 GNR 327, 325 and 324 Appendix 6 CONTENT OF THE SPECIALIST REPORTS	Completed according to the EIA Regulations	Cross-reference in this scoping report
i. whether the proposed activity, <u>activities</u> or portions thereof should be authorised; and (iA) regarding the acceptability of the proposed activity or activities; and ii. if the opinion is that the proposed activity, <u>activities</u> or portions thereof should be authorised, any avoidance, management and mitigation measures that should be included in the EMP, and where applicable, the closure plan;		
(o) a summary and copies of any comments received during any consultation process and where applicable all responses thereto; and	✓	See EIA comments and response report
(p) any other information requested by the competent authority	✓	None requested



14. References

- Bhatia R, Lopipero P, Smith AH. 1998. Diesel exhaust exposure and lung cancer. *Epidemiology* 9(1): 84-91.
- Birley A. et al, The Merseyside Guidelines for Health Impact Assessment, pub International Health Impact Assessment Consortium, UK (ed. 2), (2001).
- Birley M. H., Guidelines for Forecasting the Vector-Borne Disease Implications of Water Resources Development, PEEM Guideline series #2 (ed. 2), (1991).
- Blaikie P, Cannon T, Davis I and Wisner B. 1994. *At risk: natural hazards, people's vulnerability and disasters*, Routledge. London.
- Boffetta P, Dosemeci M, Gridley G, Bath H, Moradi T, Silverman D. 2001. Occupational exposure to diesel engine emission and risk of cancer in Swedish men and women. *Cancer Causes Control* 12(4): 365-374.
- Bradshaw, D., Groenewald, P., Laubscher, R., Nannan, N., Nojilana, B., Norman, R., Pieterse, D., Schneider, M. 2003. "Initial estimates from the south African national burden of disease study, 2000." MRC Policy Brief, No.1. March. Obtained 29 July, 2004 from <<http://www.mrc.ac.za/policybriefs/initialestimates.pdf>>.
- Bradshaw, D., Nannan, N., Laubscher, R., Groenewald, P., Joubert, J., Nojilana, B., Pieterse, D. & Schneider, M. 2004. South African National Burden of Disease Study 2000: Estimates of provincial mortality.
- Brandt, SJ et al. 2012. Costs of childhood asthma due to traffic-related pollution in two California communities. *Eur Respir J* 40:363-370.
- Brook, R.D. and S. Rajagopalan. 2012. Can what you breathe trigger a stroke within hours? *Arch Intern Med* 172(3): 235-236.
- Brook, RD et al. 2010. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: an update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 121:2331-2378.
- Calcium Carbide, Bernhard Langhammer, Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, Wiley Interscience. (Subscription required)
- California Air Resources Board. Findings of the Scientific Review Panel on the Report on Diesel Exhaust (as adopted at the Panel's April 22, 1998 meeting) <http://www.arb.ca.gov/toxics/dieseltac/de-fnds.htm>
- California Environmental Protection Agency. Part B: Health Risk Assessment for Diesel Exhaust. For the Proposed Identification of Diesel Exhaust as a Toxic Air Contaminant. California Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Air Toxicology and Epidemiology Section, Oakland. May 1998



Campbell, C. 2004. "Migrancy, masculine identities, and AIDS: The psychosocial context of HIV transmission on the South African gold mines." In Kalipeni, et.al. (eds.) 2004. HIV And AIDS in Africa: Beyond Epidemiology. Blackwell Publishing. Malden.

CEPA/FPAC Working Group (1998). National Ambient Air Quality Objectives for Particulate Matter. Part 1: Science Assessment Document, A Report by the Canadian Environmental Protection Agency (CEPA) Federal-Provincial Advisory Committee (FPAC) on Air Quality Objectives and Guidelines.

China Chemical Reporter (I) The Output of China Petroleum and Chemical Products. January 2005-July 2006 reports. Retrieved via HighBeam Research, www.highbeam.com 2019-10-01.

China Chemical Reporter (II) Accelerate readjustment to the calcium carbide sector, 2006-05-26. Retrieved via HighBeam Research, www.highbeam.com 2019-10-01.

China Chemical Reporter (III) Unduly rapid capacity expansion of calcium carbide, 2005-05-26. Retrieved via HighBeam Research, www.highbeam.com 2019-10-01.

China Chemical Reporter (IV) Calcium Carbide, Continuous Perfect Harmony, 2003-05-12 Retrieved via HighBeam Research, www.highbeam.com 2019-10-01.

Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR et al. (December 2003). "Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure". Hypertension 42 (6): 1206–52.

Cohen AJ and Nikula K. 1999. The Health Effects of Diesel Exhaust: Laboratory and Epidemiologic Studies. Chap 32 in Air Pollution and Health. Ed. ST Holgate, JM Samet, HS Koren, and RL Maynard. Academic Press, London.

Cosselman K, Kaufman JA. 2012. Blood Pressure Response to Controlled Diesel Exhaust Exposure in Humans. Hypertension. March 19 2012.

D.R Cox and V.D Hinkley, 1974 Theoretical Statistics. London: Chapman and Hall, 1974.

Day, C., Barron, P., Massyn, N., Padarath, A. & English, R. 2012. District Health Barometer 2010/11. Durban: Health Systems Trust.

Day, C., Monticelli, F., Barron, P., Haynes, R., Smith, J., Sello, E. & Editors. 2010. The District Health Barometer 2008/09.

DEAT. 2005 Government Gazette, National Environmental Management Air Quality Act, 2004. No. 28899.

Dockery, D. et al. 1993. An association between air pollution and mortality in six U.S. cities. New Engl J Med 329(24): 1753-1759.



Dockery, D.W., Pope, C.A., Xu, X., Spengler, J.D., Ware, J.H., Fay, M.E. Ferris, B.G., Speizer, F.E., (1993). An Association between Air Pollution and Mortality in Six U.S. Cities *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 329, 1753-1759.

Donnan GA, Fisher M, Macleod M, Davis SM (May 2008). "Stroke". *Lancet* 371 (9624): 1612–23.

Elinder, C.G. and Piscator, M. (1979). Iron. (In: Friberg, L., et al. *Handbook on the Toxicology of Metals*. Amsterdam : Elsevier/North-Holland Biomedical Press, p.435-539).

Feachem, R.G., Graham W.J., Timaeus I.M. 1989. "Identifying health problems and health research priorities in developing countries." In David R. Phillips (ed) *Health and Health Care in the Third World*, 1990. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.

Freeman, Horace (1919). "Manufacture of Cyanamide". *The Chemical News and the Journal of Physical Science*. 117: 232.

Gauderman, W. et al. 2004. The effect of air pollution on lung development from 10 to 18 years of age. *New Engl J Med* 351(11): 1057-1067 Gaudermann, W.J. et al. 2005. Childhood asthma and exposure to traffic and nitrogen dioxide. *Epidemiology* 16(6): 1-

Gauderman, W.J. et al. 2007. Effect of exposure to traffic on lung development from 10 to 18 years of age: a cohort study. *The Lancet* 369:571-.

Gaudermann, W.J. et al. 2002. Association between air pollution and lung function growth in Southern California children. *Am J. Respir Care Med* 166:76-84.

Ghio, A. J et al. 2000. Concentrated ambient air particles induce mild pulmonary inflammation in healthy human volunteers. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 162: 981-2000.

Greenwood, Norman N.; Earnshaw, Alan (1997). *Chemistry of the Elements* (2nd ed.). Butterworth-Heinemann. p. 298. ISBN 978-0-08-037941-8.

Guo J, Kauppinen T, Kyyronen P, Heikkila P, Lindblohm ML, Pukkala E. 2004. Risk of esophageal, ovarian, testicular, kidney and bladder cancers and leukemia among Finnish workers exposed to diesel or gasoline exhaust. *Int J Cancer* 111(2): 286-292.

H. Dehghan, (2012). The evaluation of heat stress through monitoring environmental factors and physiological responses in melting and casting industries workers *Int. J. Environ. Health Eng.*

Harriman, S., Outlook for the International PVC Market, Harriman Chemsult 2005. Retrieved from www.institutodopvc.org/congresso/downloads/PAINEL%20I%20-%20STEPHEN%20HARRIMAN.ppt 2019-09 25.

Harris P., Haigh F. Including health in Environmental Impact Assessments: Is an institutional approach useful for practice? *Impact Assess. Proj. Apprais.* 2015 doi: 10.1080/14615517.2015.1006417.

Health Canada. (1998). National Ambient, Air Quality Objectives for Particulate Matter. Report by the CEPA/FPAC Working Group on Air Quality Objectives and Guidelines.

Health Canada. (1999). Priority Substances List. Assessment Report – Respirable Particulate Matter less than or equal to 10 Microns. Draft Report, 1999.

Holmér, I., C. Gao, T. Kjellström, (2011). Workplace heat stress, health and productivity-an increasing challenge for occupational safety and health. in The fourth International Conference on Human-Environment System (ICHES).

Hong, Y-C. et al. 2002. Effects of air pollutants on acute stroke mortality. *Environ Health Perspec.* 110 (2): 187-.

<http://www.thenation.com/article/pesticide-industry-vs-consumers-not-fair-fight> [Accessed June 2017]

http1: <http://www.southafrica.info/about/health/health.htm#.Ukrbt9Knru0#ixzz2gU5ve0LI>

<https://www.beyondpesticides.org/assets/media/documents/health/pid-database.pdf> [Accessed June 2017]

<https://www.nrdc.org/stories/24-d-most-dangerous-pesticide-youve-never-heard> [Accessed June 2017]

IARC. (2000). Monographs Series, Volumes 1-74. Overall Evaluations of Carcinogenicity to Humans. International Association for Research on Cancer.

ICMM. Good practice guidance on health impact assessment. International Council on Minerals and Metals. London, U.K.; 2010 <http://www.icmm.com/page/35457/good-practice-guidance-on-health-impact-assessment>

IFC and World Bank Group Environmental, Health, and Safety Guidelines. Retrieved on 10 October 2011 from url: <http://www.ifc.org/ifcext/sustainability.nsf/Content/EHSGuidelines>

IFC Sustainability Framework. Performance Standard 4. Retrieved on 27 October 2011 from url:

[http://www.ifc.org/ifcext/policyreview.nsf/AttachmentsByTitle/Updated_PS4_August1-2011/\\$FILE/Updated_PS4_August1-2011.pdf](http://www.ifc.org/ifcext/policyreview.nsf/AttachmentsByTitle/Updated_PS4_August1-2011/$FILE/Updated_PS4_August1-2011.pdf)

IFC. Good practice documents. International Finance Corporation; 2008

http://www.ifc.org/ifcext/sustainability.nsf/Content/Publications_GoodPractice

IFC. Introduction to health impact assessment. International Finance Corporation; 2009

http://www.ifc.org/ifcext/sustainability.nsf/Content/Publications_GoodPractice_HealthAssessment

IFC. Introduction to health impact assessment. International Finance Corporation; 2009

http://www.ifc.org/ifcext/sustainability.nsf/Content/Publications_GoodPractice_HealthAssessment

IFC. Policy framework of performance standards. Retrieved on 27 October 2011 from

[url:http://www.ifc.org/policyreview](http://www.ifc.org/policyreview)

John, J., Matooane M., Oosthuizen, R., Binedell M. (2006). Vulnerability to air pollution exposure: who is more at risk? Report No: CSIR/NRE/PW/IR/2006/0040/A. Pretoria: CSIR.

Kappos, A.D., Bruckmann, P., Eikmann, T., Englert, N., Heinrich, U., Höpfe, P., Koch, E., Krause, G.H.M., Kreyling, W.G., Rauchfuss, K., Rombout, P., Schuiz-Kemp, V., Thiel, W.R., Wichmann, H.E. 2004. Health effects of particles in ambient air. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health*, 207:399-407.

Keen, K.L. (1996). Teratogenic Effects of Essential Trace Metals : Deficiencies and Excesses. (In: Change, et al. *Toxicology of Metals*. Boca Raton: CRC Lewis Publishers, p. 977-1001).

Kopanong and Sebokeng Hospital amongst the most dangerous hospitals. This is after Kopanong was revealed to have had 28 Serious Adverse Events (SAEs) while Sebokeng had 22 SAEs. January 24, 2017.

<https://sedibengster.com/9947/kopanong-and-sebokeng-hospital-amongst-the-most-dangerous-hospitals/>

Krishnan, R. M. et al. Vascular Responses to Long- and Short-Term Exposure to Fine Particulate Matter: The MESA Air (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis and Air Pollution). *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2012.08.973 (2012).

Lerer L. B., *Health Policy and Planning*, pub Oxford University Press, 14(2) p. 198-203 (1999).

Lim, S. S. et al. A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 380, 2224-2260, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61766-8 (2013).

Lin, M. et al. 2002. The influence of ambient coarse particulate matter on asthma hospitalization in children: case-crossover and times-series analyses. *Environ Health Perspect.* 110(6):575-.

Lin, S. et al. 2002. Childhood asthma hospitalization and residential exposure to state route traffic. *Environ Res Sect A* 88:73-81.

Lucas EL, Bertrand P, Guazzetti S, Donna F, Peli M, Jursa TP, Lucchini R, Smith DR (2019). Impact of ferromanganese alloy plants on household dust manganese levels: implications for childhood exposure.

M. Krishnamurthy, (2017). Occupational heat stress impacts on health and productivity in a steel industry in southern India *Saf. Health Work*.

Massyn N, Pillay Y, Padarath A, editors. District Health Barometer 2017/18. Durban: Health Systems Trust; January 2019

McConell, R. et al. 2010. Childhood incident asthma and traffic-related air pollution at home and school. *Environ Health Perspect.* 118(7): 1021-.

Miller, K. A. et al. Long-term exposure to air pollution and incidence of cardiovascular events in women. *NEJM* 356, 447-458 (2007).

Mills, N. L. et al. Diesel exhaust inhalation causes vascular dysfunction and impaired endogenous fibrinolysis. *Circulation* 112, 3930-3936 (2005).

Mills, N.L. et al. 2007. Ischemic and thrombotic effects of dilute diesel-exhaust inhalation in men with coronary heart disease. *NEJM* (357(11): 1075-.

Norihiro Itsubo and Atsushi Inaba, (2002). Assessment of Environmental Impact of Manufacturing Steel Considering Physical Damage to Human Health. National Institute for Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), 305-8569, 16-1 Onogawa, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan

Patnaik, Pradyot (2003). Handbook of Inorganic Chemical Compounds. McGraw-Hill. ISBN 0-07-049439-8.

Peters, A., Dockery, D. W., Muller, J. E. & Mittleman, M. A. Increased particulate air pollution and the triggering of myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 103, 2810- 2815 (2001).

Pope C.A. et al. 2004. Air pollution and health- good news and bad. *NEJM* 351(11): 1132-.

Pope, C. A and Dockery, D. W, 1992. Acute health effects of PM10 pollution on symptomatic and asymptomatic children. *American review of respiratory disease*, 145:1123–1128.

Pope, C. A. and Kanner, R. E, 1993. Acute effects of PM 10 pollution on pulmonary function of smokers with mild to moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American review of respiratory disease*, 147:1336–1340.

Pope, C. A. et al. 2009. Fine-particulate matter air pollution and life expectancy in the United States. *New Engl J Med* 360(4): 376-386.

Pope, C. A. et al. Cardiovascular mortality and long-term exposure to particulate air pollution: epidemiological evidence of general pathophysiological pathways of disease. *Circulation* 109, 71-77 (2004).

Pope, C. A. III et al. 1995. Particulate air pollution as a predictor of mortality in a prospective study of U.S. adults. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 151: 669-674.

Pope, C. A. III et al. 2002 Lung cancer, cardiopulmonary mortality, and long-term exposure to fine particulate air pollution. JAMA 287: 1132-1141.

Pritchard G., South Essex Health Authority-Health Impact Assessment Tool, pub South Essex Health Authority, UK, (1999).

Report of An Inter-Regional meeting on harmonisation and mainstreaming of RBHIA in the World Health Organisation and of a Partnership meeting on the institutionalisation of RBHIA capacity building in Africa, Geneva, (2001).

Schwartz, J, 1993. Particulate Air Pollution and Chronic Respiratory Disease, Environmental Research, 62(1): 7-13.

Slaughter, J. C. et al. 2003. Effects of ambient air pollution on symptom severity and medication use in children with asthma. Ann Allergy Asthma & Immunol 91:346-353.

South Africa, 2013: National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act 39 of 2004: National Dust Control Regulations, Department of Environmental Affairs, report No. 827, Government Printer, Pretoria.

South African National Aids Council (SANAC) 2011. National Strategic Plan on HIV, STIs and TB: 2012-2016. Pretoria: South African National AIDS Council.

Sozialgeschichte Des Bergbaus. im 19. und 20. Jahrhundert. Farber, B., Larson, Ron. 2003. Elementary Statistics: Picturing the World. 2nd ed. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River.

United States Environmental Protection Agency., 1995: Emission factors & AP 42, Compilation of Air Pollution Emission Factors, 5th Edition, Volume 1. Available from: <http://www.epa.gov/ttnchie1/ap42>

Vincoli, Jeffrey Wayne (25 November 1996). Risk Management for Hazardous Chemicals. CRC Press. p. 429. ISBN 978-1-56670-200-3.

Wesley C. L. Hemon Editor (1960) Air Pollution Problems of the Steel Industry, Journal of the Air Pollution Control Association, 10:3, 208-253, DOI: 10.1080/00022470.1960.10467921

WHO, 2002. Traditional Medicine Strategy 2002-2005, World Health Organization, WHO/EDM/TRM/2002.1, Geneva, p.7

WHO. 2006. World Health Organization. Country Health System Fact Sheet 2006 South Africa. World Health Statistics 2006. Available: <http://www.who.int/whosis/en/> [Accessed March 2015].

WHO. 2007. World Health Organization. WHO-AIMS Report on Mental Health System in South Africa, WHO and Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa, 2007.



WHO. Health Impact Assessment. 2010 April 13, 2011]; Available from: <http://www.who.int/hia/policy/en/>.

WHO/ECHP. Gotheburg consensus paper. Health impact assessment: Main concepts and suggested approach. 1999 September 2009]; Available from: <http://www.euro.who.int/document/PAE/Gothenburgpaper.pdf>.

Winkler, M.S., et al. 2010, Assessing health impacts in complex eco-epidemiological settings in the humid tropics: advancing tools and methods. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*. 30(1): p. 52-61.

World Health Organization, WHO Air Quality Guidelines for Europe, 2nd edition, WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2000, Copenhagen, Denmark (WHO Regional Publications, European Series, No 91)

Zafar A, Davies M, Azhar A, Khunti K. Clinical inertia in management of T2DM. *Prim Care Diabetes*. 2010;4(4):203–207. doi: 10.1016/j.pcd.2010.07.003.





Environmental Consultants



Appendix A: Plans





Environmental Consultants



Appendix A: Questionnaires





Vumile Ribeiro (Dlamini)

Director: Environmental Management Services



Curriculum Vitae

Niara Environmental Consultants (Pty) Ltd
Registration no.: 2012/018290/07
Cell: +27827672786; Fax: 0865314434
www.niara.co.za

KwaZulu Natal Office:
59 Beaumont Road,
Bluff, Durban, 4052

Gauteng Office:
5 Nottinghill Park, Ferero
Ave, Randpark Ridge,
Johannesburg, 2156

Mpumalanga Office:
16 Birkholtz Avenue,
Witbank Ext 16,
eMalahleni, 1034

Curriculum Vitae of Mrs Vumile Ribeiro

Director: Environmental Management Services

Vumile Dlamini-Ribeiro is the Director of Environmental Management Services at Niara Environmental Consultants (Pty) Ltd. Vumile has 13 years of professional and international experience in Environmental Assessment and Management primarily in the minerals resources and energy sector. Her roles include the operational management responsibilities of Niara Environmental Consultants, project management, report writing, client liaison, as well as business development.

Having worked for a multi-disciplinary advisory firms and environmental consultancies, Vumile has a competent understanding of the work effort and cross collaboration required for a successful multidisciplinary organisation. Vumile has been involved in a number of Environmental Impact Assessments and has a particular interest in health impacts assessments, water resource management, mining, energy and stakeholder engagement. Vumile has considerable experience across a range of developmental and environmental sciences and has worked in South Africa, Mozambique, Sierra Leone and Liberia and is familiar with Regulatory Environmental Legislation in other parts of Africa.

Vumile is very well versed in the IFC Environmental and Social Performance Standards (including IFC PS 2012) and the associated Equator Principles, which have informed the approach and standard for a number of ESIA processes that she has been involved in. Vumile is skilled at organising and driving effective project teams at a scale relevant to the project's requirements. She has technical experience and is able to quickly identify the most pertinent issues of a particular project whilst focussing on driving project success by rigorously implementing project management tools.

5 Nottinghill Park, Randpark Ridge, Johannesburg	
+27 (0) 82 767 2786	
vumile@niara.co.za	
Vumile Ribeiro	



Key Competencies:

- ✔ Project Management
- ✔ Health Impact Assessments
- ✔ Legal Compliance Audits: Environmental / Health & Safety
- ✔ Environmental Control Officer
- ✔ Performance Assessments (Environmental Audits) on mine EMPs
- ✔ Compliance audits on environmental authorisations (e.g. ROD's, water, air and waste licenses)
- ✔ Consolidated Compliance Programmes
- ✔ Environmental Impact Assessments
- ✔ Basic Assessment Reports
- ✔ Mineral Law -Mining Rights and Permits
- ✔ Environmental Authorisation Applications
- ✔ Water Use License Applications
- ✔ Waste Management License Applications
- ✔ Co-ordinating and conducting Public Involvement processes.
- ✔ Qualitative and Quantitative Social Research
- ✔ Social Assessment (Stakeholder and Social Analysis)
- ✔ Public Participation Process and Stakeholder consultation and mediation

Education:

- ✔ BSocSc. (Geography and Environmental Management) University of KwaZulu Natal (2007)
- ✔ BSocSc. Hons. (Environmental Analysis and Management) University of Pretoria (2011)
- ✔ MSc. (Environmental Science) WITS University (current)

Language Skills:

- ✔ English (excellent)
- ✔ isiZulu (excellent)
- ✔ siSwati (excellent)
- ✔ Xhosa (excellent)
- ✔ Afrikaans (intermediate)

Employment:

- ✔ June 2017 – present: Director: Environmental Management Services, Niara Environmental Consultants
- ✔ March 2012 – May 2017: Environmental Consultant Human Sciences Department, Digby Wells Environmental, South Africa
- ✔ January 2010 – December 2010: GIS Technician, Niara Environmental Consultants
- ✔ October 2008 – October 2009: Client Service Executive, Ernst & Young
- ✔ July 2007 – August 2008: GIS technician Capturer, Geospace International, (City of Tshwane Public Works and Infrastructure Development Department: Roads and Storm Water Division Project.)
- ✔ April 2007 – July 2007: Mineral information Management Intern Department of Minerals and Energy, Mpumalanga Regional Office



Project Experience:

Duration	Assignment name/ brief description of main deliverables/outputs	Name of client and country of assignment	Role on the assignment
2012	Scoping EIA and Water Use License Application for the Bokoni Platinum Mine: Klipfontein Opencast Mining Operation	Bokoni Platinum Mines (Pty) Ltd Republic of South Africa	Project Administrator
2012	Amendment to City Deep EIA/EMP for the inclusion of Dump 3/L/40 and 3/L/42	Ergo Mining (Pty) Ltd Republic of South Africa	Project Assistant
2012	Community Health Baseline Study for Tonguma	Koidu Holdings Sierra Leone	Project Assistant/ Report Writer
2012-2013	Community Health Impact Assessment for the Cooke Uranium Project re-mining of historic tailings facilities and establishment of a single large new Tailings Storage Facility for residual tailings	Gold One International Ltd Republic of South Africa	Health Specialist/ Report Writer
2012-2013	Water Use Licence Compliance Audit	Ergo Mining (Pty) Ltd Republic of South Africa	Project Administrator
2013	Community Health Impact assessment for the Vedanta Power Plant and Associated Transmission Lines	Vedanta Zinc International Republic of South Africa	Health Specialist/ Report Writer
2013	Community Health Impact Assessment for the Balama Graphite Mine	Syrah Resources Mozambique	Health Specialist/ Report Writer
2013	Community Health Impact Assessment for the Putu Iron Ore Project	Atkins Global, Grand Gedeh County, Liberia	Project assistant for Health Impact Assessment
2013	Prospecting Right Application and Environmental Management Program Compilation for the St. Agnesfontein	Glenover Phosphate (Pty) Ltd/ FermineOre, Republic of South Africa	Project Administrator
2013-2014	Scoping EIA, Water Use License Application, Waste Management Licence Application for the Sasol Sigma Colliery Underground Ash Backfilling Project	Sasol Mining (Pty) Ltd Republic of South Africa	Project Administrator



Duration	Assignment name/ brief description of main deliverables/outputs	Name of client and country of assignment	Role on the assignment
2013-2014	Basic Assessment Report, Scoping EIA, Water Use License Application for the Sasol Syferfontein Block 4 Expansion Project	Sasol Mining (Pty) Ltd Republic of South Africa	Project Administrator
2013-2014	Community Health Impact Assessment for the Platreef underground platinum mine operation	Platreef Resources (PTY) Ltd Republic of South Africa	Health Specialist/ Report Writer
2014	Submission of revised Environmental Impact Assessment and Environmental Management Programme for the Trichardtsfontein Project	Glencore Operations South Africa (Pty) Ltd, Republic of South Africa	Project Administrator/ Report Co-author
2014	Amendment to the Nooitgedacht Environmental Impact Assessment and Environmental Management Programme: Inclusion of Seams 2 and 4	Glencore Operations South Africa (Pty) Ltd, Republic of South Africa	Project Administrator/ Report Co-author
2014	Community Impact Assessment for the proposed Kamiesberg heavy mineral sands mine Project	Zirco Roode Heuwel (Pty) Ltd Republic of South Africa	Health Specialist/ Report Writer
2014	Community Impact Assessment for the proposed Tenge Iron Ore Project	Capitol Resources Limitada –subsidiary of Baobab Resources Plc, Mozambique	Health Specialist/ Report Writer
2015	Integrated Water Use Licence Application and Integrated Waste Water Management Plan for the proposed Klipspruit Extension: Weltevreden	BHP Billiton Energy Coal South Africa Limited, Republic of South Africa	Project assistant for the WULA and IWWMP
2015	Integrated Water Use Licence Application for the proposed Middelburg – Mhluzi Powerline Project	Eskom SOC Holdings Limited Republic of South Africa	Project Manager/ Report Writer for the WULA



Duration	Assignment name/ brief description of main deliverables/outputs	Name of client and country of assignment	Role on the assignment
2015	Community Health Impact Assessment for the proposed open-pit magnetite mine and concentrator plant	Pamish Investments No. 39 (Pty) Ltd Republic of South Africa	Health Specialist/ Report Writer
2015	Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the Proposed Nachu Graphite Project	Magnis Resources T/A Uranex Tanzania Ltd, Ruangwa District, Lindi Region Tanzania, East Africa	Health Impact Assessment Report Reviewer
2015	Integrated Water Use Licence Application and Integrated Waste Water Management Plan for the Lanxess Chrome Mine	Lanxess Mining (Pty) Ltd Republic of South Africa	Report Writer for the WULA and IWWMP
2015	De Groote Boom Mining Permit Application: Prescribed Environmental Management Programme	De Groote Boom Minerals (Pty) Ltd Republic of South Africa	Project Administrator and Report Writer
2015	Environmental Impact Assessment and Environmental Management Programme Report for the Proposed Realignment of the P141-1 Provincial Road, Tweefontein Mine Complex, Mpumalanga Province	Glencore Operations South Africa (Pty) Ltd	Report Compiler
2015	Water Use Licence Application for the Proposed Realignment of the P141-1 Provincial Road, Tweefontein Mine Complex, Mpumalanga Province	Glencore Operations South Africa (Pty) Ltd, Republic of South Africa	Report Writer for the WULA and IWWMP
2015	Community Impact Assessment for the GK Ancuabe Graphite Mine	Graphit Kropfmühl Ancuabe Graphite Mine SA, Cabo Delgado Province Mozambique	Project Manager/ Health Specialist/ Report Writer
2015	Water Use Licence Application for the Proposed Roodekop Wetland Offset and Compensation Strategy Project	Universal Coal Development IV (Pty) Ltd Republic of South Africa	Report Writer for the WULA and IWWMP



Duration	Assignment name/ brief description of main deliverables/outputs	Name of client and country of assignment	Role on the assignment
2015	Water Use Licence Application for the proposed Lambda Substation near Volksrust, Mpumalanga and Associated 2 x 400kV & 2 x 765kV Loop in Transmission Line Project	Eskom SOC Holdings Limited Republic of South Africa	Project Manager
2016	Klipspruit Extension: Motivation for The Drilling Of Exploration Holes Within A Wetland	South32 Sa Coal Holdings (Pty) Ltd	Project Administrator/ Report Writer
2016	Namane Generation Independent Power Producer and Transmission Line Project, near Lephalele, Limpopo	Namane Generation (Pty) Ltd	Health Specialist
2016	Risk Assessment and Associated General Authorisation for the Proposed KPSX Northern Bypass, in Mpumalanga	South32 SA Coal Holdings (Pty) Limited	Project Manager and Report Writer
2016	Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the Massawa and Sofia Gold Project, Senegal	Randgold Resources Limited	Health Specialist
2016	Proposed Reclamation of the Grootvlei Tailings Storage Facilities Cluster, near Springs, Gauteng	Ergo Mining (Pty) Ltd Republic of South Africa	Health Specialist
2017	Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the Proposed Ntem Iron Ore Project, in Cameroon: Health Impact Assessment Report	Caminex SA, Cameroon	Health Specialist
2017	Water Use Licence for the Proposed Pit H and Associated Infrastructure at KPSX: Weltevreden and KPSX: South Operations, in Mpumalanga	South32 SA Coal Holdings (Pty) Limited, Republic of South Africa	Project Manager and Report Writer
2017	Risk Assessment and Associated General Authorisation for the Proposed Substation and 132kV Power Lines in Ogies, Mpumalanga	Eskom Holdings SOC Limited, Republic of South Africa	Project Manager and Report Writer
2017	Wetlands Risk Assessment and Associated General Authorisation for the Proposed Geotechnical Drilling Project at Khutala Colliery, in Mpumalanga	South32 SA Coal Holdings (Pty) Limited, Republic of South Africa	Project Manager and Report Writer
2017	Community Health Impact Assessment for the Proposed Phase 2 KwaMathukuza Housing Development in KwaMathukuza, Newcastle, KwaZulu-Natal	Phumaf Consulting Engineers, Republic of South Africa	Project Manager and Report Writer



Duration	Assignment name/ brief description of main deliverables/outputs	Name of client and country of assignment	Role on the assignment
2017	Odour Survey/ Assessment for the Proposed Phase 2 KwaMathukuza Housing Development in KwaMathukuza, Newcastle, KwaZulu-Natal	Phumaf Consulting Engineers, Republic of South Africa	Project Manager and Report Writer
2017	Health Impact Assessment for the Mining Right Application for Iron Ore for Muhlava Mining on the Farms Berlyn 670 LT and Keulen 669 LT in Tzaneen, Limpopo Province	Titanium Mining (Pty) Ltd, Republic of South Africa	Project Manager and Report Writer
2017	Emakhazeni Coal Mining Project in the Eastern Basin Coalfield, Mpumalanga Province	Umsimbithi Mining (Pty) Ltd, Republic of South Africa	Health Specialist
2017	Odour Impact Survey for the Proposed Phase 2 KwaMathukuza Housing Development in KwaMathukuza, Newcastle, KwaZulu-Natal	Phumaf Consulting Engineers, Republic of South Africa	Project Manager and Report Writer
2018	Renewal of an Existing Integrated Water Use License for Vlakfontein Mine: Central Block, Ogies in Mpumalanga Province	African Exploration Mining and Finance Corporation SOC Limited (AEMFC)	Project Manager and Report Writer
2018	2017 IWWMP Update and Amendment for Eskom Lethabo Power Station in Free State	Eskom Holdings SOC Limited	Project Manager and Report Writer
2018	East Block External Integrated Water Use License Audit at Vlakfontein Mine, Ogies in Mpumalanga Province	African Exploration Mining and Finance Corporation SOC Limited (AEMFC)	Project Manager and Report Writer
2018	Waste Management License Application for NN Metals proposed listed activities of the scrap metal recycling operation located at 300 Mundt Street on Walloo township ERF 110 in Pretoria within the City of Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality	NN Metals (Pty) Ltd, Pretoria, Republic of South Africa	Project Manager and Report Writer
2018	Community Health Impact Assessment for The Development of the Proposed Leslie 1 Coal Mining Project, near Leandra, Mpumalanga Province	Anglo Operations (Pty) Ltd and Leslie Coal Mine (Pty) Ltd	Health Specialist



Duration	Assignment name/ brief description of main deliverables/outputs	Name of client and country of assignment	Role on the assignment
2018	The Development of the Proposed Transformer Manufacturing, Repairing and Testing Facility at Portion 189 of the Farm Zandfontein 317JR, Kirkney Industrial Township, Pretoria West: Health Impact Assessment	Contipower (Pty) Ltd	Health Specialist
2018	Elandsfontein Colliery: Oosbank Coal Siding Draft Environmental Management Programme	Anker Coal, Elandsfontein Colliery (Pty) Ltd	Project Manager and Report Writer
2018	Elandsfontein Colliery (Pty) Ltd: Elandsfontein Mine Integrated Water and Waste Management Plan Annual Update: 2018	Anker Coal, Elandsfontein Colliery (Pty) Ltd	Project Manager and Report Writer
2018	Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Mine Closure Plan for the Proposed Woestalleen Holdings (Pty) Ltd Coal Mine in Middelburg, Mpumalanga Province	Woestalleen Holdings (Pty) Ltd	Project Manager and Report Writer
2019	Elandsfontein Colliery: Performance Assessment Audit Report on MP 63 MR Environmental Management Programme	Anker Coal, Elandsfontein Colliery (Pty) Ltd	Project Manager and Report Writer
2019	Community Health Impact Assessment The Development of the Proposed Matai Mining Project in Mankwe District, North West Province	Matai Mining (Pty) Ltd	Project Manager and Report Writer
2019	Social Impact Assessment The Development of the Proposed Matai Mining Project in Mankwe District, North West Province	Matai Mining (Pty) Ltd	Project Manager and Report Writer
2019	Health Impact Assessment Report The Development of the Proposed Panfontein Mining Project in the Magisterial District of Vereeniging, Gauteng Province	Richtrau 253 (Pty) Ltd	Project Manager and Report Writer



Duration	Assignment name/ brief description of main deliverables/outputs	Name of client and country of assignment	Role on the assignment
2019	Socio-economic Impact Assessment Report The Development of the Proposed Panfontein Mining Project in the Magisterial District of Vereeniging, Gauteng Province	Richtrau 253 (Pty) Ltd	Project Manager and Report Writer
2019	Elandsfontein Colliery (Pty) Ltd: Elandsfontein Mine Integrated Water and Waste Management Plan and RSIP Annual Update: 2019	Anker Coal, Elandsfontein Colliery (Pty) Ltd	Project Manager and Report Writer
2019	Vlakfontein Colliery Financial Provision Assessment: 2019	African Exploration Mining and Finance Corporation (SOC) Ltd (AEMFC)	Project Manager and Report Reviewer
2019	Inyanda Coal Mine Integrated Water and Waste Management Plan Update: 2019	Inyanda Mining Holdings (Pty) Ltd	Report Writer
2019	Inyanda Coal Mine Rehabilitation Strategy and Implementation Plan	Inyanda Mining Holdings (Pty) Ltd	Report Writer
2019	Health Risk Assessment for The Proposed Residential Development on Various Portions of The Farm Rooikoppies 297-JQ	Seaton Thomson and Associates Cc	Specialist and Report Writer
2019	Application for Environmental Authorisation and A Change of Land Use for the Proposed Musina-Makhado Special Economic Zone (SEZ) in the Limpopo Province Health Impact Assessment Report	Limpopo Economic Development Agency (LEDA)	Specialist and Report Writer



Duration	Assignment name/ brief description of main deliverables/outputs	Name of client and country of assignment	Role on the assignment
2019	Integrated Environmental Authorisation Process for the Proposed Weltevreden Mining Right Application, Socio-economic Impact Assessment Report	Saldomate (Pty) Ltd	Specialist and Report Writer
2019	Integrated Environmental Authorisation Process for the Proposed Wildebeestfontein Mining Right Application, Socio-economic Impact Assessment Report and Social and Labour Plan	Opsirex (Pty) Ltd	Specialist and Report Writer
2020	Proposed Aggregate and Gravel Mining in Bizana, Eastern Cape: Social and Labour Plan	Ilitye Industrial (Pty) Ltd	Specialist and Report Writer
2020	Integrated Water Use Licence Application and IWWMP: Inyanda Coal Mine Rehabilitation Strategy and Implementation Plan	Inyanda Mining Holdings (Pty) Ltd	Report Writer
2020	Integrated Water Use Licence Application and IWWMP: The Development of the Proposed Panfontein Mining Project in the Magisterial District of Vereeniging, Gauteng Province	Richtrau 253 (Pty) Ltd	Project Manager and Report Writer
2020	Integrated Environmental Authorisation Process for the Proposed Van Oudshoornstroom Mining Right Application: Socio-economic Impact Assessment Report	Estate Late Philippus Christoffel Johannes De Jager	Specialist and Report Writer
2020	Integrated Water Use Licence Application and IWWMP: The Proposed Jabula Coal Mine in Newcastle, KwaZulu Natal	Jabula Mines (Pty) Ltd	Project Manager and Report Writer



Duration	Assignment name/ brief description of main deliverables/outputs	Name of client and country of assignment	Role on the assignment
2020	Nkomati Mine Closure Project: Community and Occupational Health Assessment	Nkomati Joint Venture – a partnership between African Rainbow Minerals Limited and Norilsk Nickel Africa (Pty) Limited (Nkomati Mine)	Specialist and Report Writer
2020	Social Impact Assessment Report for the Development of the Proposed Zelpy Kafferskraal Mining Right Application	Zelpy Gold Mine (Pty) Ltd	Specialist and Report Writer
2020	Health Impact Assessment Report for the Development of the Proposed Zelpy Kafferskraal Mining Right Application	Zelpy Gold Mine (Pty) Ltd	Specialist and Report Writer
2020	Environmental Authorisation and Water Use Licence Application for The Electrivor Cc Beneficiation Plant	Electrivor Surveying CC	Project Manager and Reports Writer



Professional Affiliations:

- International Association of Impact Assessment South Africa (IAIASA)
- Public Health Association of South Africa (PHASA)
- National Association for Clean Air (NACA)







Environmental Consultants




Appendix A: Plans







LEGEND

- SEZ Boundary
- Roads**
 - National Route
 - Main road
 - Secondary road
 - Railway
- Communities
- Dams
- Pan
- NFEPA Rivers




PROJECT		Makhado Special Economic Zone	
TITLE		Plan 1: Local Setting	
 Environmental Consultant: Vumile Ribeiro GIS: Cornette van Staden	SCALE 1:80,000	REV 0	
	DATE 10/09/2019	A3	
REFERENCE:		WGS Lo29	



LEGEND

- Potentially Affected Communities
- ▭ SEZ Boundary
- Roads**
- National Route
- Main road
- Secondary road
- Railway
- Communities
- Dams
- Pan
- NFEPA Rivers
- 10km PRA Concentric Buffer**
- 1km PRA Buffer
- 2km PRA Buffer
- 3km PRA Buffer
- 4km PRA Buffer
- 5km PRA Buffer
- 6km PRA Buffer
- 7km PRA Buffer
- 8km PRA Buffer
- 9km PRA Buffer
- 10km PRA Buffer



PROJECT
Makhado Special Economic Zone

TITLE
Plan 2: Potentially Affected Communities

Environmental Consultants Environmental Consultant: Vumile Ribeiro GIS: Corne van Staden	SCALE 1:150,000	REV 0
	DATE 10/09/2019	A3
REFERENCE: WGS Lo29		



Environmental Consultants



Appendix A: Questionnaires





Environmental Consultants

**APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION AND A CHANGE OF LAND USE FOR THE
PROPOSED MUSINA-MAKHADO SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONE (SEZ) IN THE LIMPOPO PROVINCE**

KEY INFORMANT INTERVIEW

Date:	
Facility name:	
Name of person interviewed:	Designation:
Location (village/ town):	

Niara Environmental Consultants, Registration no.: 2012/018290/07
59 Beaumont Road, Bluff, Durban, 4052
Cell: +27827872786; Fax: 0865314434



Type of facility:	Clinic	Hospital	Other
Funding:	Government	Private	Other
How many people have access to this health care facility- the target population? Which villages/ communities?			
Is there constant water supply?	Yes	No	
What is the quality of the water?			
Is there constant electricity supply?	Yes	No	
Operating hours of clinic/ hospital:			
Please indicate the main illnesses, as well as those most commonly affected (women, children, elderly, men, poor):	Illness	Most commonly affected	Rank (1=most common)
How many doctors work at this facility full-time?			
Do you have visiting doctors?			



If yes, from where?			
If yes, how often?			
How many nurses work at this facility full-time?			
Do you have visiting nurses?			
If yes, from where?			
If yes, how often?			
Does this facility have a mobile clinic?	Yes	No	
Do doctors/ nurses from this facility conduct home visits if a patient is very ill/ bed ridden/ on their death bed?	Yes	No	
If this facility is a clinic, where is the closest hospital?			
If this facility is a clinic, how are patients transported to hospital?			
Does this facility have an ambulance?	Yes	No	
When a follow-up is required, do patients see the same nurse/ doctor they saw initially?	Yes	No	
Do patients return for follow-up visits?	Yes	No	
If not, what do you think the main reasons are?			
From where does the facility receive its medicine?			



How often does the facility receive its medicine?			
Does the facility ever run out of medicine?	Yes	No	
If yes, does the patient receive anything in its place?	Yes	No	
If yes, what?			
What is the most common medication prescribed?			
Does this facility store medicine for other facilities?	Yes	No	
If yes, what types?			
Does this facility stock ARVs?	Yes	No	
Does this facility stock birth control medicine or pills?	Yes	No	
Do you distribute condoms from the health care facility? Are there any other condom distribution points in the community?			
Do patients pay to see a doctor?	Yes	No	
Do patients pay to see a nurse?	Yes	No	
Do patients pay for medicine?	Yes	No	
Does the facility offer routine childhood vaccination services?			
What record of documentation do you keep?			
How are patient documents stored?	Hard copy only	Electronic only	Both



Does this facility currently have any health awareness campaigns or programs?
Are there other health actors (e.g. NGOs) active in the communities? What services or activities do they perform?
Considering the number of patients that come to the facility, would you say domestic violence is an issue of concern in this community?
Judging by the numbers of patients who come to this facility, on a scale of one out of ten patients, how many would you say show symptoms of substance (drugs or alcohol) abuse?
Are accidents common in the area? What form do these take on (road traffic, assault?)
Do traditional medical practitioners play a role in health care in the community?
Does this facility collaborate with traditional medical practitioners in any way?
How do you think the project will influence the community's health?
How do you think the project can help improve the community's health?

THANK YOU



**APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION AND A CHANGE OF LAND USE FOR THE
PROPOSED MUSINA-MAKHADO SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONE (SEZ) IN THE LIMPOPO PROVINCE
POTENTIALLY AFFECTED COMMUNITIES QUESTIONNAIRE**

Please may we ask a few questions related to health in your community? We will ask a number of questions related to health challenges you face in your community, the local health care services, the decision making in accessing the services and the general satisfaction of the available facilities. Please note that there are no right or wrong answers. Everyone's opinion is valued and important to us. Please let everyone speak and if you do not agree with a person then express this openly but without criticism as they are untitled to their opinion.

Please note that we do not represent the Developer nor the Project Proponent and thus cannot make commitments on their behalf. Questions related to requests or commitments that either the Developer or the Project Proponent may have made should not be discussed. If you have any questions for us please feel free to ask.

Location:
Date:
Interviewer:
Number of participants:

Niara Environmental Consultants, Registration no.: 2012/018290/07
59 Beaumont Road, Bluff, Durban, 4052
Cell: +27827872786; Fax: 0865314434



HEALTH SEEKING BEHAVIOUR

Where did you go first the last time when your child had a fever/cough?

If they usually do not go to the health facility, ask for the main reason for not doing so (Accessibility; Acceptability; Affordability)

Where is the nearest health facility?

(Also ask if there is any mobile clinic facility in the area and how frequently it comes to the community. Also inquire whether medical staff do home visits, e.g. during emergencies. Inquire about the presence of an ambulance)

How long does it take to walk there?

How long does it take you to get there by car/ taxi?

Do you have to pay for medical services?

(If yes) **How much do you pay?**

(Find out if there is any community based health



insurance scheme)	
<p>Are you satisfied with the health care services you receive?</p> <p>If not why?</p>	
<p>How many traditional healers do you have in your community?</p> <p>What sort of reasons will take you to the traditional healer?</p>	
<p>Are there other health actors (e.g. NGOs) active in the communities?</p> <p>If so what do they do? (Note down active NGOs and what services they provide)</p>	
MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH	
<p>Do women in your community get antenatal care services? Where?</p>	



<p>Are you satisfied with the services you receive?</p>	
<p>Do all the new born children get vaccinations up to the age of one year?</p> <p>Are there people in your community who do not take their children for vaccination? (If yes) Why?</p>	
<p>Have your children under 5 been weighed and measured? If so where? If not why?</p>	
<p>Where do women normally deliver?</p> <p>If at home, who assists?</p>	
<p>Do women practice family planning in your community?</p>	



(Discuss what methods they commonly use)	
EPIDEMIOLOGY OF DISEASE	
What are the 3 most important diseases in your community?	
Do many people have skin disease?	
HIV/AIDS	
Have you heard about the disease called HIV/AIDS? What is it? Do you think it is a serious problem in your community? (Why do they think it is/isn't such a serious problem?)	
When you hear the word protection/prevention- what does it mean to you? (Discuss methods of prevention)	



<p>Do people use condoms?</p> <p>Are they easily accessible?</p> <p>Why do people use/ not use them?</p>	
<p>Is there much commercial sex?</p> <p><i>These questions below are to guide the conversation</i></p> <p>What different kinds of commercial sex are there? Who are the sex workers and where do they work? Who are the clients and where do they work?</p>	
<p>Are there categories of men who are known to have many sexual partners?</p> <p><i>These questions below are to guide the conversation</i></p> <p>Which categories are these? Who do they have sex with and why? Are some categories of men</p>	



<p>riskier than others and why?</p>	
<p>Are young people having sex?</p> <p><i>These questions below are to guide the conversation</i></p> <p>If so, at what age? Who are their partners? Why are they having sex so early?</p>	
<p>Is it possible for a healthy-looking person to have HIV/AIDS?</p> <p>Would you buy food from somebody who you knew had HIV/AIDS?</p> <p>If someone in your family had HIV/AIDS would you keep it a secret?</p>	
<p>SOIL AND WATER RELATED DISEASE</p>	
<p>What is the main source for <u>drinking</u> water in the community? (Record type of water source)</p>	



<p>Does every household have its own latrine? (Record types of sanitation facilities in the community)</p>	
<p>Do people swim in, or drink from open water bodies in the area?</p>	
<p>Do you consider your environment clean or dirty? Why?</p>	
HOUSING	
<p>Are there any challenges related to housing or accommodation in your community? Is overcrowding a problem?</p>	
FOOD AND NUTRITION	
<p>Do you have enough food in your community? (If there is a food shortage, find out why)</p>	



<p>Is malnutrition a problem in the community (especially in children)? Why (Bad feeding practices, food shortage)</p>	
<p>What food stuffs are most commonly consumed in the area?</p>	
<p>Do you use salt in your diet?</p>	
SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH	
<p>Do people in the community drink alcohol and smoke? (Discuss the extent of these vices)</p>	
<p>Do they use drugs?</p>	
<p>Is domestic violence common in your community?</p>	
<p>In general is there a feeling of wellbeing or state of hopelessness in your community?</p>	
PROJECT AND COMMUNITY COHESION	



<p>What are your general perceptions about the project?</p>	
<p>How do you think the project will influence your health and wellbeing?</p>	
<p>How do you think the project can help improve the community's health?</p> <p>What can you as a community do to improve your own health?</p>	

THANK YOU



APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION AND A CHANGE OF LAND USE FOR THE PROPOSED MUSINA-MAKHADO SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONE (SEZ) IN THE LIMPOPO PROVINCE

COMMUNITY HEALTH IMPACT ASSESSMENT

KEY INFORMANT QUESTIONNAIRE

Date:			
Name of person interviewed:			
Gender:		Age:	
Highest Education:			
Location (village/ town):			
Indication of wealth	Painted house (yes/ no)	Furniture (good/ basic)	House material (blocks/ mud)
How long have you been practicing?			
Is traditional healing your main income source?			

Niara Environmental Consultants, Registration no.: 2012/018290/07
 59 Beaumont Road, Bluff, Durban, 4052
 Cell: +27827672786; Fax: 0865314434



Why did you become a healer?	
How did you learn to heal?	
How many people visit/ consult with you on a daily basis?	
How do you acquire medicinal plants?	
Do you collect medicinal plants?	
Can you describe a normal day of collecting medicinal plants?	
How often do you collect?	
Can you collect plants wherever you want?	
Do you know others who collect medicinal plants?	
Do you buy medicinal plants	
From whom do buy medicinal plants?	
The typical patient: age, sex, tribe etc.	
How many clients do you have every day?	
Are your clients/ patients seasonal? (do they consult with you more during certain times of the year)	



If yes, why do you think this is?	
For what reasons do people visit you?	
Do you use plants for curing physical illnesses?	
Do you use plants for any other purposes?	
The typical patient: age, sex, tribe etc.	
Preparation of medicinal plants (dry/fresh, mix of plants?)	
What makes the plants powerful (rituals?)	
(How do you know?)	
Do you tell people why and how the plants are working and curing them?	
Preparation of medicinal plants (dry/fresh, mix of plants?)	
What makes the plants powerful (rituals?)	
(How do you know?)	



Do you tell people why and how the plants are working and curing them?	
Do you cultivate medicinal plants?	
Do the plants maintain their healing properties if they are cultivated?	
Can some plants be cultivated while others not?	
How do you see your role in the village health care?	
What is your opinion on modern medicine?	
Do you use it in your work?	
Do you use it yourself?	
For which purposes do you take western medicine and why?	
Do you collaborate with the clinic?	

THANK YOU

